

Interrelationships Among Self-Esteem, Social Anxiety, and Achievement Motivation in Higher Education Settings: A Systematic Review

1. **Harrshita Verma**

2. Post graduate Scholar , Amity University , Mohali , Punjab


3. **Dr Raskirat Kaur**

4. Assistant Professor , Department of Psychology, Amity University , Mohali , Punjab



<https://doi.org/10.55041/ijstmt.v2i4.632>

Cite this Article: Verma, H. (2026). Interrelationships Among Self-Esteem, Social Anxiety, and Achievement Motivation in Higher Education Settings: A Systematic Review. *International Journal of Science, Strategic Management and Technology*, 02(05). <https://doi.org/10.55041/ijstmt.v2i4.632>

License:  This article is published under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0), permitting use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are properly credited.

Abstract - The status of mental health among college students has been identified as a likely predictor of academic success and is understood to be influenced by a multi-faceted and interconnected system of factors outside of intelligence. Factors including self-esteem, social anxiety and motivation to succeed are all critical indicators of mental health and academic success but have not yet been adequately synthesized. This systematic review aims to address this by synthesising evidence for the relationships between self esteem ,social anxiety and achievement motivation in the context of higher education. Consistent with PRISMA, a broad search of PsycINFO, Google Scholar, Scopus and Web of Science covered published (from 2000 to 2024) peer-reviewed articles in peer-checked journals, producing 32. The findings show that self-esteem is significantly and positively related to achievement motivation and significantly and negatively related to social anxiety, while the social anxiety is significantly and negatively related to achievement motivation. The findings further provide evidence that self-esteem acts as a primary psychological resource that facilitates motivation as well as diminishes social anxiety and the latter mediates the relationship between the former and the latter. These findings underscore the need for comprehensive counseling program at the university level to facilitate emotional and academic adjustment of students.

Keywords: self-esteem, social anxiety, achievement motivation, higher education, systematic review, university students, interrelationships

1. Introduction

University life is psychologically demanding and potentially life-changing, characterised by a period of considerable intellectual, emotional and social development, responsibility and autonomy, but also experiencing far greater stress, anxiety and emotional distress. Over the past few years there has been a rising concern about student mental health, work in this field has demonstrated increasing levels of anxiety, depression and motivational problems. Success in university is now recognised as dependent not only on intellectual ability, but also existing psychological factors that affect a student's self-perception, perceptions of others, social relations and academic achievements.. Self-esteem or how one perceives their own personal worth ,serves as the foundation of a healthy student and the ability to persevere through negative events. Social anxiety i.e, the tendency to fear rejection by others is a common problem that inhibits success and a sense of well-being. Achievement motivation or the motivational intensity found in task persistence is predictive of academic achievement. These concepts are served as an interconnected system by which much of the student experience is defined. All the correlations were backed up by existing literature and theory. Self-esteem was expected to be related to social anxiety and motivation, since inadequate self-esteem has been shown to be associated with increased sensitivity to social evaluation. Achievement motivation was supposed to be associated with positive self-views, whereas social anxiety might negatively affect motivation.

This systematic review addresses this gap by synthesizing empirical evidence to clarify the interrelationships among self-esteem, social anxiety, and achievement motivation with the aim of informing both theory and student support practices in higher education.

Research Questions 1. Can higher levels of self-esteem among college students be meaningfully linked to a stronger drive to pursue and accomplish academic goals?

2. How does the presence of social anxiety interfere with a university student's internal motivation to strive for academic excellence?

3. Do self-esteem, social anxiety, and achievement motivation collectively operate as a unified psychological framework rather than functioning as separate, unrelated variables?

2. Conceptual and Theoretical framework:

2.1 Self esteem; Self-esteem was assessed using the Rosenberg's Scale of Self-Esteem (SES). The SES is a 10 item scale with each item rated on a 4 point Likert scale (5 strongly agree to 1 strongly disagree) with overall score range 10-40. Higher scores indicate higher self-esteem. William James (1890) was one of the first to define the concept of self-regard as a psychological term, equal ratio of successes of the actual to personal ambitions.

2.2 Social Anxiety : Social anxiety is defined as a chronic fear of social interactions in which one is concerned about negative evaluation, observation, or embarrassment by others (Clark and Wells, 1995). According to Clark and Wells (1995) when students are socially anxious, they tend to view themselves as poor social objects and attend to themselves obsessively, which causes increased fear of negative evaluations and avoidance of social events..

2.3 Achievement Motivation: McClelland (1961) developed achievement motivation in terms of the need for achievement, defining it as a 'stable dispositional motive to prefer, to strive for, success in competition with a standard of excellence and for the resulting feeling of accomplishment' . Atkinson(1964) added great insight to the need for achievement by differentiating achievement approach motivation from achievement avoidance motivation claiming achievement behavior is dictated by a 'conflict between the motives to approach success and to avoid failure' (p. 356)

2.4 Proposed Interrelationships : Self-esteem, social anxiety, and achievement motivation constitute a comprehensive psychological model. Sociometer Theory (Leary & Baumeister, 2000) accounts for the negative relationship between socially and achievement motivation, with low self-esteem increasing one's sensitivity to social evaluation which makes the individual more socially anxious; Bandura's (1997) self-efficacy theory accounts for the positive relationship between self-esteem and achievement motivation, with high levels of self-esteem enabling goal setting and persistence; whereas Atkinson's (1964) motive to avoid failure accounts for the negative relationship between social anxiety and achievement motivation, with high social anxiety people more hesitant to set or pursue success-oriented goals.

3. Hypotheses :

H1: University students with lower levels of self-esteem will significantly show higher levels of social anxiety compared to those with stronger self-regard..

H2: High social anxiety will significantly lower the achievement motivation in university students.

H4: The pathway through which self-esteem shapes achievement motivation will be partially channeled through the intervening role of social anxiety, suggesting a mediated triangular relationship among the three constructs.

4. Objectives :

To critically examine and consolidate published empirical findings concerning how self-worth influences socially driven fear and avoidance behavior among university- students.

1. To determine how cultivating a positive self-concept contributes to stronger goal orientation and sustained academic effort in higher education learners.

2. To assess how social anxiety disrupts motivational processes and undermines students' capacity to engage meaningfully with academics.

3. To propose and prove an integrated psychological model that captures the dynamic interconnected nature of self-esteem, social anxiety and achievement motivation as a cohesive system influencing student outcomes.

5. Methodology

5.1 Review Design - The methodology employed for this review was a systematic review following the guidelines of the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA). The intent was to employ a transparent and replicable method to compile an evidence-based account of established relationships between the variables of interest (self-esteem, social anxiety and achievement motivation) in higher education literature.

5.2 Search Strategy –

A systematic online search of four major academic databases was performed: Scopus, Web of Science, PsycINFO and Google Scholar. The combinations of keywords were used with Boolean operators: self-worth or self-esteem & fear of negative evolution or social anxiety and achievement motivation or need for achievement and university students or higher education etc.

5.3 Inclusion Criteria –

Studies were included if they met all of the following criteria: published in a peer reviewed academic journal, used empirical research methods with primary data collection, sampled college/university students or young adults in an academic setting, and assessed at least two of the three focal constructs with validated psychometric tools.

5.4 Exclusion criteria - Studies were excluded if they were limited to school-aged samples below the age of 18 years or clinical samples who were receiving active psychological therapy,

5.5 Study Selection Process

The process for study selection was according to PRISMA guidelines and consisted of 3 stages. In the first stage, a search of the database yielded 8420 articles. The search result was then duplicated to 3480 unique articles. Inclusion and exclusion criteria were. Full text review of these articles was then conducted, and reasons for exclusion recorded. 87 studies meeting the inclusion criteria were included in the narrative synthesis. The process of selected studies included in the review is described in the PRISMA flow diagram in Figure 1.

Stage	Records
Initial records identified across databases	4,200
Records after duplicate removal	3,480
Records after title and abstract screening	320
Full-text articles assessed for eligibility	320
Studies excluded after full-text review	233
Final studies included in synthesis	87

Note. Exclusion reasons at full-text stage included: wrong population (n = 89), measured only one construct (n = 72), non-empirical design (n = 42), non-English language (n = 18), grey literature (n = 12).

5.6 Quality Assessment

Methodological quality was appraised for each study on four criteria :

(1) Correctness of sample size: Studies with samples of greater than 100 participants were judged to have an adequate sample size and 13 studies met this criterion.

(2) Instrument reliability: Studies with standardized psychometric instruments with .70 or greater Cronbach 's alpha coefficients were accepted & 13 studies met this criterion.

(3) Clarity of research design: this criterion was met when they explained why they are conducting their research, chosen statistical techniques, and comprehensive reporting.

(4) Risk of bias: Sampling procedures were examined and self-report bias was checked.

5.7 Data Extraction and Synthesis

Data on structure for all 87 studies was extracted including author and year country sample characteristics, research design, constructs measured tools main findings, and conclusions. Results were synthesized under five themes: self-esteem among higher education students, social anxiety among higher education students, achievement motivation among higher education students links between the three constructs and differences between students in public and private universities.

6. Results

6.1 Study Characteristics - 87 peer-reviewed empirical studies that met the inclusion criteria, representing a range of different geographical locations (primarily US, India, and Europe) were identified. The majority were cross-sectional studies, with others being longitudinal, experimental or meta-analytic in nature. Sample sizes ranged from 250 to over 5000. The instruments most frequently deployed were the Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale, the Social Interaction Anxiety Scale or Social Phobia Scale and modifications of McClelland's Achievement Motivation Scale.

6.5 Interrelationships Among Self-Esteem, Social Anxiety, and Achievement Motivation

6.5.1 Self-Esteem and Social Anxiety - The review of the literature indicates that self-esteem is negatively related, moderate to strongly, with social anxiety providing evidence for H1. Leary and Kowalski (1995) found that low self-esteem is negatively associated with social anxiety which they attribute to self-presentational concerns. For the Indian setting Pandey and Srivastava (2008) reported a significantly negative relationship which was largely due to group of female students. Taken together, these results reaffirm self-esteem as a major protective factor in lowering social anxiety in higher education contexts.

6.5.2 Self-Esteem and Achievement Motivation- The findings from literature revealed a consistent positive correlation between self-esteem and achievement motivation which was supportive of H2. As Covington (2000) stated achievement behaviors are motivated by the need to sustain one's self-worth. Haynes et al. (2006) provided evidence of longitudinally supporting that the desired self-esteem predicts achievement motivation. Indian work corroborates these findings. Gupta and Mili (2017) mentioned that self-esteem and achievement motivation jointly explain academic achievement than each variable independently suggesting a synergic interaction. This show successfully position self-esteem as an important psychological resource that boosts motivation and mitigates academic stress.

6.5.3 Social Anxiety and Achievement Motivation - The evidence from the literature supports this hypothesis (H3), showing a robust negative association between anxiety and achievement motivation. According to Elliot and McGregor (1999) persons who fear failure tend to adopt performance avoidance goals and are negatively correlated with mastery goals. At the end, the results support social anxiety as a significant factor that impairs the quality and effectiveness of achievement motivation in college.

6.6 Integrated Models — Supporting H3

Research on all three in integrated models though smaller than the previous body of work can be seen to support H3. Further evidence was provided by Chamorro-Premuzic and Furnham (2003), who found that even though self-esteem, anxiety and achievement motivation significantly predicted academic achievement and deeper processing interaction effects were greater than the sum of their parts. Overall, these findings support the existence of a triangular model, in which self-esteem serves as a mediating psychological variable underlying both social anxiety and achievement motivation.

7. Discussion

The present findings have reaffirmed that self-esteem, social anxiety and achievement motivation exist as a singular system and not independently each influencing student achievement at a tertiary level. Self-esteem was found to be the most influential construct with the strongest and most consistent measures being the four measures across each construct. In self-esteem, academic achievement motivation was positively associated, and social anxiety negatively associated, as predicted. Further, the findings suggest that high self-esteem seems to act as a psychological armor against social evaluation fears and thus low social anxiety. Achievement motivation was negatively related to social anxiety.

Thus, high self-esteem can protect against social anxiety and increasing achievement motivation, and vice versa. The implications of these results in terms of intervention are clear: universities should head toward an approach in which these constructs are not dealt with in isolation. Campuses need an integrated counseling approach that concurrently aims to increase self-esteem, develop motivation and reduce anxiety with elevated self-esteem being the most strategic and widest-reaching approach.

7.1 Key Patterns Identified

The most correlations found were between self-esteem and achievement motivation with moderate to strong positive correlations. There was also a strong (and significant) correlation between self-esteem and social anxiety across the different cultures as well. The relationship between social anxiety and achievement motivation was a little weaker but generally showed a significant negative correlation. There were also some cultural differences for example – Indian samples .

7.2 Theoretical implication

The implications of this research ambitiously build upon and substantially expand upon existing psychological theories as they empirically establish a triangular integrated model in which self-esteem, social anxiety and achievement motivation can be simulated as a cohesive whole psychological system. This further reaffirms to be rooted in core theories of Sociometer Theory, Bandura's Self-Efficacy theory, Self-Determination Theory. In addition, successful cross-cultural replication across Western and Indian samples has implications towards establishing the universality of McClelland's achievement motivation framework across varied socio-cultural universe.

7.3 Practical implications

Universities need to consider self-esteem, social anxiety, and achievement motivation as interconnected systems rather than isolated issues. Teachers must create psychologically safe classrooms that reduce the threat of social evaluation while promoting mastery-oriented motivation. An early intervention program for new transition students is highly recommended. Private educational institutions especially need to invest in mental health support, given reports of increased social anxiety among students. Enhancing self-esteem is the most strategically effective intervention to progressively improve social confidence and academic motivation.

7.4 Limitations of the Review

This systematic review has some limitations. Publication bias is a potential issue, as it is well-known that there is a bias towards the publication of studies with significant results. The fact that most studies were cross-sectional makes interpretation of causality impossible and directionality in particular cannot be determined; however, it should be noted that an overwhelming majority of the designs were cross-sectional. Results from this review may not be generalizable to countries outside those represented (North America and India) and the comparisons could not be made as measurement and operationalization varied considerably

7.5 Future Research Directions

Future studies should use longitudinal designs to establish the direction of causality among the three constructs. A geographically diverse sample across under represented cultural backgrounds increases generalizability. Experimental intervention studies examining self-esteem programs would add to existing correlational evidence. Mediation and moderation analyzes within integrated multivariate models will clarify specific interaction pathways.

8. Conclusion

This systematic review synthesized empirical evidence from 87 peer-reviewed studies examining the relationships between self-esteem, social anxiety, and achievement motivation in higher education institutions. The results consistently revealed a consistent triangular pattern. That is, self-esteem positively predicted achievement motivation and social anxiety negatively predicted achievement motivation, whereas social anxiety negatively predicted achievement motivation.. These findings challenge the tradition of considering these concepts separately and lead to the need for an integrated psychological support approach in universities. Improving students' self-esteem remains the most powerful and ambitious intervention available to educators and institutions. **A comparative study of different types of institutions, especially public and private universities in developing countries, would provide useful and practical contextual data for student support policies.**

References

- Arshad, M., Zaidi, S. M. I. H., & Mahmood, K. (2015). Self-esteem and academic achievement among university students. *Journal of Education and Practice*, 6(1), 156–162.
- Atkinson, J. W. (1964). *An introduction to motivation*. Van Nostrand. Atkinson, J. W., & Litwin, G. H. (1960). Achievement motive and test anxiety conceived as motive to approach success and motive to avoid failure. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 60(1), 52–63. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0041119>
- Astin, A. W. (1993). *What matters in college? Four critical years revisited*. Jossey-Bass.
- Bandura, A. (1997). *Self-efficacy: The exercise of control*. Freeman.
- Banerjee, D., & Chatterjee, S. (2018). Social anxiety and internet use among college students in Kolkata. *Indian Journal of Health and Wellbeing*, 9(3), 412–417.
- Bano, S., & Talib, P. (2013). Gender differences in self-esteem among college students. *Journal of Education and Practice*, 4(12), 44–48.
- Baumeister, R. F., Campbell, J. D., Krueger, J. I., & Vohs, K. D. (2003). Does high self-esteem cause better performance, interpersonal success, happiness, or healthier lifestyles? *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*, 4(1), 1–44. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1529-1006.01431>
- Bhatnagar, R., & Sharma, P. (2020). Competitive examination preparation and achievement motivation among undergraduate students. *Indian Journal of Positive Psychology*, 11(2), 143–148.
- Blöte, A. W., Kint, M. J. W., Miers, A. C., & Westenberg, P. M. (2009). The relation between public speaking anxiety and social anxiety: A review. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders*, 23(3), 305–313. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.janxdis.2008.11.007>
- Chamorro-Premuzic, T., & Furnham, A. (2003). Personality predicts academic performance: Evidence from two longitudinal university samples. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 37(4), 319–338. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-6566\(02\)00578-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-6566(02)00578-0)
- Chaudhary, R., & Prasad, S. (2019). Self-esteem and career decision-making self-efficacy among undergraduate students. *Journal of the Indian Academy of Applied Psychology*, 45(1), 78–85.
- Choudhury, S., & Bhattacharya, R. (2013). Prevalence of social anxiety among undergraduate college students in West Bengal. *Indian Journal of Psychiatry*, 55(2), 183–187. <https://doi.org/10.4103/0019-5545.111461>
- Clark, D. M., & Wells, A. (1995). A cognitive model of social phobia. In R. G. Heimberg, M. R. Liebowitz, D. A. Hope, & F. R. Schneier (Eds.), *Social phobia: Diagnosis, assessment, and treatment* (pp. 69–93). Guilford Press.
- Coopersmith, S. (1967). *The antecedents of self-esteem*. Freeman.