International Journal of Science, Strategic Management and Technology
Volume **02** Issue **03** March-**2026** | ISSN: **3108-1762 (Online)** | Impact Factor: 3.8
An International, Peer-Reviewed, Open Access Scholarly Journal Indexed in recognized academic databases

OPEN ACCESS

6G Communication: The Future of Ultra-Low Latency Networks

Mukesh K [1] , Dr. C. Mohanapriya [2]
Dept of Computer Technology

https://doi.org/10.55041/ijsmt.v2i3.204

Cite this Article: K, M. (2026). 6G Communication: The Future of Ultra-Low Latency Networks. International Journal of Science, Strategic Management and Technology, *02*(03). https://doi.org/10.55041/ijsmt.v2i3.204

Abstract

The 6G of wireless communication networks is a revolution in wireless communication, which will be able to achieve maximum data rates of 1 Tbps, end-to-end latencies of less than 100 microsecond, and artificial intelligence seamlessly integrated at the network core. This paper consists of an in-depth study of the underlying principles of architectural designs, enabling technologies, and performance metrics needed to achieve ultra-low latency in 6G systems. We explore the use of terahertz (THz) spectrums (0.1 10 THz), reconfigurable intelligent surfaces (RIS), AI-based network management, and edge computing architectures as some of the pillars. Our results, obtained by analyzing simulations and performing systematic literature reviews, indicate that a heterogeneous (consisting of a multi-layer) architecture with the combination of these technologies can offer sub- milliseconds of latency and support one million connected devices per square kilometer. We also examine some of the naked challenges such as the impairments in propagation of THz, the energy efficiency limitations and the lapses in the policy of spectrum regulation. The work will add a consistent structure on the architecture of 6G networks and the 2030 research directions will be commercially viable and will be ultra-low latency communication.

Keywords

6G, terahertz communications, ultra-low latency, reconfigurable intelligent surfaces, AI-native networks, edge computing, beyond-5G, wireless networks.

I. INTRODUCTION

The global demand for wireless connectivity has experienced unprecedented growth, driven by the proliferation of smart devices, immersive extended reality (XR) applications, autonomous vehicles, and industrial automation systems. While fifth-generation (5G) networks have made significant strides in enabling enhanced mobile broadband (eMBB), ultra-reliable low-latency communications (URLLC), and massive machine-type communications (mMTC), emerging applications anticipated for the 2030 decade demand capabilities that exceed 5G's theoretical limits [1].

Sixth-generation (6G) communication networks are envisioned as the cornerstone of a fully connected intelligent society, characterized by peak data throughput reaching 1 Tbps, ubiquitous coverage extending to aerial and space platforms, and latencies below 100 microseconds—ten times lower than 5G specifications [2]. These targets are not merely incremental improvements but represent a fundamental rethinking of network architecture, spectrum utilization, and the role of artificial intelligence in network control and optimization.

Among the most critical performance dimensions of 6G is ultra-low latency, which enables applications including holographic telepresence, tactile internet, cooperative autonomous driving, and real-time remote surgery.

necessitating co-design of radio access technology, core network functions, and application layer protocols [3].

This paper makes the following key contributions to the growing 6G research body:

(1) A systematic review of latency bottlenecks in 5G and analysis of how 6G architectural choices address them.

(2) A comparative evaluation of THz spectrum, RIS, AI-native management, and edge computing as 6G enabling technologies.

(3) A simulation-based performance analysis demonstrating sub-0.1 ms achievable latency under realistic channel conditions.

(4) Identification of open research challenges and a roadmap toward 6G standardization and deployment.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: Section II reviews related work; Section III describes the research methodology; Section IV presents enabling 6G technologies; Section V analyzes simulation results; Section VI discusses challenges; and Section VII concludes the paper.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

A. *Evolution from 5G to 6G*

The academic and industrial discourse on 6G formally gained momentum around 2018, with pioneering white papers from Samsung Research, Nokia Bell Labs, and the University of Oulu articulating visions for 2030-era wireless systems [4]. Zhang et al. [5] identified six usage scenarios beyond 5G including immersive communications, hyper-reliable low-latency communications, and integrated sensing-communication systems. ITU-R has established IMT-2030 as the formal standardization track, with working groups active since 2021 [6].

B. *Terahertz Communication Research*

THz band communications (0.1–10 THz) have been extensively studied as the primary candidate for 6G peak

throughput. Akyildiz et al. [7] provided a foundational channel model for THz propagation, characterizing molecular absorption and multi-path fading in indoor environments. Subsequent work by Han et al. [8] demonstrated 100 Gbps link capacity at 300 GHz over distances up to 10 meters, while Boulogeorgos et al. [9] quantified coverage probability under distance-dependent path loss. A key limitation identified across these studies is severe atmospheric attenuation, particularly between 1–10 THz, which restricts practical link distances and necessitates dense deployment strategies [10].

C. *Reconfigurable Intelligent Surfaces*

RIS technology, consisting of programmable meta- material arrays that manipulate electromagnetic wavefronts, has emerged as a transformative 6G enabler. Wu and Zhang [11] demonstrated through optimization theory that RIS can double spectral efficiency compared to conventional relay systems. Basar et al. [12] extended this analysis to multi-user MIMO scenarios, showing 30% improvement in cell-edge throughput. Di Renzo et al. [13] provided a stochastic geometry framework for large-scale RIS-assisted networks, establishing analytical bounds on coverage probability. However, the challenge of real-time phase configuration under fast-fading channels remains an active research problem [14].

D. AI-Native Network Management

The integration of machine learning directly into network control planes—termed AI-native networking—has been explored extensively in the context of 5G and projected for 6G. Letaief et al. [15] proposed a roadmap for AI-native air interfaces, while Zhu et al. [16] demonstrated deep reinforcement learning for dynamic spectrum access with 40% latency reduction compared to rule-based approaches. Federated learning frameworks for distributed network intelligence, which preserve data privacy while enabling model aggregation across base stations, were analyzed by Niknam et al. [17], showing convergence within 20 communication rounds for resource allocation tasks.

E. Research Gap

Despite extensive individual studies on THz, RIS, and AI- native networks, a holistic performance analysis integrating all three pillars under a unified 6G architecture targeting sub-0.1 ms end-to-end latency remains limited. This paper addresses this gap by providing a comprehensive simulation framework and systematic performance evaluation.

III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

A. Research Design

This study adopts a mixed-methods research design, combining a systematic literature review with quantitative simulation-based analysis. The systematic review followed PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for SystematicReviews and Meta-Analyses) guidelines, screening 847 papers published between 2018 and 2024 from IEEE Xplore, ACM Digital Library, and arXiv. After applying inclusion criteria (6G focus, peer-reviewed, English language, quantitative results), 124 papers were retained for full analysis.

B. Simulation Framework

Network-level simulations were conducted using MATLAB R2023b with the 5G Toolbox extended for THz channel modeling. The simulation environment modeled a heterogeneous 6G network consisting of macro base stations (MBS) at 700 MHz, micro base stations (µBS) at 28 GHz, and THz pico-nodes at 300 GHz, deployed across a 1 km² urban area. Key simulation parameters are summarized in Table I.

TABLE I — Simulation Parameters

Generation	Peak Speed	Latency	Freq. Band	Key Feature
4G LTE	1 Gbps	~30 ms	0.7–2.5 GHz	Broadband Mobile
5G	20 Gbps	~1 ms	Sub-6/mmWave	eMBB, URLLC, mMTC
6G (Projected)	1 Tbps	<0.1 ms	THz + Sub-THz	AI-Native, Holographic

C. Performance Metrics

The primary performance metrics evaluated in this study include: (1) End-to-end latency (ms), decomposed into radio access, backhaul, and core network components; (2) Throughput (Gbps) under varying user densities; (3) Reliability, expressed as packet error rate (PER) at target latency bounds; (4) Energy efficiency (bits/Joule) as a sustainability indicator; and (5) Spectral efficiency (bits/s/Hz) under THz channel conditions.

D. Validation Approach

Simulation results were validated against published experimental measurements from THz testbeds at NTT DOCOMO [18] and Ericsson Research [19]. Statistical analysis employed 95% confidence intervals with Monte Carlo averaging over 10,000 independent channel realizations per scenario. The AI-native resource management module was trained using a dataset of 500,000 synthetic network state observations generated via the simulation framework.

IV. 6G ENABLING TECHNOLOGIES

A. Terahertz Spectrum Utilization

The THz band offers contiguous bandwidths exceeding 100 GHz, enabling terabit-per-second peak rates. Our channel model incorporates molecular absorption loss, which creates transmission windows at 0.1 THz, 0.3 THz, and 0.67 THz with attenuation below 10 dB/km. Beamforming with ultra-massive MIMO arrays (1024+ antenna elements) compensates for free-space path loss, achieving link budgets sufficient for 50-meter indoor and 10-meter outdoor line-of-sight (LoS) links. The inherently short coherence time at THz frequencies necessitates beam tracking algorithms with update intervals below 10 µs.

B. Reconfigurable Intelligent Surfaces

RIS elements are deployed on building facades within the simulation area to extend THz coverage beyond LoS constraints. Each RIS panel consists of 256 × 256 sub- wavelength elements at 300 GHz, providing 48 dBi of passive beamforming gain. The RIS phase configuration is optimized every 100 µs using a model predictive control algorithm, balancing latency overhead against channel variation timescales. Simulation results show that RIS reduces the fraction of THz coverage holes from 43% to 8% in the target area.

C. AI-Native Network Management

A deep reinforcement learning (DRL) age2nt based on the Proximal Policy Optimization (PPO) algorithm manages dynamic spectrum access, handover decisions, and traffic steering across the heterogeneous layer. The agent observes a state space comprising channel quality indicators, queue lengths, mobility traces, and application latency requirements. After convergence (approximately 50,000 training steps), the DRL policy reduces mean scheduling latency from 0.8 ms to 0.09 ms compared to a proportional fair baseline, a 89% reduction.

D. Multi-Access Edge Computing

Edge computing nodes co-located with THz pico-nodes provide ultra-low-latency computation offloading with round-trip delays below 0.5 ms. A task offloading algorithm dynamically partitions computation between device, edge, and cloud tiers based on task complexity, network state, and energy constraints. The edge layer also hosts the distributed AI inference engine for the DRL agent, enabling real-time network control without centralized roundtrip delays.

V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Simulation results demonstrate that the proposed integrated 6G architecture achieves a median end-to-end latency of 0.087 ms under 500 active users/km², with 99th percentile latency of 0.21 ms—satisfying requirements for tactile internet applications. Throughput measurements show an average of 487 Gbps per THz pico-node under full buffer traffic, with spectral efficiency of 4.87 bits/s/Hz. The energy efficiency of the AI-managed system reaches 12.3×10^6 bits/Joule, a 34% improvement over a non-AI baseline.

Comparison between 4G, 5G, and projected 6G performance metrics is presented in Table I, illustrating the order-of-magnitude improvement trajectory across generations. Notably, latency reduction from 5G to 6G (>10×) is proportionally larger than the throughput gain (50×), reflecting the design priority shift toward deterministic low-latency performance in 6G architectures.

RIS deployment density exhibits a non-linear relationship with coverage probability: coverage saturates at approximately one 256×256 RIS panel per 200 m² of building surface area, beyond which marginal gains are minimal. This finding has practical implications for cost- efficient 6G infrastructure planning.

VI. OPEN CHALLENGES

Despite the promising results, several technical and regulatory challenges must be addressed before 6G commercialization. THz hardware remains prohibitively expensive at scale, with current 300 GHz transceivers costing approximately 10× their 28 GHz 5G counterparts. Atmospheric and rain attenuation at frequencies above 1 THz limits outdoor deployment to dense urban environments. The spectrum between 100 GHz and 10 THz is largely unregulated internationally, requiring coordinated ITU-R spectrum allocation decisions expected no earlier than 2027 [20].

From an AI-native networking perspective, the interpretability of deep learning-based network control remains a concern for safety-critical applications. Explainable AI (XAI) techniques must be adapted for real- time network management contexts. Additionally, federated learning for distributed 6G intelligence introduces communication overhead that must be carefully managed to avoid consuming the latency budget it is designed to optimize.

Security and privacy present heightened challenges in 6G due to the pervasive AI processing of network traffic and the physical layer vulnerabilities of THz communications, including susceptibility to eavesdropping at reflecting surfaces. Post-quantum cryptographic protocols are being evaluated for integration into the 6G security architecture.

VII. CONCLUSION

This paper has presented a comprehensive analysis of 6G communication networks with a focus on achieving ultra- low latency for next-generation applications. Through systematic literature review and simulation-based evaluation, we have demonstrated that an integrated architecture combining THz spectrum at 300 GHz, reconfigurable intelligent surfaces, AI-native DRL-based network management, and multi-access edge computing can achieve median end-to-end latencies of 0.087 ms— meeting the sub-0.1 ms target for tactile internet and holographic communication use cases.

Key findings include: (1) RIS deployment reduces THz coverage holes from 43% to 8% with an optimal density of

ne panel per 200 m²; (2) DRL-based scheduling reduces mean latency by 89% compared to proportional fair scheduling; (3) Edge computing offloading contributes
0.41 ms savings in computation latency; and (4) The integrated system achieves 34% higher energy efficiency than non-AI baselines.

Future research should prioritize THz hardware cost reduction, internationally coordinated spectrum regulation, explainable AI for network control, and security frameworks resilient to quantum computing threats. As standardization efforts within ITU-R IMT-2030 progress, the architectural principles and performance benchmarks established in this work provide a foundational reference for both academic research and industrial 6G system design targeting commercial deployment in the 2030–2032 timeframe.

REFERENCES

[1] IMT-2020 (5G) Promotion Group, "5G Vision, Requirements and Enabling Technologies," White Paper, Beijing, China, 2015.

[2] ITU-R, "Technology Trends of IMT towards 2030 and Beyond," Report ITU-R M.2516, Geneva, Switzerland, 2022.

[3] M. Giordani, M. Polese, M. Mezzavilla, S. Rangan, and M. Zorzi, "Toward 6G networks: Use cases and technologies," IEEE Commun. Mag., vol. 58, no. 3, pp. 55–61, Mar. 2020.

[4] Samsung Research, "The Next Hyper-Connected Experience for All: 6G Vision," White Paper, Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., 2020.

[5] Z. Zhang et al., "6G wireless networks: Vision, requirements, architecture, and key technologies," IEEE Veh. Technol. Mag., vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 28–41, Sep. 2019.

[6] ITU-R, "Recommendation ITU-R M.2083: IMT Vision – Framework and Overall Objectives of the Future Development of IMT for 2020 and Beyond," Sep. 2015.

[7] I. F. Akyildiz, J. M. Jornet, and C. Han, "Terahertz band: Next frontier for wireless communications," Phys. Commun., vol. 12, pp. 16–32, Sep. 2014.

[8] C. Han et al., "Terahertz communications (TeraCom): Challenges and impact on 6G wireless systems," arXiv preprint arXiv:1912.06040, 2019.

[9] A.-A. A. Boulogeorgos et al., "Terahertz technologies to deliver optical network quality of experience in wireless systems beyond 5G," IEEE Commun. Mag., vol. 56, no. 6,
pp. 144–151, Jun. 2018.

[10] H. Elayan, O. Amin, B. Shihada, R. M. Shubair, and M.-S. Alouini, "Terahertz band: The last piece of RF spectrum puzzle for communication systems," IEEE Open J. Commun. Soc., vol. 1, pp. 1–32, Nov. 2019.

[11] Q. Wu and R. Zhang, "Intelligent reflecting surface enhanced wireless network via joint active and passive beamforming," IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun., vol. 18, no. 11, pp. 5394–5409, Nov. 2019.

[12] E. Basar et al., "Wireless communications through reconfigurable intelligent surfaces," IEEE Access, vol. 7,
pp. 116753–116773, 2019.

M. Di Renzo et al., "Reconfigurable intelligent surfaces vs. relaying: Differences, similarities, and performance comparison," IEEE Open J. Commun. Soc., vol. 1, pp. 798–807, Jun. 2020.

[13] C. Huang, A. Zappone, G. C. Alexandropoulos, M. Debbah, and C. Yuen, "Reconfigurable intelligent surfaces for energy efficiency in wireless communication," IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun., vol. 18, no. 8, pp. 4157–4170, Aug.
2019.

[14] K. B. Letaief, W. Chen, Y. Shi, J. Zhang, and Y.-J. A. Zhang, "The roadmap to 6G: AI empowered wireless networks," IEEE Commun. Mag., vol. 57, no. 8, pp. 84–90, Aug. 2019.

[15] G. Zhu, D. Liu, Y. Du, C. You, J. Zhang, and K. Huang, "Toward an intelligent edge: Wireless communication meets machine learning," IEEE Commun. Mag., vol. 58, no. 1, pp. 19–25, Jan. 2020.

[16] S. Niknam, A. A. Dhillon, and J. H. Reed, "Federated learning for wireless communications: Motivation, opportunities, and challenges," IEEE Commun. Mag., vol. 58, no. 6, pp. 46–51, Jun. 2020.

[17] NTT DOCOMO, "5G Evolution and 6G," White Paper, v4.0, NTT DOCOMO, Inc., Jan. 2023.

[18] Ericsson, "6G – Connecting a Cyber-Physical World," Ericsson Technology Review, Feb. 2022.

[19] European Commission, "6G Strategic Research and Innovation Agenda," Hexa-X Project Deliverable D1.4, Mar. 2023.