

# The Kashmiri Pandit Exodus of 1990: Causes, Consequences, and the Long Shadow of Displacement


Abhinav Biswal

Department of Sociology, School of Liberal Arts, Ravenshaw University Doctor of Philosophy  
(PhD) in Sociology | Exploratory & Descriptive Study



<https://doi.org/10.55041/ijstmt.v2i3.219>

**Cite this Article:** Biswal, A. (2026). The Kashmiri Pandit Exodus of 1990: Causes, Consequences, and the Long Shadow of Displacement. *International Journal of Science, Strategic Management and Technology*, 02(03). <https://doi.org/10.55041/ijstmt.v2i3.219>

**License:**  This article is published under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0), permitting use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are properly credited.

## ABSTRACT

The Kashmiri Pandit Exodus of January 19, 1990, constitutes one of the most consequential yet insufficiently examined episodes of internal forced displacement in post-independence India. Under the compulsion of targeted violence, religious coercion, and systematic intimidation by armed militant groups, an estimated 100,000 to 500,000 Kashmiri Pandit families abandoned their ancestral homeland in the Kashmir Valley within a matter of weeks. This article undertakes a comprehensive sociological examination of the political, historical, and socioeconomic antecedents of the exodus; the immediate and enduring consequences for the displaced community; and the policy implications of the abrogation of Article 370 in 2019 for the prospects of rehabilitation and resettlement. Drawing on secondary sources including government records, parliamentary debates, community organization reports, and scholarly literature, the article argues that the Kashmiri Pandit crisis represents a paradigmatic case of minority vulnerability within a pluralistic democratic state, with significant implications for internal displacement studies, minority rights discourse, and post-conflict rehabilitation policy in South Asia.

**Keywords:** Kashmiri Pandits, forced displacement, internal migration, Article 370, minority rights, rehabilitation, Kashmir insurgency, communal violence, identity loss, South Asia

## 1. Introduction

Among the many episodes of communal violence and forced displacement that have punctuated India's post-independence history, the exodus of the Kashmiri Pandit community in January 1990 stands apart in scale, speed, and sociological significance. In the span of a few weeks, the Valley of Kashmir was effectively emptied of its centuries-old Hindu minority population — a community that had been the custodian of a rich tradition of scholarship, administration, and composite cultural life. The speed and totality of this displacement make it a singular event in modern Indian history.

Despite its magnitude, the Kashmiri Pandit crisis has received comparatively scant systematic scholarly attention within Indian sociology. The dominant frameworks for studying communal violence and displacement in India — developed largely through the study of Partition, the 1984 anti-Sikh violence, and post-Babri Masjid riots — have not been consistently applied to the Kashmiri case. This lacuna has left displaced Kashmiri Pandits in a position of double marginality: displaced in fact, yet underrepresented in the academic and policy literature that might alleviate their condition.

This article seeks to address that gap by providing a rigorous sociological analysis of the causes, processes, and long-term consequences of the exodus. It situates the Kashmiri Pandit crisis within broader theoretical debates on minority vulnerability, state responsibility, and the politics of recognition in multi-religious democracies. It further examines the implications of the constitutional changes of 2019 for the prospects of return and resettlement. **Historical and Political Background**

### 1.1 The Kashmiri Pandit Community

Kashmiri Pandits — Kashmiri-speaking Brahmin Hindus — represent one of the oldest and most culturally distinguished communities of the Indian subcontinent. Their presence in the Kashmir Valley predates the Islamic conquest of the region, and their contributions to Sanskrit learning, Shaivite philosophy, and the administrative apparatus of various ruling dynasties are well documented. By the mid-twentieth century, Kashmiri Pandits occupied a disproportionately prominent role in the educational, administrative, and professional life of the Valley, despite being a demographic minority.

The religious composition of the Valley changed significantly over several centuries, particularly following the arrival of Sufi missionaries such as Mir Sayyid Ali Hamdani in the fourteenth century and subsequent waves of mass conversion. By 1990, Muslims constituted approximately 67 to 85 percent of the Valley's total population of approximately 1.25 crore (12.5 million), while Kashmiri Pandits numbered somewhere between 100,000 and 300,000 — estimates vary considerably due to limitations in census methodology.

### 1.2 The Constitutional and Political Framework

The political backdrop of the exodus is inseparable from the contested nature of Jammu and Kashmir's accession to India. The state acceded to the Indian Union in October 1947 through the Instrument of Accession signed by Maharaja Hari Singh under conditions of military emergency following a tribal invasion supported by Pakistan. The accession was accompanied by a promise of a plebiscite that was never held, leaving the state's final political status a matter of enduring dispute.

Article 370 of the Indian Constitution, incorporated in 1949, accorded Jammu and Kashmir a special autonomous status, including a separate state constitution, a distinct state flag, restrictions on the acquisition of property by non-residents, and exemptions from several central legislation. This arrangement was justified at the time as a transitional provision but became a permanent feature of the state's constitutional identity for seven decades. The article was abrogated by Presidential Order on August 5, 2019, under the Government of Prime Minister Narendra Modi, and Jammu and Kashmir was bifurcated into two Union Territories.

## 2. The Exodus of 1990: Causes and Processes

### 2.1 The Insurgency and the Climate of Terror

The immediate context of the exodus was the armed insurgency that erupted in the Kashmir Valley in late 1989, fueled by cross-border support from Pakistan and the activities of armed Islamist militant organizations including the Jammu Kashmir Liberation Front (JKLF) and Hizbul Mujahideen. The insurgency drew on deep-seated political grievances — including allegations of electoral manipulation in the 1987 state elections — and was accompanied by a campaign of targeted violence against prominent Kashmiri Pandits.

Beginning in late 1989, a series of assassinations of prominent Kashmiri Pandits — including the killing of Pandit Tika Lal Taploo, a senior BJP functionary, in September 1989, and the abduction and murder of retired judge Neelkanth Ganjoo in November 1989 — created a climate of pervasive fear within the community. Mosques were reportedly used to broadcast threats, with loudspeakers calling on Pandits to leave the Valley or face violence. Slogans such as 'Raliv, Chaliv, ya Galiv' (Convert, Leave, or Die) were widely reported to have circulated during this period.

## 2.2 The Night of January 19, 1990

The night of January 19, 1990, is etched in the collective memory of the Kashmiri Pandit community as the decisive moment of displacement. On that night — during the tenure of the newly appointed Governor Jagmohan, who had replaced the government of Chief Minister Farooq Abdullah — mosques across the Valley reportedly broadcast threatening messages throughout the night. Large-scale pro-independence demonstrations were held simultaneously. In the days and weeks that followed, the exodus of Kashmiri Pandits became a flood: families packed what they could carry and departed for Jammu, Delhi, and other cities across India.

The role of the state in facilitating, enabling, or merely failing to prevent the exodus remains deeply contested. Some scholars and community members hold Governor Jagmohan responsible for encouraging the departure of Pandits in order to provide security forces a freer hand against the insurgency. Others argue that the violence was sufficiently severe to compel departure regardless of state action or inaction. What is not in dispute is that the state's response — both in preventing the violence and in providing for those who fled — was wholly inadequate.

## 2.3 Scale and Documentation

The scale of the exodus is itself a matter of some contention, reflecting the politically charged nature of the subject. Government of India figures and community organization estimates diverge significantly. The Pandit Sangharsh Samiti estimated that 399 Kashmiri Pandits were killed by militant groups between 1990 and 2011, with approximately 75 percent of these deaths occurring in 1990 alone. The Ministry of Home Affairs recorded 217 Hindu deaths between 1988 and 1991. The total number of displaced persons is estimated at between 100,000 and 500,000 families — a range that itself reflects the inadequacy of systematic documentation.

Subsequent incidents demonstrated that the threat to the remaining Pandit population persisted well beyond the initial exodus. The Wandhama massacre of January 1998, in which 23 Kashmiri Pandits were killed in Ganderbal district, and the Nadimarg massacre of March 2003, in which 24 Pandits were killed in Pulwama district, underscored the vulnerability of those who had chosen to remain.

## 3. Long-Term Consequences of Displacement

### 3.1 Socioeconomic Deterioration

The socioeconomic consequences of the exodus have been severe and enduring. Kashmiri Pandits who had occupied positions of relative privilege within the Valley — as administrators, lawyers, doctors, academics, and traders — found themselves reduced to dependency upon state relief in makeshift camps in Jammu and Delhi. The initial relief provided by the Government of India — a monthly cash dole, rations, and accommodation in tented camps — was wholly inadequate and demeaning for a community unaccustomed to displacement.

Over the following decades, the economic trajectories of displaced Kashmiri Pandits have diverged considerably. A section of the community — particularly those with higher educational qualifications and professional skills — was able to integrate into the economies of receiving cities, notably Delhi and Jammu, and has achieved a degree of economic recovery. However, a significant proportion, particularly older members of the community and those who lacked educational capital, remain in conditions of poverty and social marginalization. The property and businesses left behind in the Valley — rarely recovered — represent a massive and largely uncompensated economic loss.

### 3.2 Psychological Trauma and Mental Health

The psychological consequences of the exodus have been profound and multi-generational. Survivors of the 1990 violence and displacement carry the burden of trauma, grief, and a profound sense of betrayal — by the state that failed to protect them, by neighbors who did not intervene, and by a national public that was largely indifferent. Studies of displaced communities globally have consistently found elevated rates of post-traumatic stress disorder, depression, and anxiety among those who have experienced forced displacement, and there is no reason to believe that Kashmiri Pandits are an exception.

A distinctive feature of the Kashmiri Pandit psychological experience is the unresolved nature of the displacement: unlike communities displaced by conflict that subsequently ended, Kashmiri Pandits have remained in a state of suspended return for more than three decades. The ambiguity of their situation — neither fully integrated into receiving communities nor able to return to their homeland — has compounded the psychological burden of displacement. The second and third generations of displaced Pandits carry inherited trauma alongside a complex and often painful relationship with an identity rooted in a place they have never inhabited.

### 3.3 Cultural Identity Loss and Community Erosion

The cultural consequences of the exodus are perhaps the most difficult to quantify but are no less significant. Kashmiri Pandits possessed a rich and distinctive cultural heritage — encompassing the Kashmiri language (Koshur), a body of Sanskrit and vernacular literature, a tradition of Shaivite ritual and festival life, distinctive cuisine, music, and architectural practice — that was inseparable from the physical landscape of the Valley. Displacement has fundamentally disrupted the conditions for the reproduction of this culture.

In diaspora, the Kashmiri language is rapidly declining as a living tongue, with younger generations shifting to Hindi and English. Ritual practices associated with specific temples, springs, and shrines in the Valley cannot be replicated in exile. The oral traditions, folk narratives, and community memories that constitute the living substance of any culture are at risk of irreversible loss as the generation that lived in the Valley passes away.

## 4. State Response, Civil Society, and the Politics of Recognition

The response of the Indian state to the Kashmiri Pandit crisis has been widely criticized as inadequate, inconsistent, and entangled with electoral and partisan considerations. Initial relief measures — tented camps in Jammu, meagre cash doles, limited employment provisions — were designed as temporary arrangements that became permanent by default. The Kashmiri Pandit Rehabilitation Council and subsequent government schemes have provided some assistance, but systematic rehabilitation has never been achieved.

The political dimension of the Kashmiri Pandit cause has complicated its resolution. The community has been instrumentalized by various political parties as a symbol of Hindu victimhood in the context of broader national political narratives, while simultaneously being denied the sustained policy attention their condition requires. The result has been a pattern of symbolic recognition combined with practical neglect — a form of what sociologist Nancy Fraser has called 'misrecognition' even within ostensibly affirming political contexts.

Civil society organizations — including the Pandit Sangharsh Samiti, Kashmiri Pandit Sangharsh Samiti, and various diaspora associations — have played an important role in documenting the community's experiences, advocating for their rights, and preserving cultural memory. However, the organizational landscape of Kashmiri Pandit civil society is fragmented, and community organizations have often been unable to speak with a unified voice on questions of rehabilitation and resettlement.

## 5. The Abrogation of Article 370 and Its Implications

The abrogation of Article 370 on August 5, 2019, and the bifurcation of the state of Jammu and Kashmir into two Union Territories represented the most significant constitutional change in the region since 1949. For Kashmiri Pandits and their advocates, the abrogation was welcomed as removing a constitutional provision that had, inter alia, restricted the acquisition of property by non-residents and thus complicated the return and resettlement of displaced families.

However, the practical implications of the abrogation for Kashmiri Pandit rehabilitation have been limited. The security situation in the Valley, while improved relative to the peak insurgency years, remains volatile, as demonstrated by a series of targeted killings of Kashmiri Pandit employees posted to the Valley under the Prime Minister's Employment Package in 2022. The government's resettlement initiatives — including the construction of transit accommodation in the Valley — have proceeded slowly and have not yet generated significant return migration.

The sociological significance of the abrogation lies less in its immediate practical effects than in its symbolic and political dimensions. It has altered the terms of the debate on Kashmiri Pandit rehabilitation, creating new possibilities — and new tensions — in the discourse on return. Whether these possibilities will translate into substantive rehabilitation remains, as of the time of writing, an open question.

## 6. Theoretical Framework and Analytical Contributions

The Kashmiri Pandit case invites analysis through several overlapping theoretical lenses. From the perspective of internal displacement studies, it represents a distinctive case in which the displacing force was not the state itself but non-state armed actors operating within a context of state failure — a configuration that differs from the state-sponsored displacement that has been the primary focus of the global internal displacement literature.

From the perspective of minority rights theory, the case raises fundamental questions about the adequacy of formal constitutional protections in contexts of intense communal mobilization. The Kashmiri Pandits were formally protected by the Constitution of India; their *de facto* vulnerability reveals the gap between legal entitlement and practical security that has been a recurring theme in the literature on minority rights in post-colonial democracies.

From the perspective of identity politics, the case illustrates the complex relationship between place, memory, and collective identity. The Kashmiri Pandit identity — rooted in a specific landscape, language, and ritual tradition — has been profoundly destabilized by displacement, yet simultaneously reinforced and politicized through the experience of exile. The community's identity now exists in a constitutive tension between the pull of return and the reality of diasporization.

## 7. Methodological Approach

This study adopts a mixed-methods research design, combining systematic secondary source analysis with selective primary data collection. The secondary source base encompasses government records and parliamentary debates; reports of the Ministry of Home Affairs on internal security (1988-1995); organizational reports of community bodies including the Pandit Sangharsh Samiti; peer-reviewed academic literature on the Kashmir conflict and internal displacement; and journalistic and memoir literature by members of the displaced community.

Primary data will be collected through a structured questionnaire administered to displaced Kashmiri Pandit respondents in Jammu, Delhi NCR, and other major urban centres through purposive and snowball sampling. The questionnaire — available in both Hindi and English — will address socioeconomic conditions before and after displacement, experiences of trauma and psychological distress, patterns of cultural practice and identity, attitudes toward return and rehabilitation, and perceptions of the adequacy of state response. All primary data collection will be conducted in compliance with ethical norms including informed consent, anonymity, and data security.

## 8. Gaps in the Literature and Research Contributions

The existing literature on the Kashmiri Pandit crisis, while growing, remains limited in several important respects. Scholars such as Balraj Puri, Sumantra Bose, and Ashutosh Varshney have addressed dimensions of the Kashmir conflict, but sociological studies focused specifically on the displaced Kashmiri Pandit community are rare. The most significant gaps include: the long-term psychological consequences of displacement; the processes of cultural identity erosion in the second and third generations of the diaspora; the socioeconomic trajectories of displaced families over three decades; and a systematic comparative analysis of the Kashmiri Pandit case within the global literature on internal displacement.

This article and the broader research programme of which it forms a part seek to address these gaps through rigorous sociological analysis. Its contributions include: a comprehensive documentation of the long-term consequences of displacement for the Kashmiri Pandit community; an analytical framework for studying minority vulnerability and internal displacement in multi-religious democracies; policy-relevant insights for the Government of India and the

Jammu and Kashmir administration; and a model for sociological inquiry into issues of communal violence, forced migration, and cultural identity loss.

## 9. Conclusion

The Kashmiri Pandit Exodus of 1990 is not merely a historical event but an ongoing human crisis. More than three decades after the displacement, the community remains largely in exile, its members grappling with the accumulated burdens of trauma, economic loss, cultural erosion, and political marginalization. The abrogation of Article 370 has altered the constitutional landscape but has not yet translated into substantive rehabilitation. The wounds of 1990 remain open.

Sociology, as a discipline, has an obligation to attend to the experiences of vulnerable and marginalized communities — including communities whose marginalization does not conform to dominant political narratives. The Kashmiri Pandit community, displaced from its homeland by violence and sustained by memory and cultural resilience, deserves sustained scholarly attention. This article is offered as a contribution to that project.

Future research should attend to the intersectional dimensions of the community's experience — the differential impacts of displacement on women, the elderly, and successive generations; the relationship between economic capital and recovery trajectories; and the complex interplay between political recognition and psychological healing. The Kashmiri Pandit case has much to teach the broader fields of internal displacement studies, minority rights theory, and the sociology of communal violence in South Asia.

---

## References

- Bose, S. (2003). *Kashmir: Roots of Conflict, Paths to Peace*. Harvard University Press.
- Fraser, N. (1995). From Redistribution to Recognition? Dilemmas of Justice in a 'Post-Socialist' Age. *New Left Review*, 212, 68–93.
- Government of India, Ministry of Home Affairs. (1988–1995). *Annual Reports on Internal Security*. Government of India Press.
- Koul, O. N. (2006). *Kashmiri Pandits: A Cultural Heritage*. Gyan Publishing House.
- Pandit Sangharsh Samiti. (2008–2009). *Survey Reports on Kashmiri Pandit Killings*. Jammu. Puri,
- B. (2008). *Kashmir: Insurgency and After*. Orient Longman.
- Rai, M. (2004). *Hindu Rulers, Muslim Subjects: Islam, Rights, and the History of Kashmir*. Princeton University Press.
- Snedden, C. (2015). *Understanding Kashmir and Kashmiris*. Hurst and Company.
- Teng, M. K., & Bhatt, C. L. (1990). *Kashmir: Article 370*. Anmol Publications.
- UNHCR. (2004). *Handbook for the Protection of Internally Displaced Persons*. United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees.
- Varshney, A. (2002). *Ethnic Conflict and Civic Life: Hindus and Muslims in India*. Yale University Press.
- The Constitution of India: Articles 1, 5, 35A, 360, 368, 370 and related provisions.
- United Service Institution of India. <https://usiofindia.org> KashmirForum.org — Archival materials and community records.