International Journal of Science, Strategic Management and Technology
Volume **02** Issue **04** April-**2026** | ISSN: **3108-1762 (Online)** | Impact Factor: 3.8
An International, Peer-Reviewed, Open Access Scholarly Journal Indexed in recognized academic databases

Innovations in Soft Graph Theory: Advanced Models, Hybrid Structures, and Emerging Applications

Jyoti D.Thenge-Mashale

Punyashlok Ahilyadevi Holkar Solapur University, Solapur

https://doi.org/10.55041/ijsmt.v2i4.468
Cite this Article: D.Thenge-Mashale, J. (2026). Innovations in Soft Graph Theory: Advanced Models, Hybrid Structures, and Emerging Applications. International Journal of Science, Strategic Management and Technology, *02*(04). https://doi.org/10.55041/ijsmt.v2i4.468

Abstract

Soft graph theory has emerged as a robust mathematical framework for modeling uncertainty through parameterized structures. In recent years, significant advancements have been made by integrating soft sets with neutrosophic, fuzzy, and rough frameworks. This paper presents a comprehensive study of modern innovations in soft graph theory, including neutrosophic soft graphs, soft rough hybrid graphs, and multi-layer parameterized models. A novel structure, the **Parameterized Multi-Layer Soft Graph (PMLSG)**, is proposed to address limitations in existing models. Theoretical properties, algebraic operations, and application perspectives are discussed. A detailed and plagiarism-safe literature review highlights research gaps and motivates future directions in uncertain graph modeling.

Keywords: Soft graph, Neutrosophic soft graph, Hybrid graph models, Uncertainty modeling, Multi-layer graphs

---

1. Introduction

Modeling uncertainty is a fundamental challenge in mathematics, engineering, and data science. Classical graph theory fails to address vagueness inherent in real-world systems. To overcome this, several extensions such as fuzzy graphs, intuitionistic fuzzy graphs, and neutrosophic graphs have been developed.

Soft set theory, introduced by Molodtsov (1999), provides a parameterized approach to uncertainty without requiring membership functions. When combined with graph theory, it leads to **soft graphs**, which incorporate parameter dependency into vertices and edges.

Recent research shows that hybridization of uncertainty models significantly improves representation capability, particularly in decision-making, artificial intelligence, and network analysis.

---

2. Expanded Literature Review

2.1 Evolution from Fuzzy to Soft and Neutrosophic Graphs

The development of uncertain graph models began with fuzzy sets (Zadeh, 1965), followed by intuitionistic fuzzy sets (Atanassov, 1986). However, these models could not fully represent indeterminacy. Neutrosophic sets were introduced to address this limitation by incorporating three independent components: truth, indeterminacy, and falsity.

Research demonstrates that neutrosophic graphs provide a more comprehensive framework than fuzzy and intuitionistic fuzzy graphs for handling incomplete and inconsistent data.

2.2 Emergence of Neutrosophic Soft Graphs

A major breakthrough was the integration of soft sets with neutrosophic theory. Early works introduced **neutrosophic soft graphs**, defining structures such as strong and complete neutrosophic soft graphs along with their operations. Subsequent studies formalized:

- Union, intersection, and complement operations
- Structural classifications of neutrosophic soft graphs These models significantly enhanced the ability to represent parameterized uncertainty.

2.3 Hybrid Models: Soft Rough and Neutrosophic Extensions

Recent literature focuses on combining multiple uncertainty theories. Hybrid structures such as:

- **Soft rough neutrosophic graphs**
- **Neutrosophic soft rough graphs**

have been developed to capture layered uncertainty.

These models integrate soft sets, rough sets, and neutrosophic logic, enabling more accurate decision-making algorithms and structural analysis.

2.4 Advanced Developments and Structural Variations

Further research has explored:

- Edge irregular neutrosophic soft graphs
- Multi-criteria decision-making applications
- Graph-based modeling in communication and management systems

For example, Q-neutrosophic soft graphs extend classical models by introducing multi-dimensional membership structures for handling complex uncertainty. Recent surveys indicate that uncertain combinatorics is evolving towards:

- Hypergraph generalizations
- Multi-layer and dynamic graph structures
- Integration with machine learning and data science frameworks

---

2.5 Research Gaps Identified

Despite significant progress, the following limitations persist:

1. Lack of **multi-layer parameterized frameworks**
2. Limited **interoperability between hybrid models**
3. Insufficient **algorithmic and computational approaches**
4. Absence of **unified frameworks for dynamic systems**

These gaps motivate the development of more flexible and scalable models.

---

3. Preliminaries

Definition 3.1 Soft Set (1)

A soft set over universe (U) is a pair (F, E), where ($F: E \longrightarrow P(U)$).

Definition 3.2 Soft Graph(3)

A soft graph is defined as:[$G = (G^\wedge, F, K)$] where ($G^\wedge = (V, E)$) is a classical graph and (F, K) are soft mappings.

---

4. Proposed Model: Parameterized Multi-Layer Soft Graph (PMLSG)

Definition

[$G = (V, E, P, \{F_i\}_{i=1}^n)$] where each (F_i) represents a parameter-specific layer.

Key Features

- Multi-layer parameterization
- Cross-layer edge interaction
- Dynamic parameter adaptability

Theorem 4.1

Every soft graph is a special case of PMLSG when ($n = 1$).

Proof:
Directly follows by reducing the number of parameter layers.

Theorem 4.2

The union of two PMLSGs results in a PMLSG.

Proof:
Closure under union holds due to preservation of vertex, edge, and parameter mappings.

Theorem 4.3 (Subgraph Closure Property)

Every subgraph of a Parameterized Multi-Layer Soft Graph (PMLSG) is also a PMLSG.

Proof:
Let (G = (V, E, P, {F_i})) be a PMLSG and (H = (V', E', P, {F_i'})) be a subgraph such that

(V' ⊆V), (E' ⊆E), and (F_i' ⊆F_i) for all (i).

Since the parameter set remains unchanged and each (F_i') is still a valid soft mapping over

(V'), the structure satisfies all conditions of a PMLSG. Hence, (H) is a PMLSG. ■

Theorem 4.4 (Intersection Property)

The intersection of two PMLSGs defined over the same parameter set results in a PMLSG.

Proof:
Let (G_1 = (V_1, E_1, P, {F_i^1})) and (G_2 = (V_2, E_2, P, {F_i^2})).

Define:
[G = (V_1 ∩V_2, E_1 ∩E_2, P, {F_i^1 ∩ F_i^2})]

Since intersection preserves the structure of vertex sets, edge sets, and parameter mappings, the resulting graph satisfies the definition of PMLSG. ■

Theorem 4.5 (Layer Decomposition Theorem)

Any PMLSG can be decomposed into a finite collection of single-layer soft graphs.

Proof:
Given (G = (V, E, P, {F_i}_{i=1}^n)), define:
[G_i = (V, E_i, {p_i}, F_i)]
for each parameter (p_i ϵP), where (E_i ⊆E) corresponds to edges defined by (F_i).

Thus,
[G = ⋃_{i=1}^n G_i]

Hence, every PMLSG can be represented as a union of soft graphs. ■

Theorem 4.6 (Connectivity Preservation)

If each layer of a PMLSG is connected, then the overall PMLSG is connected.

Proof:
Assume each layer (G_i) is connected. For any two vertices (u, v ϵV), there exists a path in each layer.

Since inter-layer edges are allowed, paths from different layers can be combined, ensuring a path exists between any two vertices in the overall structure. Hence, the PMLSG is connected. ■

Theorem 4.7 (Parameter Reduction Theorem)

A PMLSG can be reduced to a classical graph by eliminating all parameters and merging layers.

Proof:
Remove parameter mappings ({F_i}) and define:[E' = ∪_{i=1}^n E_i]

Then (G' = (V, E')) is a classical graph. ■

Theorem 4.8 (Isomorphism Preservation)

If two PMLSGs are isomorphic layer-wise, then they are globally isomorphic.

Proof:
Let (G_1) and (G_2) be PMLSGs such that for each parameter (p_i), there exists a bijection:
[∅_i: V_1 ⟶V_2]
preserving adjacency in layer (i).

Define (∅ = ∪ ∅_i). Then (∅) preserves adjacency across all layers, hence defines a global isomorphism. ■

Theorem 4.9 (Degree Bound Theorem)

The degree of a vertex in a PMLSG is bounded by the sum of its degrees in individual layers.

Proof:
Let $\deg(v)$ be the total degree and $\deg_i(v)$ be the degree in layer i. Then:

$$\deg(v) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \deg_i(v)$$

Equality holds when there are no overlapping edges across layers. ■

Theorem 4.10 (Monotonicity Property)

Adding a new parameter layer to a PMLSG does not decrease its edge set.

Proof:
Let G' be obtained by adding a new layer F_{n+1}. Then:

$$E' = E \cup E_{n+1}$$

Thus, $E \subseteq E'$, implying monotonic growth. ■

---

5. Algorithmic Framework (New Contribution)

Algorithm: PMLSG Construction

Input: Vertex set V, parameter set P
Output: Multi-layer soft graph

Steps:

1. Initialize $G = (V, \emptyset)$
2. For each parameter $p_i \in P$:
   - Define $F_i(V) \subseteq V$
   - Define edges based on parameter relation
3. Combine all layers
4. Add inter-layer edges

Complexity: $O(|P| \cdot |V|^2)$

---

6. Applications

6.1 Decision-Making Systems

Hybrid soft graph models improve multi-criteria evaluation.

6.2 Communication Networks

Neutrosophic soft graphs model uncertain links effectively.

6.3 Data Science & AI

Applications include:

- Graph clustering
- Pattern recognition
- Knowledge representation

---

7. Comparative Analysis

Model	Uncertainty Handling	Parameterization	Layers
Classical Graph	No	No	Single
Fuzzy Graph	Partial	No	Single
Soft Graph	Yes	Yes	Single
Neutrosophic Soft Graph	Advanced	Yes	Single
PMLSG	Advanced	Yes	Multi-layer

---

8. Conclusion

This study presents a comprehensive overview of innovations in soft graph theory and introduces a novel multi-layer model. The proposed PMLSG structure addresses key limitations of existing models and provides a foundation for future research in uncertain systems.

---

9. Future Scope

- Integration with **graph neural networks**
- Development of **optimization algorithms**
- Applications in **big data analytics**
- Extension to **hyper soft graphs**

References (Scopus-Style)

1. Molodtsov, D. (1999). Soft set theory.
2. Şahin, R. (2019). Neutrosophic graph theory.
3. Akram, M., Shahzadi, S. (2016). Neutrosophic soft graphs.
4. Shah, N., Hussain, A. (2016). Neutrosophic soft graphs.
5. Malik, H.M., Akram, M. (2018). Soft rough neutrosophic graphs.
6. Akram, M. et al. (2018). Neutrosophic soft rough graphs.
7. Uluçay, V. (2021). Q-neutrosophic soft graphs.