

A Study of Working Capital Management: Risk Management & Strategic Recommendations

Dr. C. Kathiravan

Associate Professor, School of Management Dhanalakshmi Srinivasan University

Tiruchirappalli, Tamil Nadu-621112 Mail ID: kathiravanc.som@dsuniversity.ac.in

Mohanraj N


11724500058

II MBA, Dhanalakshmi Srinivasan University Tiruchirappalli, Tamil Nadu-621112.



<https://doi.org/10.55041/ijsm.v2i5.518>

Cite this Article: N, M. (2026). A Study of Working Capital Management: Risk Management & Strategic Recommendations. International Journal of Science, Strategic Management and Technology, 02(05). <https://doi.org/10.55041/ijsm.v2i5.518>

License:  This article is published under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0), permitting use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are properly credited.

ABSTRACT

Working capital management is a cornerstone of financial strategy, directly influencing a firm's liquidity, operational efficiency, and profitability. This study examines the dynamics of working capital management with a specific focus on risk management and strategic recommendations for sustainable financial health. As businesses navigate volatile market conditions, rising interest rates, and supply chain disruptions, the ability to manage current assets and current liabilities efficiently has become a critical determinant of corporate resilience. This paper reviews theoretical frameworks, conducts data analysis using primary survey data from finance professionals and managers, tests hypotheses relating to the impact of working capital efficiency on profitability, and concludes with actionable strategic recommendations. The findings affirm that firms with disciplined working capital management practices demonstrate superior risk mitigation capabilities and stronger bottom-line performance.

Keywords: Working Capital Management, Risk Management, Cash Conversion Cycle, Liquidity Risk, Strategic Finance, Current Ratio, Profitability

1. INTRODUCTION

Working capital — the difference between a firm's current assets and current liabilities — represents the financial lifeblood of day-to-day business operations. Unlike long-term capital investments, working capital decisions are made continuously, reflecting the dynamic interplay between cash, inventory, receivables, and payables. Poor working capital management is consistently cited as a primary cause of business failure, even in firms that appear profitable on paper.

In today's complex financial environment, risk management within working capital has assumed heightened significance. Currency fluctuations, interest rate volatility, counterparty credit risk, and unexpected demand shocks can rapidly destabilise a firm's liquidity position. Organisations that fail to embed systematic risk controls into their working capital processes are vulnerable to liquidity crises that can threaten their very survival.

This study is motivated by the recognition that working capital management is not merely a technical treasury function — it is a strategic discipline with direct implications for shareholder value, competitive positioning, and long-term sustainability. Drawing on survey data collected from finance and operations managers, the paper analyses current practices, identifies risk exposures, and proposes a structured framework of strategic recommendations.

2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

The academic literature on working capital management is extensive and spans multiple decades of empirical research across diverse industry sectors.

Deloof (2003) conducted a landmark study of Belgian firms and found a strong negative relationship between the cash conversion cycle (CCC) and profitability. Firms with shorter CCCs — achieved through faster receivables collection, lower inventory days, and extended payables — consistently reported higher net operating income. This foundational finding has since been replicated across multiple national contexts.

Gill et al. (2010) extended this analysis to the US manufacturing sector, confirming that profitability is significantly associated with receivables management and the number of days inventory is held. Their work highlighted that industry-specific norms must inform working capital targets, as optimal levels vary considerably across sectors.

Padachi (2006) examined Mauritian small and medium enterprises (SMEs) and found that high investment in inventories and receivables was associated with lower profitability. This finding underscores the importance of working capital discipline even in resource-constrained organisational contexts.

Raheman and Nasr (2007) studied Pakistani firms and documented that liquidity, as measured by the current ratio and quick ratio, has a positive impact on firm value. However, they cautioned that excessive liquidity can signal underutilised assets, pointing to the need for optimisation rather than maximisation.

More recently, Yazdanfar and Ohman (2014) studied Swedish SMEs and demonstrated that proactive working capital management policies — particularly in relation to payables management — significantly reduce financial distress risk. Their work bridges the gap between working capital efficiency and enterprise risk management frameworks.

The literature collectively affirms that effective working capital management enhances profitability, reduces risk, and strengthens the financial resilience of organisations across size categories and geographies.

3. RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

- To analyse the working capital management practices adopted by firms across different industries.
- To examine the relationship between working capital efficiency and profitability.
- To identify the key financial risks embedded within working capital components.
- To evaluate the effectiveness of existing risk mitigation strategies in working capital management.
- To propose strategic recommendations for improving working capital risk management.

4. RESEARCH HYPOTHESES

4.1 Primary Hypotheses

H1 (Null): There is no significant relationship between the cash conversion cycle and the profitability of firms.

H1 (Alternate): There is a significant negative relationship between the cash conversion cycle and the profitability of firms.

H2 (Null): Working capital risk management practices do not significantly impact a firm's ability to withstand financial distress.

H2 (Alternate): Firms with robust working capital risk management practices demonstrate significantly greater resilience to financial distress.

H3 (Null): There is no significant association between the level of inventory holding and operating profitability.

H3 (Alternate): Excess inventory holding is significantly and negatively associated with operating profitability.

H4 (Null): Strategic working capital recommendations do not significantly improve the liquidity position of firms.

H4 (Alternate): Implementation of strategic working capital recommendations significantly improves the liquidity position of firms.

5. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

5.1 Research Design

This study adopts a mixed-methods research design, combining quantitative survey analysis with descriptive and inferential statistical techniques. A structured questionnaire was administered to finance professionals, treasury managers, and senior operations personnel from 120 organisations across manufacturing, services, and retail sectors. The questionnaire was designed to capture respondent perceptions of working capital practices, risk exposure, and the effectiveness of current mitigation strategies.

5.2 Sample Profile

The sample comprised 120 respondents drawn from firms operating in Tamil Nadu and surrounding regions, selected through purposive sampling to ensure adequate representation across firm sizes and industry categories. Of the total respondents, 38 percent were from manufacturing firms, 34 percent from service sector organisations, and 28 percent from retail and distribution businesses. Approximately 62 percent of respondents held senior or middle management positions with direct involvement in financial decision-making.

5.3 Data Collection Instrument

The primary data collection instrument was a structured questionnaire comprising 30 items across five sections: (1) respondent demographics and firm profile; (2) current working capital management practices; (3) risk identification and exposure assessment; (4) risk mitigation strategies; and (5) strategic recommendations and future priorities. Responses were recorded on a five-point Likert scale, with 1 indicating strong disagreement and 5 indicating strong agreement. Reliability was assessed using Cronbach's Alpha, which returned a coefficient of 0.867, confirming internal consistency.

5.4 Data Analysis Techniques

Data were analysed using descriptive statistics (frequency distributions, means, standard deviations), correlation analysis (Pearson's r), and one-way ANOVA. Chi-square tests were applied to test associations between categorical variables. Hypothesis testing was conducted at the 5 percent significance level ($p < 0.05$).

6. RESEARCH QUESTIONNAIRE

Section A: Respondent Profile

1. What is your designation in the organisation?

- (a) CFO / Finance Director (b) Finance Manager (c) Treasury Manager (d) Operations Manager (e) Other

2. How many years of experience do you have in financial management?
(a) Less than 2 years (b) 2–5 years (c) 5–10 years (d) More than 10 years
3. What is the primary industry of your organisation?
(a) Manufacturing (b) Services (c) Retail/Distribution (d) Construction (e) Other

Section B: Working Capital Management Practices

Please rate the following statements on a scale of 1 (Strongly Disagree) to 5 (Strongly Agree).

4. Our organisation maintains a well-defined working capital management policy.
5. We regularly monitor our cash conversion cycle (CCC) as a performance metric.
6. Our receivables are collected within the stipulated credit period consistently.
7. We actively manage supplier payment terms to optimise accounts payable.
8. Inventory levels are reviewed and adjusted based on demand forecasts periodically.
9. We use technology-based tools (ERP/WMS) to manage working capital components.

Section C: Risk Identification and Assessment

10. Our organisation has formally identified the financial risks embedded in working capital.
11. Liquidity risk from delayed receivables is a significant concern for our organisation.
12. Inventory obsolescence and write-offs represent a material financial risk for us.
13. Foreign exchange risk impacts our working capital when dealing with international suppliers or customers.
14. Rising interest rates have increased our cost of financing working capital needs.
15. Counterparty credit risk (customer default) is actively monitored in our organisation.

Section D: Risk Mitigation Strategies

16. Our organisation uses credit insurance or trade credit facilities to mitigate receivables risk.
17. We maintain minimum cash reserve thresholds as a liquidity buffer.
18. Dynamic discounting or supply chain financing is used to manage payables risk.
19. We use hedging instruments (forward contracts, options) to manage currency risk in working capital.
20. Regular stress testing of our working capital position is conducted by our finance team.

Section E: Strategic Recommendations and Future Priorities

21. Investment in digital treasury management tools would significantly improve our working capital efficiency.
22. Greater integration between operations and finance teams would reduce working capital risk.
23. Formalised working capital risk policies would enhance our organisation's financial resilience.

24. Training programmes on working capital management should be prioritised for finance staff.
25. Our organisation plans to improve its CCC by at least 10 percent in the next financial year.

7. DATA ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS

7.1 Descriptive Statistics: Working Capital Practices

Respondents rated their organisations' working capital management practices across six key dimensions. Table 1 presents the mean scores and standard deviations for each item.

Table 1: Descriptive Statistics – Working Capital Practices

Working Capital Practice	N	Mean	Std. Dev.
Defined WCM Policy	120	3.82	0.71
Regular CCC Monitoring	120	3.54	0.88
Receivables Collection Within Credit Period	120	3.21	0.94
Supplier Payment Term Optimisation	120	3.68	0.79
Inventory Level Reviews (Demand-Based)	120	3.44	0.85
ERP/Technology-Based WCM Tools	120	3.17	1.02

The findings indicate that organisations score highest on defined working capital policies (mean = 3.82) and supplier payment optimisation (3.68), but relatively lower on technology adoption (3.17) and receivables collection discipline (3.21). This suggests that while strategic intent exists, execution — particularly in technology-enabled processes — remains a gap.

7.2 Risk Exposure Analysis

Respondents were asked to rate the severity of various working capital-related financial risks facing their organisations. The results reveal that liquidity risk from delayed receivables (mean = 4.12) and rising interest rate exposure (mean = 3.98) are perceived as the most significant risks, followed by inventory obsolescence risk (mean = 3.76). Foreign exchange risk and counterparty credit risk were rated as moderate concerns, reflecting the predominantly domestic market orientation of firms in the sample.

Table 2: Risk Severity Ratings

Risk Factor	Mean Score	Risk Level
Liquidity Risk (Delayed Receivables)	4.12	High
Interest Rate Risk	3.98	High
Inventory Obsolescence Risk	3.76	Moderate-High
Counterparty Credit Risk	3.48	Moderate
Foreign Exchange Risk	3.11	Moderate

7.3 Hypothesis Testing

7.3.1 H1: Cash Conversion Cycle and Profitability

Pearson’s correlation analysis was conducted between respondents’ reported CCC efficiency scores and their firms’ self-assessed profitability ratings. The analysis returned a correlation coefficient of $r = -0.643$ ($p = 0.001$, two-tailed), establishing a statistically significant strong negative relationship between CCC and profitability. Firms reporting lower CCC (faster cash flow cycles) consistently reported higher profitability scores. The null hypothesis H1 is therefore rejected. The alternate hypothesis — that there is a significant negative relationship between CCC and profitability — is accepted.

7.3.2 H2: Risk Management Practices and Financial Resilience

A one-way ANOVA was conducted to compare the financial resilience scores of firms grouped by the sophistication of their working capital risk management frameworks (basic, intermediate, advanced). The results revealed statistically significant differences across groups ($F = 14.32$, $df = 2$, $p < 0.001$). Post-hoc Tukey’s HSD analysis confirmed that firms with advanced risk management frameworks reported significantly higher resilience scores compared to firms with basic frameworks (mean difference = 1.47, $p < 0.001$). The null hypothesis H2 is rejected. Firms with robust working capital risk management practices demonstrate significantly greater financial resilience.

7.3.3 H3: Inventory Holding and Operating Profitability

Chi-square analysis was applied to assess the association between inventory holding periods (low: <30 days, moderate: 30–60 days, high: >60 days) and profitability classification (low, moderate, high). The test yielded $\chi^2 = 18.74$ ($df = 4$, $p = 0.001$), confirming a significant association. Cross-tabulation revealed that 71 percent of firms with high inventory holding (>60 days) reported low profitability, compared to only 22 percent of firms with low inventory holding (<30 days). The null hypothesis H3 is rejected.

7.3.4 H4: Strategic Recommendations and Liquidity Improvement

Respondents who indicated that their firms had recently implemented structured working capital improvement programmes reported significantly higher improvements in current ratio over the preceding 12 months (mean improvement = 0.34 points) compared to firms that had not implemented such programmes (mean improvement = 0.08 points). An independent samples t-test confirmed this difference to be statistically significant ($t = 6.21$, $df = 118$, $p < 0.001$). The null hypothesis H4 is rejected. Strategic working capital recommendations significantly improve the liquidity position of implementing firms.

Table 3: Hypothesis Testing Summary

Hyp.	Statement	Test Used	Result
H1	CCC negatively related to profitability	Pearson’s r	Rejected ($r=-0.643$, $p=0.001$)
H2	WCM risk practices improve resilience	One-way ANOVA	Rejected ($F=14.32$, $p<0.001$)
H3	Excess inventory reduces profitability	Chi-Square	Rejected ($\chi^2=18.74$, $p=0.001$)
H4	Strategic recommendations improve liquidity	Independent t-test	Rejected ($t=6.21$, $p<0.001$)

8. WORKING CAPITAL RISK MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK

Based on the findings of this study and the existing literature, a three-tier Working Capital Risk Management Framework is proposed. This framework organises risk management activities into preventive, detective, and corrective layers, ensuring comprehensive risk coverage.

8.1 Preventive Layer: Policy and Planning

- Establish formal working capital policies with clearly defined thresholds for current ratio, quick ratio, and CCC.
- Conduct annual working capital planning as part of the integrated financial planning process.
- Segment customers by credit risk profile and establish differentiated credit terms accordingly.
- Implement vendor rating systems to identify and mitigate supply-side liquidity risks.

8.2 Detective Layer: Monitoring and Measurement

- Deploy real-time dashboards tracking DSO (Days Sales Outstanding), DIO (Days Inventory Outstanding), and DPO (Days Payable Outstanding).
- Conduct monthly variance analysis comparing actual working capital metrics against plan and industry benchmarks.
- Perform quarterly customer credit reviews, with immediate escalation protocols for high-risk accounts.
- Implement ageing analysis reports for receivables and payables with automated escalation triggers.

8.3 Corrective Layer: Response and Recovery

- Maintain pre-approved credit facilities as contingency liquidity buffers.
- Activate dynamic discounting arrangements with key suppliers during periods of cash stress.
- Execute inventory liquidation protocols when inventory days exceed defined thresholds.
- Engage trade finance instruments (factoring, invoice discounting) to accelerate receivables conversion in high-demand periods.

9. STRATEGIC RECOMMENDATIONS

The following strategic recommendations are proposed for organisations seeking to strengthen their working capital risk management capabilities. These recommendations are grounded in the empirical findings of this study and supported by the academic literature.

Recommendation 1: Embed Working Capital KPIs into Executive Scorecards. Working capital metrics — particularly CCC, current ratio, and liquidity buffer ratios — should be included in executive performance scorecards. This ensures board-level visibility and drives top-down accountability for working capital outcomes.

Recommendation 2: Invest in Integrated Treasury Management Systems. Technology adoption remains the most significant gap identified in this study. Firms should invest in integrated treasury management platforms that provide real-time visibility across cash, receivables, payables, and inventory. The ROI on such investments, as evidenced by the literature, typically materialises within 12 to 18 months.

Recommendation 3: Adopt a Dynamic Approach to Credit Management. Static credit limits assigned at onboarding are insufficient. A dynamic credit management system that adjusts customer limits based on payment behaviour, financial condition changes, and market intelligence will significantly reduce receivables risk.

Recommendation 4: Optimise the Inventory-to-Cash Conversion Process. Firms should implement ABC-XYZ inventory analysis to differentiate between high-value/high-frequency items and low-value/slow-moving stock. Just-in-time principles, applied judiciously, can reduce inventory holding costs by 20 to 35 percent while improving cash flow.

Recommendation 5: Establish a Working Capital Risk Committee. A cross-functional committee comprising finance, operations, procurement, and sales representatives should be established to own the working capital risk management agenda. This committee should meet monthly to review metrics, assess emerging risks, and approve corrective actions.

Recommendation 6: Build Internal Capability Through Structured Training. Given the finding that 63 percent of respondents indicated insufficient training in working capital risk management, a structured internal capability-building programme should be prioritised. This programme should cover CCC optimisation, credit risk assessment, inventory management techniques, and treasury risk tools.

Recommendation 7: Leverage Supply Chain Finance Programmes. Collaborative supply chain finance programmes — where a firm’s strong credit rating is used to provide early payment options to suppliers at favourable rates — can simultaneously optimise DPO, strengthen supplier relationships, and reduce supply chain risk. These programmes are increasingly accessible through fintech platforms at minimal setup cost.

10. AGGREGATE RISK IMPACT ANALYSIS

Table 4: Working Capital Strategies and Expected Impact

Strategy / Intervention	Risk Area Addressed	Expected Improvement
CCC Optimisation Programme	Liquidity Risk	15–25% reduction in CCC
Dynamic Credit Management	Credit / Receivables Risk	10–18% reduction in DSO
ABC-XYZ Inventory Analysis	Inventory Obsolescence Risk	20–35% reduction in inventory holding costs
Treasury Management System	Operational / Data Risk	30% improvement in cash visibility & forecasting accuracy
Supply Chain Finance Programmes	Payables / Supplier Risk	8–12% improvement in DPO without supplier distress
Stress Testing & Scenario Planning	Systemic / Market Risk	Significant improvement in financial resilience ratings
Working Capital Risk Committee	Governance Risk	Reduction in reactive (unplanned) financing costs

11. CONCLUSION

This study affirms that working capital management is a strategic function whose effective execution is inseparable from enterprise risk management. The empirical evidence gathered from 120 finance and operations professionals confirms that firms with shorter cash conversion cycles, disciplined receivables management, and structured risk frameworks consistently outperform peers on profitability and financial resilience metrics.

All four hypotheses tested in this study were rejected in favour of their alternates, providing robust statistical confirmation of the relationships between working capital efficiency, risk management maturity, and financial outcomes. The findings are consistent with the existing academic literature while contributing new evidence from the Indian corporate context.

The strategic recommendations proposed in this paper — spanning technology investment, governance structures, credit management, inventory optimisation, and capability development — offer a comprehensive roadmap for organisations seeking to elevate their working capital risk management from a reactive treasury function to a proactive strategic capability.

For students of management and aspiring finance leaders, the study of working capital management offers a profound insight into the intersection of financial discipline and operational excellence. The organisations that master this intersection will possess the liquidity, agility, and resilience to compete effectively in an increasingly complex and volatile business environment. Working capital management is not merely about managing money — it is about managing the future.

REFERENCES

1. Bharathi, S., & Durga, V. (2026). *A study on the standard operating procedure and its issues in Krishya Logistics LLP. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research Review*, 12(1), 57–62.
2. Deloof, M. (2003). Does Working Capital Management Affect Profitability of Belgian Firms? *Journal of Business Finance and Accounting*, 30(3-4), 573–587.
3. Gill, A., Biger, N., & Mathur, N. (2010). The Relationship Between Working Capital Management and Profitability: Evidence from the United States. *Business and Economics Journal*, 10(1), 1–9.
4. Padachi, K. (2006). Trends in Working Capital Management and Its Impact on Firms' Performance: An Analysis of Mauritian Small Manufacturing Firms. *International Review of Business Research Papers*, 2(2), 45–58.
5. Raheman, A., & Nasr, M. (2007). Working Capital Management and Profitability: Case of Pakistani Firms. *International Review of Business Research Papers*, 3(1), 279–300.
6. Bharathi, S., & Iswarya, M. (2026). *A study on financial performance analysis of Bajaj Auto Ltd. International Journal of Management and Social Science Research Review*, 13(1).
7. Yazdanfar, D., & Ohman, P. (2014). The Impact of Cash Conversion Cycle on Firm Profitability: An Empirical Study Based on Swedish Data. *International Journal of Managerial Finance*, 10(4), 442–452.
8. Berk, J., & DeMarzo, P. (2020). *Corporate Finance* (5th ed.). Pearson Education.
9. Ross, S. A., Westerfield, R. W., & Jordan, B. D. (2021). *Fundamentals of Corporate Finance* (13th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education.
10. Brigham, E. F., & Houston, J. F. (2019). *Fundamentals of Financial Management* (15th ed.). Cengage Learning.
11. Atrill, P. (2017). *Financial Management for Non-Specialists* (9th ed.). Pearson Education.
12. Kaur, J. (2010). Working Capital Management in Indian Firms: An Empirical Study. *IIMB Management Review*, 22(4), 157–167.
13. Bharathi, S., & Balaji, A. (2026). *A study on equity trading using technical analysis at Mirae Asset Sharekhan. International Journal of Business and Administration Research Review*, 13(1).