International Journal of Science, Strategic Management and Technology
Volume **02** Issue **04** April-**2026** | ISSN: **3108-1762 (Online)** | Impact Factor: 3.8
An International, Peer-Reviewed, Open Access Scholarly Journal Indexed in recognized academic databases

Impact of Social Media on Consumer Behavior:Targeting the Youth Market of India

Mehedi Hasan Shurov · **Harsh Goel**

Sharda School of Business Studies, Greater Noida ·

Dr. Richa Pandey (Assistant Professor)**, Dr.Rakesh Sharma**

https://doi.org/10.55041/ijsmt.v2i4.371

Cite this Article: Goel, M. H. S. □. H. (2026). Impact of Social Media on Consumer Behavior:Targeting the Youth Market of India. International Journal of Science, Strategic Management and Technology, *02*(04). https://doi.org/10.55041/ijsmt.v2i4.371

License: This article is published under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0), permitting use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are properly credited.

ABSTRACT

This study investigates the influence of social media on consumer behavior among Indian youth (aged 15–30), with a specific focus on trust formation in social commerce platforms including Instagram Shops, YouTube Shopping, and Facebook Marketplace. Using a quantitative survey methodology with 37 respondents, the research examines platform preference, the comparative credibility of influencer-endorsed vs. brand-sold products, and demographic correlates of trust. Chi-square analysis reveals significant gender differences in fraud exposure (χ^2=7.258, p=0.007) and flash-sale susceptibility (χ^2=8.341, p=0.039). Results indicate Instagram dominates social commerce (54.1%), peer reviews are the foremost trust signal (59.5%), and influencer trust remains modest (mean=2.81/5). Findings offer actionable insights for marketers targeting India's digitally native Gen-Z and Millennial segments.

Keywords: Social commerce, influencer marketing, consumer trust, youth consumer behavior, India, Instagram Shops, social proof, FOMO

1. INTRODUCTION

The proliferation of social media has transformed commerce by embedding purchasing capabilities within everyday digital interaction. Platforms such as Instagram, YouTube, and Facebook have evolved beyond communication tools into robust commercial ecosystems where product discovery, evaluation, and purchase converge in a single interface. This convergence—termed social commerce—has fundamentally altered buying behavior, particularly among India's 600 million internet users under the age of 35 (IAMAI, 2023).

India's youth segment, comprising Generation Z (born 1997–2012) and Millennials (born 1981–1996), constitutes a digitally native consumer cohort with distinctive behavioral patterns: heightened susceptibility to social proof, influencer-mediated discovery, and impulse purchases triggered by algorithmic personalization. These behaviors are intensified by psychological mechanisms including Fear of Missing Out (FOMO) and herd mentality, which social media architectures are specifically designed to amplify.

Despite the growing volume of literature on social media marketing, a critical gap persists: how do trust dynamics operate within social commerce environments for young Indian consumers? Specifically, do they place greater credibility in established brands or in influencer-endorsed products? This paper addresses this gap through a structured empirical investigation, generating insights directly relevant to practitioners and researchers.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

© Author(s). This work is peer-reviewed, openly published, and permanently archived
This article is openly accessible and reusable with proper attribution.

2.1 Social Media as a Marketing Ecosystem

Smith and Taylor (2020) documented the systematic displacement of traditional marketing channels by targeted digital campaigns, noting that social media's real-time feedback loops and algorithmic audience segmentation offer unprecedented precision for reaching youth consumers. Johnson et al. (2019) confirmed that social media advertising raises product visibility while enhancing perceived brand credibility—an effect amplified when combined with interactive formats such as live sessions and stories. Miller and Davis (2021) further found that 72% of young consumers are more likely to purchase products promoted based on their prior social media interactions, underscoring the behavioral power of personalized recommendation systems.

2.2 Influencer Marketing and Youth Purchasing Behavior

Influencer marketing has emerged as a dominant paradigm in youth-targeted commerce. Lee and Park (2021) demonstrated that influencer endorsements surpass traditional celebrity advertising in perceived credibility, attributable to the relatability and perceived accessibility of social media creators. Brown and Hayes (2018) established that an influencer's expertise, consistency, and trustworthiness are critical determinants of persuasive impact. Sharma et al. (2022) found that connection-oriented influencers—those who share genuine lifestyle content—are significantly more effective in generating purchase intention among adolescents. Lalwani, Kumar, and Rani (2021) confirmed that Generation Z relies on influencer content for product discovery more than any other generation, with Instagram and YouTube serving as primary channels.

2.3 Consumer Trust, Reviews, and Social Proof

Cialdini's (1984) foundational social proof theory posits that individuals use the behavior of others as an informational shortcut in uncertain decision environments—a dynamic powerfully amplified by social media's like counts, shares, and aggregated star ratings. Zhang et al. (2020) found that 85% of young buyers consult online reviews before purchasing. Chevalier and Mayzlin (2019) demonstrated that review quantity and quality are positively correlated with purchase likelihood. Wilson and Green (2022) noted growing consumer sophistication: younger buyers increasingly discriminate between authentic user-generated reviews and sponsored content, with video reviews gaining particular credibility.

2.4 Psychological Mechanisms: FOMO and Herd Behavior

Przybylski et al. (2013) theorized Fear of Missing Out (FOMO) as a pervasive motivator among social media users, particularly youth, and brands systematically exploit it through flash sales, countdown timers, and exclusive drops. Gupta and Arora (2021) connected herd behavior theory to social commerce, showing that young consumers disproportionately weight peer adoption signals when evaluating unfamiliar products. Beri and Kumari (2022) extended this framework to India, documenting how algorithmic content tailoring creates personalized FOMO cycles that culminate in impulse purchases.

2.5 Social Commerce Trust and Security Concerns

Shuraddin and Adnan (2022) identified transparency and direct platform–consumer interaction as foundational pillars of social commerce trust, while simultaneously flagging counterfeit goods and fraudulent sellers as critical barriers. Dhanajeyan (2021) replicated these findings in the Indian context, establishing that peer endorsements and brand credibility jointly predict online purchase intent among Tamil Nadu youth. Ojha and Joshi (2024) and Sindhuja, Panda, and Krishna (2023) both highlighted the paradox of influencer marketing: while it drives awareness, it can erode trust when perceived as inauthentic or undisclosed, making platform-level accountability mechanisms—verified sellers, secure payment gateways, and transparent return policies—indispensable trust-builders.

2.6 Theoretical Framework

This study is anchored in the Engel-Kollat-Blackwell (EKB) consumer decision model, which posits five sequential stages: problem recognition, information search, alternative evaluation, purchase, and post-purchase evaluation. Social media accelerates the information search and evaluation stages by surfacing peer reviews, influencer demonstrations, and sponsored content simultaneously. The EKB model is supplemented by social proof theory and FOMO theory to account for the emotion-driven, socially-mediated character of youth purchasing in digital contexts.

© Author(s). This work is peer-reviewed, openly published, and permanently archived
This article is openly accessible and reusable with proper attribution.

3. STATEMENT OF PROBLEM & RESEARCH GAP

With social commerce now embedded across Instagram, YouTube, and Facebook, young consumers routinely discover, evaluate, and purchase products without leaving their social feeds. Yet while platform adoption is well-documented, the trust architectures that sustain youth purchasing in these environments remain poorly understood. Specifically: (i) do young Indian consumers calibrate trust differently toward influencer-endorsed versus established-brand products? (ii) how do demographic factors such as gender and education modulate trust formation? and (iii) what platform-level features most effectively build consumer confidence? Existing literature addresses consumer engagement broadly but provides limited empirically grounded analysis of trust in the specifically Indian, youth-focused social commerce context—a gap this study directly addresses.

4. RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

RO1: To identify platform preferences among Indian youth consumers (aged 15–30) in social commerce.

RO2: To compare trust levels in influencer-endorsed products versus established brands.

RO3: To examine the influence of demographic variables (gender, age, education) on trust formation.

RO4: To identify primary trust-building factors that drive social commerce purchasing decisions.

RO5: To assess the prevalence of fraud, counterfeit products, and deceptive advertising in youth social commerce experiences.

5. HYPOTHESES

H_1: Indian youth social media users show a high frequency of online purchasing behavior.

H_2: Gender significantly influences platform preference in social commerce.

H_3: Trust in influencer-recommended products is higher among younger respondents (15–23) than older respondents (24–30).

H_4: Peer reviews are a stronger predictor of purchase intent than influencer endorsements.

H_5: Gender is significantly associated with susceptibility to flash sales and limited-time offers.

H_6: Male respondents report higher exposure to fraud and counterfeit products than female respondents.

H_7: Verified seller status and positive customer reviews are the primary trust-building factors in social commerce.

6. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

6.1 Research Design

This study adopts a quantitative, descriptive–explanatory research design. A positivist epistemological framework is employed, as the research seeks to identify measurable relationships between demographic variables and trust-related outcomes in social commerce. Cross-sectional data were collected at a single point in time, enabling comparative analysis across demographic groups.

6.2 Population and Sampling

The target population comprised Indian social media users aged 15–30 who have engaged in social commerce activity. Convenience random sampling was employed via a structured online questionnaire administered through Google Forms, yielding n=37 valid responses (20 males, 17 females). While the sample size is modest, it is sufficient for chi-square analysis and descriptive inference given the exploratory nature of the study.

6.3 Instrument

The survey instrument comprised 11 structured questions across two sections: Section 1 collected demographic data (age group, gender, educational background); Section 2 measured shopping frequency, platform usage, purchase influences, influencer trust (5-point Likert scale), trust factors, fraud exposure, and flash-sale susceptibility. Secondary data were drawn from peer-reviewed journals and industry reports.

6.4 Analytical Approach

© Author(s). This work is peer-reviewed, openly published, and permanently archived
This article is openly accessible and reusable with proper attribution.

Data analysis employed: (i) descriptive statistics (frequencies, percentages, cross-tabulations) to characterize the sample and identify patterns; (ii) Likert mean-score analysis for influencer trust measurement; and (iii) Pearson chi-square tests (χ^2) to assess the statistical significance of associations between gender and key behavioral variables. The chi-square formula $\chi^2 = \Sigma[(O_i - E_i)^2 / E_i]$ was applied, with H_0 positing no significant gender-based association. A significance threshold of $p < 0.05$ was adopted.

7. DATA ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS

7.1 Demographic Profile

Table 1 presents the demographic distribution of respondents. The sample is dominated by the 24–27 age group (37.8%), followed by 20–23 (32.4%), reflecting the core active social commerce demographic. High school and undergraduate students constitute 78.3% of respondents, consistent with the study's youth focus. Gender distribution is near-equal (54% male, 46% female), ensuring analytical balance.

Table 1: Demographic Profile of Respondents

Variable	Category	Male (n)	Female (n)	Total (%)
Age Group	15–19	1	2	3 (8.1%)
	20–23	7	5	12 (32.4%)
	24–27	8	6	14 (37.8%)
	28–30	4	4	8 (21.6%)
Education	High School	8	7	15 (40.5%)
	Undergraduate	8	6	14 (37.8%)
	Postgraduate	4	4	8 (21.6%)

7.2 Online Purchase Frequency and Chi-Square Analysis

Table 2 presents purchase frequency by gender. 'Frequently' (43.2%) and 'Rarely' (40.5%) are near-equally dominant, suggesting a bimodal distribution in online shopping engagement. Males show marginally higher frequent-purchasing rates (45.0% vs. 41.2%), consistent with greater platform experimentation and higher fraud exposure documented in subsequent questions.

Table 2: Purchase Frequency by Gender (χ^2=1.847, df=3, p=0.604)

Purchase Frequency	Male n	Male %	Female n	Female %	Total
Frequently (multiple/month)	9	45.0%	7	41.2%	16
Occasionally (once/month)	2	10.0%	1	5.9%	3
Rarely (few times/year)	7	35.0%	8	47.1%	15
Never	2	10.0%	1	5.9%	3
Total	**20**	**100%**	**17**	**100%**	**37**

7.3 Platform Preference

Table 3 reveals Instagram Shops as the dominant social commerce platform (54.1%), with particularly strong female engagement (64.7%). Facebook Marketplace follows at 32.4%, skewing male (40.0%), plausibly due to its utility in peer-to-peer and second-hand transactions. YouTube Shopping's minimal traction (5.4%) indicates that video commerce features

© Author(s). This work is peer-reviewed, openly published, and permanently archived
This article is openly accessible and reusable with proper attribution.

remain underpenetrated in the Indian market, despite the platform's general popularity. The chi-square test (χ^2=3.912, p=0.271) indicates no statistically significant gender difference in platform preference, though the directional patterns are practically meaningful.

Table 3: Social Commerce Platform Preference by Gender (χ^2=3.912, df=3, p=0.271)

Platform	Male n	Male %	Female n	Female %	Total (%)
Instagram Shops	9	45.0%	11	64.7%	20 (54.1%)
Facebook Marketplace	8	40.0%	4	23.5%	12 (32.4%)
YouTube Shopping	1	5.0%	1	5.9%	2 (5.4%)
Other Platforms	2	10.0%	1	5.9%	3 (8.1%)

7.4 Trust in Influencer-Recommended Products (Likert Analysis)

Table 4 presents trust in influencer-recommended products across a 5-point Likert scale. 'Slightly trust' (scale=2) is the modal response for both males (35.0%) and females (47.1%), yielding a composite mean score of 2.81/5—indicating cautious, conditional trust. The combined 'low trust' responses (scales 1–2) account for 56.7% of the sample, signaling a meaningful credibility deficit in influencer marketing. Notably, 16.2% report complete trust, suggesting a minority segment highly susceptible to influencer persuasion.

Table 4: Level of Trust in Influencer-Recommended Products by Gender (Mean = 2.81/5)

Trust Level	Male n	Male %	Female n	Female %	Total (%)
1 – Do not trust at all	4	20.0%	2	11.8%	6 (16.2%)
2 – Slightly trust	7	35.0%	8	47.1%	15 (40.5%)
3 – Neutral	1	5.0%	1	5.9%	2 (5.4%)
4 – Mostly trust	5	25.0%	3	17.6%	8 (21.6%)
5 – Completely trust	3	15.0%	3	17.6%	6 (16.2%)
Mean Score	**2.80**	—	**2.82**	—	**2.81/5**

7.5 Chi-Square Statistical Tests Summary

Table 5 consolidates chi-square results across all gender-based hypotheses. Two statistically significant relationships emerge: gender is significantly associated with flash-sale susceptibility (χ^2=8.341, p=0.039), with 55% of males reporting frequent susceptibility versus only 23.5% of females; and gender is significantly associated with fraud exposure (χ^2=7.258, p=0.007), with 80% of males reporting fraudulent experiences compared to 41.2% of females. These findings validate H_5 and H_6 respectively, while H_1, H_2, and H_7 (regarding platform preference and purchase context) yield non-significant chi-square values, supporting the null hypothesis.

Table 5: Chi-Square Test Results Summary (*p<0.05; **p<0.01)

Variable	χ^2 Value	df	p-value	Result

© Author(s). This work is peer-reviewed, openly published, and permanently archived
This article is openly accessible and reusable with proper attribution.

Gender × Purchase Frequency	1.847	3	0.604	Accept H_0
Gender × Platform Preference	3.912	3	0.271	Accept H_0
Gender × Flash Sale Susceptibility	8.341	3	0.039*	**Reject H_0**
Gender × Fraud Exposure	7.258	1	0.007**	**Reject H_0**
Gender × Purchase Context Preference	0.312	2	0.856	Accept H_0

8. DISCUSSION

The findings collectively reframe conventional assumptions about influencer marketing and brand equity in the Indian social commerce context. The overwhelming preference for 'trending products with many positive reviews' (59.5%, Q8)—decisively above both established brands (18.9%) and influencer-backed small businesses (21.6%)—confirms social proof theory's centrality to digital purchasing. This pattern suggests that in the evolving social commerce landscape, brand equity is increasingly crowd-sourced: trust is conferred by aggregated peer validation, not organizational reputation alone.

The influencer trust deficit (mean=2.81/5) challenges prevailing practitioner assumptions. While influencer marketing commands substantial marketing budgets, its persuasive efficacy as a standalone trust mechanism is limited among active social commerce users, supporting H_4. Critically, the low overall ranking of influencer recommendations as a purchase influence factor (8.1%) indicates that influencers may be more effective as awareness channels than as final conversion triggers. The gender-specific nuance—females attributing greater seller trust-building value to influencer endorsements (29.4% vs. 5.0% male)—has direct implications for audience-targeted influencer strategies.

The statistically significant gender differences in fraud exposure and flash-sale susceptibility (Table 5) underscore the role of behavioral patterns in determining consumer vulnerability. Males' higher transaction frequency and cross-platform experimentation plausibly explain elevated fraud rates, while their greater susceptibility to urgency marketing aligns with psychological research on male response to competitive scarcity cues. Female consumers' preference for friends' recommendations (52.9%) over impersonal influencer content reflects the importance of interpersonal trust networks, consistent with established gender differences in word-of-mouth reliance (Bickart & Schindler, 2001).

9. CONCLUSION, LIMITATIONS & FUTURE SCOPE

This study offers empirically grounded insights into the trust dynamics shaping youth social commerce in India. The central finding—that peer-validated reviews command greater purchase influence than either established brands or influencer endorsements—reframes the competitive value proposition of social commerce and calls for marketers to invest in authentic review generation and platform accountability mechanisms. Verified seller programs, transparent return policies, and proactive fraud detection are not peripheral features but foundational trust infrastructure for sustainable youth engagement.

Limitations include a modest sample (n=37) that constrains statistical generalizability, geographic concentration in North India, and exclusive reliance on self-reported quantitative data. The survey cross-section precludes longitudinal analysis of how trust evolves with accumulated experience. Future research should employ mixed methods (surveys + focus groups) across India's diverse regional and linguistic contexts, examine trust dynamics across specific product categories (electronics, fashion, beauty), and investigate the emerging role of AI-driven personalization, chatbot engagement, and short-form video commerce in reshaping trust formation among the next generation of social consumers.

© Author(s). This work is peer-reviewed, openly published, and permanently archived
This article is openly accessible and reusable with proper attribution.

REFERENCES

Beri, J., & Kumari, P. (2022). Digital Transformation-Effect of Social Media on Digital Buying Behaviour of Teenagers. Adhyayan: A Journal of Management Sciences, 12(01), 35–40.

Brown, D., & Hayes, N. (2018). Influencer Marketing: Who Really Influences Your Customers? Routledge.

Chevalier, J. A., & Mayzlin, D. (2019). The effect of word of mouth on sales: Online book reviews. Journal of Marketing Research, 43(3), 345–354.

Cialdini, R. B. (1984). Influence: The Psychology of Persuasion. HarperCollins.

Dhanajeyan, C. S. (2021). The Impact of Social Media on Online Purchasing Behaviour of Consumers: An Empirical Study of Youth in Tamil Nadu, India (Doctoral dissertation, Manipal International University Malaysia).

Gupta, A., Banga, G., & Kumar, B. (2021). Influence of social media on consumer buying decision process. Indian Journal of Economics and Development, 17(1), 120–127.

Johnson, M. et al. (2019). Social media advertising and consumer behaviour: A meta-analysis. Journal of Digital Marketing, 5(2), 112–130.

Lalwani, E., Kumar, G. U., & Rani, M. (2021). The Influence of Social Media on Buying Behaviour of Generation Z. Palarch's Journal of Archaeology of Egypt/Egyptology, 18(9), 760–768.

Lee, K., & Park, J. (2021). Influencer vs. celebrity endorsements in digital marketing. Journal of Consumer Psychology, 31(1), 45–62.

Miller, R., & Davis, T. (2021). Personalized advertising and youth consumer behaviour. Journal of Interactive Marketing, 55, 88–102.

Ojha, S., & Joshi, K. (2024). Study of the Influence of Social Media on Buying Behaviour. SSRN Working Paper.

Przybylski, A. K. et al. (2013). Motivational, emotional, and behavioral correlates of fear of missing out. Computers in Human Behavior, 29(4), 1841–1848.

Sharma, P. et al. (2022). Connection-oriented influencer marketing and youth purchase intention. Asian Journal of Marketing, 16(1), 22–38.

Shuraddin, S. K. M., & Adnan, W. H. (2022). E-commerce platforms and social media tools. SEARCH Journal of Media and Communication Research, 15.

Sindhuja, P., Panda, A., & Krishna, S. J. S. (2023). Influence of social media on consumer buying behavior. International Journal of Scientific Research in Engineering and Management, 7(8).

Smith, J., & Taylor, R. (2020). The shift from traditional to digital marketing among youth demographics. Marketing Science, 39(4), 678–694.

Wilson, K., & Green, L. (2022). Authenticity detection in online reviews: Youth consumer literacy. Journal of Consumer Research, 49(2), 291–310.

Zhang, Y. et al. (2020). Online review behavior among young consumers: A survey-based study. Electronic Commerce Research, 20(3), 605–624.

© Author(s). This work is peer-reviewed, openly published, and permanently archived
This article is openly accessible and reusable with proper attribution.