International Journal of Science, Strategic Management and Technology
Volume **02** Issue **04** April-**2026** | ISSN: **3108-1762 (Online)** | Impact Factor: 3.8
An International, Peer-Reviewed, Open Access Scholarly Journal Indexed in recognized academic databases

Digital Revolution in Healthcare: Evolution of Online Doctors & Patient Satisfaction

Submitted by:

Ipyana Tumaini (2023812240)

Hannat Aden (2023841394)

Corresponding Author

Dr. Richa Pandey

https://doi.org/10.55041/ijsmt.v2i4.463

Cite this Article: Tumaini, I. & Aden, H. (2026). Digital Revolution in Healthcare: Evolution of Online Doctors & Patient Satisfaction. International Journal of Science, Strategic Management and Technology, *02*(04). https://doi.org/10.55041/ijsmt.v2i4.463

ABSTRACT

Artificial intelligence (AI), blockchain technology, and telemedicine platforms have brought about a massively digital revolution to the healthcare sector. The authors of this paper dwell on the history of digital healthcare technologies with the primary focus on customer satisfaction in using the online doctor visit services, AI chatbots, and blockchain protected health records. The study employs a primary survey designed to gauge user experience based on accessibility, trust, response time, data security and comparative satisfaction between traditional and modern healthcare delivery by undertaking a structured primary survey on 45 respondents in Greater Noida and India in general. The results indicate that even though 73% of participants expressed general satisfaction with digital healthcare platforms, there are still major obstacles, such as a lack of digital literacy (offered by 62% of participants), data privacy issues (58%), and deficit of trust in AI-assisted diagnosis (51%). The chi-square test will establish statistically significant relationships between demographic characteristics (age, familiarity with technologies) and the outcomes of the satisfaction. The paper outlines significant research gaps of integrated assessment of blockchain, chatbots, and telemedicine, and proposes a framework on how to provide enhanced customer-centered digital health service delivery in developing economies

Keywords: Digital Healthcare, Telemedicine, AI Chatbots, Blockchain, Patient Satisfaction, Online Doctors, India.

1.Introduction

The world of healthcare is experiencing a technological transformation in an unprecedented way, with the recent rapid changes in artificial intelligence (AI), machine learning, blockchain, and telemedicine. A sector that was previously characterized by tangible infrastructure, face-to-face meetings, and paper-based record systems have been radically redefined in the context of digital innovation. This change is especially important to large and heterogeneous nations such as India, in which geographical differences, resource scarcity, and an already overstretched healthcare system have traditionally restricted access to quality healthcare. The digital revolution in healthcare is a concept that includes the process of applying advanced technologies to the entire gamut of healthcare delivery, including patient diagnosis and treatment recommendation, record management, and access to services. In India, the trend is already underway: symptom triage apps like Apollo 24/7 and Practo use AI-driven chatbots; hospitals are testing blockchain to secure electronic health records (EHR); and telemedicine services have seen an astronomical surge in usage as a consequence of the COVID-19 pandemic, which acted as a global catalyst in terms of remote healthcare adoption. Though the trend is obvious, one can still ask important questions regarding the experience and perception of the end-users, i.e., patients,

caregivers, and the general population, in relation to these digital health tools. A crucial but under-researched aspect of digital health studies, especially in the emerging markets, is customer satisfaction. In a study by Rabbani et al. (2025), it was observed that almost 40 percent of the doctors and clinicians in some healthcare systems had already implemented some type of AI tool, but not the patient-side perspectives are represented in the literature. The research paper is designed in a manner that it fills that gap. It is an in-depth exploration of three fundamental digital health innovations: blockchain, healthcare chatbots, and online doctor consultation platforms, and assesses them based on the user satisfaction, perceived utility, and encountered difficulties. The paper loosely follows a literature review and hypothesis development to the analysis of primary data and policy recommendations.

1. Literature Review

An overview of the literature indicates a dense yet discontinuous literature on digital healthcare transformation. The literature is categorized below by thematic groupings over three main areas of technology. 2.1 AI-Augmented Consultation and Telemedicine. Rabbani et al. (2025) showed that the development of telemedicine is significantly enhanced when combined with AI-based technologies, bringing clinically more effective results. Likewise, a similar upward trend in the last decade of AI-assisted healthcare service delivery has been recorded by Amjad et al. (2023). JAMA review (2023) and discoveries in Nature Medicine (2024) also confirm that virtual consultations have considerably shortened patient wait times and increased coverage but at the cost of diagnostic finesse in ambiguous cases. Sharma (2025) did an India-specific study that confirmed the improvement of operational output through AI integration with telemedicine, a fact that PubMed Study (2024) recorded the convenience noted in accessing doctors online. 2.2 Chatbots in Healthcare, AI-Powered. The combined efforts of Barreda et al. (2025), Wah (2025) and the PMC Review (2025) prove that healthcare chatbots are no longer a novelty, but an almost omnipresent component of consumer-facing health applications. Such systems can be used to schedule appointments, remind medications, provide mental health support, and assess the patient symptoms 24/7- materially decreasing the administrative load on the clinical staff. In particular, the potential of hybrid chatbot architectures in the context of mental health interventions is mentioned in the Frontiers (2025) study. But, according to Ullah Aslam (2020), there is a significant conflict: chatbot interaction involves significant data privacy threats that undermine patient trust, which Nguyen (2023) evaluates in the context of the broader issue of the security of digital health platforms. 2.3 The use of blockchain in Healthcare Data Management. The use of blockchain in healthcare data is one of the most technologically advanced advances in healthcare. Shinde et al. (2022) assessed the integration of blockchain and AI systems in healthcare systems and came to the conclusion that the technological robustness is enhanced, but there are gaps in implementation. Nguyen (2023) also listed the practical bottlenecks in blockchain adoption, which include primarily patient confidentiality and system interoperability. A survey of blockchain-enhanced IoT healthcare environments in ScienceDirect (2024) reported efficiency benefits including a reduction in staff burden, and the WHO Digital Health Systems report (2024) recommended the world to standardise blockchain-based health data structures. Aydin and Başaran (2025) estimated a safe digital health future based on the ability of blockchain to maintain records across jurisdictions in a tamper-free way. The analysis of this literature demonstrates a uniform gap in the research: few studies simultaneously consider patient-facing satisfaction in blockchain, chatbot, and telemedicine modalities. Moreover, the situation of the developing country, especially the experience of the Indian healthcare consumer is underrepresented, which is the driving force behind the current research.

3. Research Objectives and Hypotheses

.

3.1 Research Objectives • To assess the level of customer satisfaction with the digital health technologies such as online doctor consultations, AI chatbots, and blockchain-protected platforms among an Indian population.

• To find out the main barriers and challenges faced by the users of digital healthcare services.

• To investigate the statistical correlation between demographic factors (age, gender, digital familiarity) and user satisfaction results.

• To make evidence-based suggestions on how to enhance the digital healthcare experience in developing economies.

3.2 Research Hypotheses

Table 1: Research Hypotheses

Hypothesis	Statement	Expected Direction
H_1	**There is a significant positive relationship between ease of platform use and overall satisfaction with online healthcare services.**	**Positive**
H_2	**Age is significantly associated with levels of trust in AI-based medical diagnosis.**	**Inverse (older = lower trust)**
H_3	**Users with higher perceived data security report greater satisfaction with digital healthcare platforms.**	**Positive**
H_4	**Satisfaction with digital healthcare services is significantly higher among users who have experienced reduced wait times compared to traditional visits.**	**Positive**

4. Research Methodology

4.1 Research Design This research design is a cross-sectional survey design, which is quantitative. A structured 15-item questionnaire was used to gather primary data through the use of digital tools (Google Forms) where a convenience sample of 45 respondents selected in Greater Noida and other urban and semi-urban areas in India was asked to complete the questionnaire. The questionnaire was divided into two parts, Section A included a sociodemographic part (age, gender, previous use of digital healthcare), and Section B included customer satisfaction, perceived usability, trust in AI and chatbot systems, data security concerns, and comparative analysis in comparison to traditional healthcare. The sampling and data collection are also described

4.2. The convenience sampling was used due to practical limitations of time and resources. The sample is small (n = 45), but it was purposely diversified in terms of age groups and gender to reduce systematic bias. The data were gathered between 2026 (February to March). A clear questionnaire was also pre-tested in five respondents to test internal consistency before being fully deployed.

4.3 Statistical Analysis and Software. All the survey items were calculated using descriptive statistics (frequencies, percentages). To test hypotheses, chi-square (χ^2) tests of independence were used to determine the relationship between categorical demographic variables and outcomes of the satisfaction. The Likert-scale responses were considered ordinal data and summarized as composite indices of satisfaction. To communicate distributional results, cross-tabulations and bar chart visualizations based on percentages were used. All statistical tests were done at a 95% level of confidence (= 0.05).

5. Data Analysis and Findings.

5.1 Demographic Characteristics of the Respondents. The 45 respondents sample was characterized by a significant demographic heterogeneity, which empowers the generalization of the findings in its context. The age distribution showed that most of the respondents were younger (1825 years), as the adoption of technology is higher among the younger age group.

Table 2: Age Distribution of Respondents (n = 45)

Age Group	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Below 18	4	8.9
18–25	22	48.9
26–35	11	24.4
36–50	6	13.3
Above 50	2	4.4
Total	45	100.0

Table 3: Gender Distribution of Respondents (n = 45)

Gender	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Male	24	53.3
Female	19	42.2
Prefer not to say	2	4.4
Total	45	100.0

5.2 Digital Healthcare Usage

Out of a total of 45 respondents, 38 (84.4) attested to having used at least one digital healthcare service previously. The most commonly used services were online appointment booking (31%), online doctor consultation (29%), then healthcare chatbots (22%), and the least well-known among consumers was blockchain-based digital health records (8%), which is typical of the nascent consumer-facing implementation of the technology.

Figure 1: Digital Healthcare Service Usage by Type (%)

Online Appointments		31%
Online Doctor Consult		29%
Healthcare Chatbots		22%
Health Apps / mHealth		10%
Blockchain Health Records		8%

Figure 1: Distribution of digital healthcare service usage among

5.3.1 The level of satisfaction with online doctor consultations.

The respondents who had ever used online doctor consultation services were requested to rate their general satisfaction. Findings show that most of the respondents are satisfied: 73.3% were satisfied (Very Satisfied or Satisfied), 15.6% were neutral, and 11.1% were not satisfied. Chi-square test of H 0 (ease of use vs. satisfaction) = 11.34, p = 0.023 showed that there is a statistically significant positive relationship.

Table 4: Satisfaction with Online Doctor Consultations

Satisfaction Level	Frequency	Percentage (%)	Cumulative (%)
Very Satisfied	14	31.1	31.1
Satisfied	19	42.2	73.3
Neutral	7	15.6	88.9
Dissatisfied	4	8.9	97.8
Very Dissatisfied	1	2.2	100.0

Figure 2: Satisfaction with Online Doctor Consultations (%)

Very Satisfied			31%
Satisfied			42%
Neutral			16%
Dissatisfied			9%
Very Dissatisfied			2%

Figure 2: Satisfaction distribution for online doctor consultations (n = 45)

5.4 Confidence in AI Chatbots and Data security.

The respondents had moderate trust in healthcare chatbots: 42.2% of the respondents agreed or strongly agreed that medical advice given by the chatbot was trustworthy, and 35.6% disagreed. In terms of data security, a considerable share of 37.8% were certain that their medical data was safe on online communication, which suggests that there is a remaining gap in perception of security perceptions. The age group cross-tabulated with the AI trust (H 2) provided 2 (8) = 16.72, p = 0.033, in support of the hypothesis that the older participants have a significantly lower level of trust in AI-assisted diagnosis.

Table 5: Trust in AI Chatbots vs. Data Security Perceptions

Statement	Agree / Strongly Agree (%)	Neutral (%)	Disagree / Strongly Disagree (%)
Trust in chatbot medical advice	42.2	22.2	35.6
Data is safe on digital platforms	37.8	26.7	35.6
Blockchain improves data security (awareness)	46.7	31.1	22.2
Online doctor adequately addressed concerns	64.4	17.8	17.8

5.5 Comparative Satisfaction: Digital vs. Traditional Healthcare. In response to the question about comparing digital healthcare to traditional hospital visits, 48.9% of the participants rated digital services as being Better or Much Better, 26.7% rated them as the same and 24.4% rated traditional services higher. This result is supported by H 4 testing:

respondents who had a shorter time of effective consultation through digital channels had a much higher comparative satisfaction score (2(4) = 9.87, p = 0.043).

Figure 3: Comparative Satisfaction — Digital vs. Traditional Healthcare (%)

Much Better			**18%**
Better			**31%**
Same			**27%**
Worse			**16%**
Much Worse			**8%**

Figure 3: User-rated comparison of digital healthcare vs. traditional hospital visits (n = 45)

5.6 Key Challenges Identified

Barriers to successful adoption of digital healthcare were identified by the respondents. Most of the issues expressed concerned privacy and security, then the wrong diagnosis, and lack of face-to-face clinical interaction. The four hypotheses are all accepted at the 0.05 level of significance. The similarity of results in the hypotheses supports the theoretical framework: ease of use, age-mediated trust, perceived data security, and comparative efficiency are all statistically significant predictors of user satisfaction with digital healthcare services in this sample.

5.7 Summary of Hypothesis Test Results

Table 7: Chi-Square Test Results Summary

Hypothesis	χ^2 Value	df	p-value	Result
H_1: Ease of Use → Satisfaction	**11.34**	**4**	**0.023**	**Supported**
H_2: Age → Trust in AI	**16.72**	**8**	**0.033**	**Supported**
H_3: Data Security → Satisfaction	**13.18**	**4**	**0.011**	**Supported**
H_4: Wait Time Reduction → Comparative Satisfaction	**9.87**	**4**	**0.043**	**Supported**

The significance level of all four hypotheses is 0.05. Their similarity in the outcomes of hypotheses supports the conceptual framework: ease of use, age-mediated trust, perceived data security, and comparative efficiency are all statistically significant predictors of user satisfaction with digital healthcare services in this sample.

6. Discussion and Recommendations

The figures help to paint a subtle picture of digital healthcare adoption in India. A substantial proportion of the users are pleased with the digital health services- especially online consultations, but there are still considerable structural challenges that hamper the complete adoption and confidence.. The fact that the percentage of those who mention digital literacy as a concern (62.2) is high implies that the introduction of technology is not enough; the implementation of technology needs to be accompanied by the investment in education of users. In a similar vein, the underlying anxiety related to data privacy (57.8%) requires healthcare platforms to inform users about security measures in a way that is easy to understand and transparent, since blockchain awareness (46.7%), although current (46.7%), is yet to be transformed into trust. The result that trust in AI-assisted diagnosis is much lower in older cohorts (H 2, p = 0.033) is consistent with the general literature on the digital divide and indicates the necessity of interface design that accommodates older users. Hybrid service models- integrating AI effectiveness with accessible human touchpoints - could be the most realistic

short-term solution to the population that has concerns about being entirely automated in interactions with healthcare services. The data on comparative satisfaction (Figure 3) show that almost a quarter of the participants continue to prefer traditional healthcare visits, which must not be used to make an assumption that digital platforms are universally popular. In this segment, digital healthcare should gain trust gradually by providing stable service quality, provider networks, and prompt after-consultation services. The study suggests the following recommendations based on these findings: (i) government support of digital literacy initiatives aimed at healthcare app users; (ii) mandatory disclosure of data governance to all digital health platforms; (iii) gradual adoption of blockchain-secured EHRs with user-friendly trust indicators; (iv) further investment in rural broadband connections; and (v) the creation of inclusive UI/UX standards that can serve elderly and low-

7. Conclusion

The paper has discussed the digital revolution in Indian healthcare both in literature synthesis and an empirical approach, customer satisfaction, as a dimension of evaluation. The results affirm that digital health technologies, including telemedicine, AI-based chatbots, and blockchain-secured records, have provided quantifiable benefits in access, efficiency, and patient experience to a significant number of users. The COVID-19 pandemic has become a game-changer and remote consultation is now the new reality, accelerating the adoption of platforms among demographics. However, there is no doubt that technological advancements have passed the preparedness of users in many aspects. A lack of trust in AI diagnoses, data security concerns, the digital gap between educated urban and elderly, rural, and differences in regulatory and ethical supervision all limit the full potential of digital healthcare realization. All the four research hypothesis were proven and, as a set, all of them demonstrated that ease of use, age, perceived data security and efficiency gains are statistically significant predictors of satisfaction. The paper provides a combined patient-focused discussion of three intersecting healthcare technologies in a developing-economy setting- the viewpoint that has been under-represented in the world of digital health literature

RFERENCES

Amjad, A., et al. (2023). AI in Telehealth. MPDI Review.
Aydin, F., & Başaran, C. H. (2025). Blockchain revolution: Transforming digital health for a secure future. In Digital healthcare, digital transformation and citizen empowerment in Asia-Pacific and Europe (pp. 475–502). Academic Press.
Barreda, A., et al. (2025). Transforming healthcare with chatbots. PMC Healthcare Review.
Béranger, J., & Rizoulières, R. (Eds.). (2021). The digital revolution in health. John Wiley & Sons.
Duggal, R., Brindle, I., & Bagenal, J. (2018). Digital healthcare: Regulating the revolution. BMJ, 360.
IEEE Review. (2024). Medical data encryption and smart contracts in modern European healthcare systems.
JAMA Review. (2023). Telemedicine adoption and patient access improvement through virtual meetings.
Jat, A. S., & Grønli, T. M. (2024). mHealth applications and remote patient monitoring in Europe. mHealth Journal.
Khan, S., et al. (2025). From digital disruption to AI revolution: The evolution of healthcare transformation. Artificial Intelligence in Medicine and Healthcare, 31.
Naik, N., et al. (2022). Transforming healthcare through a digital revolution: A review of digital healthcare technologies and solutions. Frontiers in Digital Health, 4, 919985.
Nature Medicine. (2024). AI platforms in clinical diagnostics: Benefits and limitations.
Nguyen, T. (2023). Challenges of blockchain applications in healthcare systems. Springer Healthcare Technology.
PMC Review. (2025). Chatbot assistance and automated patient service delivery.
Rabbani, M., et al. (2025). Digital health transformation through telemedicine: Integrating AI for clinical efficiency.
Sharma, R. (2025). Smart healthcare and NLP: Integrating telemedicine and AI in India.
Shinde, P., et al. (2022). Blockchain and AI systems in healthcare: Security and implementation review.
ScienceDirect Survey. (2024). Blockchain-enhanced IoT healthcare and staff burden reduction.
Sriram, R. D., & Subrahmanian, E. (2020). Transforming health care through digital revolutions. Journal of the Indian Institute of Science, 100(4), 753–772.
Ullah Aslam, S. (2020). Blockchain in healthcare and medicine: Data privacy risks and patient trust.
Verma, P., et al. (2024). Future of electronic healthcare management: Blockchain and AI integration. In Next-Generation Cybersecurity. Springer Nature Singapore.
Wah, T. (2025). AI-powered hybrid chatbots in e-health sectors.
WHO. (2024). Digital health systems: Global adoption and standards report.