



Remote Work and Its Role in Employer Branding and Employee Retention: A Review of HR Transformations

Aarti Dagar (Research Scholar)⁽¹⁾,

Department of Management Studies, Bhagat Phool Singh Mahila Vishwavidyalaya

Khanpur Kalan, Sonapat, Haryana -131305, India

aartidagar07@gmail.com

Prof. (Dr.) Krishan Kumar Boora (Professor)⁽²⁾

Department of Management Studies, Bhagat Phool Singh Mahila Vishwavidyalaya


Khanpur Kalan, Sonapat, Haryana -131305, India

krishanboora@gmail.com



<https://doi.org/10.55041/ijst.v2i5.604>

Cite this Article: Dagar, A. (2026). Remote Work, Employer Branding, Employee Retention, Human Resource Transformation, Workplace Flexibility.. *International Journal of Science, Strategic Management and Technology*, 02(05). <https://doi.org/10.55041/ijst.v2i5.604>

License:  This article is published under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0), permitting use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are properly credited.

Abstract

There has been an accelerated introduction to remote work as a result of which, conventional practices of human resource management have radically changed. This review paper looks into how remote work can be used to reinforce employer branding and improve employee retention, especially in the aftermath of the pandemic environment in the workplace. By relying on previous research, the paper combines the previous findings on how flexibility, work-life balance, and digital collaboration tools affect employees' perceptions of their employers. Working remotely is also these days more and more acknowledged as one of the employer branding differentiators, giving organizations an opportunity to portray themselves as flexible, employee-focused, and progressive. The review also gives emphasis on the effect of remote work policies on employee satisfaction, commitment to organizations, and retention rates, and how to deal with the challenges of sustaining employee engagement, burnout, and the need to remain inclusive due to the hybrid work environments. The results indicate that companies with an overall HR strategy of embracing remote work have a greater chance of attracting and retaining their talent, yielding them a competitive advantage in the labor market. This paper helps to understand the current transformations of management and HR by gathering evidence from a variety of studies. It also offers implications to the practice regarding the ways of establishing sustainable remote working practices that would be favorable to organizational interests as well as worker well-being.

Keywords

Remote Work, Employer Branding, Employee Retention, Human Resource Transformation, Workplace Flexibility.



1. INTRODUCTION

The COVID-19 pandemic served as a rapid, mass-scale experiment with new forms of work, initiating one of the most significant changes in employment forms in decades: the widespread adoption of remote and hybrid work [1]. Before the pandemic, remote employment was restricted to specific jobs or was earlier viewed as an optional benefit. At a Chinese travel firm, classic pre-pandemic data from a randomized trial suggested a significant benefit to productivity and satisfaction when employees worked from home (WFH) four days a week, foreshadowing what would become a global trend [2]. With knowledge work forced off location in 2020 due to health and safety precautions, companies quickly upgraded and expanded on their digital infrastructures, reengineered processes, and formalized remote work or hybrid work policies. The outcome has been the organization of location flexibility through the mainstreaming of its modes of operation and the restructuring of organizational routines in ways yet to be fully understood [3], [4], [5]. Recent large-scale field experiments now establish that structured, hybrid arrangements can indeed reduce turnover, maintain performance, and, to a limited extent, enhance job satisfaction- empirical issues that now drive the attention of executive ranks in how remote work factors into talent strategy [6], [2], [3].

This change is important because companies have to grapple with acute talent shortages amid the pressure on margins, challenges of digitalisation, and employee engagement evolutions. In this context, employer branding, i.e., activities through which organizations create and promote a unique value proposition to both current and potential employees, is now a strategic distinguisher [7],[8]. The evidence in HRM studies is always chained to a stronger attraction, engagement, and retention, with reputational spillover that helps build broader corporate brand objectives [9], [10]. In addition, since HR is in the process of expanding digital capabilities and analytics [11], [12], [13], organizations will be in a better position to signal and deliver on flexible work offerings as a component of their employment value proposition [11].

Remote working is at the crossroads of these trends. It has quickly become a permanent part of the talent wooing and retaining process [4]. The flexibility and autonomy that remote and hybrid working can offer promise are another issue that is becoming a key focus of priorities expressed by employers; work-life balance is a high-salience priority that is significantly and empirically linked to remote and hybrid employment [5]. Meanwhile, the growth of remote work necessitates HR reinventions: job and performance architecture, new patterns of collaboration, and an expansion of inclusion practices toward distributed workforces, and people analytics to track outcomes and equity at scale [11],[12].

The Rise Of Remote Work After The Pandemic

COVID-19 conditions have brought the possibility of widespread adoption of the concept of telework, even though, compared to the current situation, the research into the telework concept dates back decades. There are three dynamics. To begin with, the mass digitalization reduced the costs of coordination, thus making it possible to work both synchronously and asynchronously across geographies [5]. Instead, organizations learned that much of their knowledge work is not necessarily place-bound, and that location independence on the part of the employee could be decoupled with output provided that management had well-designed coordination procedures [3]. Third, workers adjusted taste, appreciating flexibility in its positional impact on commuting time, work-life parity, and well-being. The strongest causal evidence is randomized controlled trials (RCTs). A six-month RCT of WFH introduced at Trip.com (2021-2022) reduced attrition by about one-third without degrading



performance or promotion outcomes over two years; the effect was especially large in the non-manager, female, and long-commuter groups [6]. In a quasi-experimental complementary analysis, more general geographic flexibility increased the output and retention of knowledge workers within the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office [3]. Taken together, the data seem to indicate hybrid as a stable state in most organizations [6], [2].

At the macro-level of an organization, the increasing trend of remote work is knotted together in the digital transformation. The pandemic introduced a surge in enterprise innovation driven by digitalization of processes such as e-HRM platforms, collaboration cloud suites consistent with analytics, to support remote work at scale [5], [11].

Importance Of Employer Branding and Employee Retention In Today's HR Landscape

Employer branding has evolved from being a phrase dabbling on the fringe of marketing to a strategic HR capability with roots in brand equity and resource-based theory [7]. At its foundation, the concept work conceptualizes employer attractiveness as a package of functional, economic, and psychological benefits that form impressions in the minds of applicants and employees [8]. Subsequent studies have widened this body of knowledge to reveal that believable and internally consistent employer branding increases identification, commitment, and discretionary effort to reduce turnover intentions and actual quits [9] on employer branding; [10]. To practitioners, the stakes are high: in most labor markets of high-skill content, retention is frequently a more powerful lever of the labor cost/capability continuity than recruitment alone [14]. Since 2020, the issue of talent pool tightening and skill shortages has only increased the importance of retention in executive scorecards. Simultaneously, scholarship notes that the authenticity of the brand needs to be lived internally to be truly effective externally. This must be internalized by creating a fit between the employer value proposition (EVP) and daily practices- leadership style, managerial process, performance system, and flexible work arrangements [7]. Digital HR achieves this alignment by tailoring communication, offering self-service in benefits and career mobility, as well as bringing people analytics to diagnose experience gaps across segments [13], [12].

Retention, as such, in turn, is greatly involved with flexibility cues in employer brands [18]. Synthesis of the Trip.com field experiment evidence has provided managers and policy makers with evidence that shows that even modest, predictable hybrid arrangements reduce resignation without measurable performance costs [6]. Flexible work, in turn, relates autonomy and temporal-spatial control with the lower intention to turnover, through job satisfaction, existence of work-life balance, and perceived organizational support [2], [3]. As a branding element, remote/hybrid work functions as a plausible indicator of a people-oriented design and a culture of trust, features increasingly valued by sections of the labor force, such as caregivers and long-commuters [8]

Linking Remote Work To HR Transformations

Telecommuting is not a new bonus benefit; it brings a range of HR changes that re-engineer the creation of value in the employment relationship. We point out four mechanisms:

They seem simple to cross-check, and in consequence, the candidates use them as acceptable signals as per signaling as a recruitment approach. Firms should avoid adverse selection and the unmet-promise phenomena by ensuring message experience congruence through alignment of policies with managerial practices (e.g., meeting norms, performance measures) such that flexibility is not undercut by always-on



expectations [7], [8]. Digital overtones exacerbate these cues on the career websites, social media, and review websites to create significant reputational outcomes of misalignment [13]. e-HRM research explains how digital HR infrastructures can scale such redesigns [11], [13]. These findings have been reviewed more broadly in work-life balance during pandemic WFH, which suggests flexibility contributes to decreases in conflict and well-being [16].

1. LITERATURE REVIEW

Remote Work and Its Evolution

Hybrid, work-from-home, and telecommuting work models have all changed significantly within the last decade. Previously, a peripheral thing, it became a necessity of organizational strategy after the pandemic. A cross-affirmational study of 17 international technology companies indicated that remote work transformed from a discretionary employee benefit to an adequate employee benefit institutionalized within the organization's policies [17]. Access is complemented by a four-year personnel data study of a Turkish call center, whereby remote work in full (meaning employees stay at home) was found to increase productivity, improve access to skilled talent (especially women), and bear no additional costs [18].

Employer Branding and Its Role in Attracting and Retaining Talent

Emerging Literature on Employer Branding (EB) refers to the way an organization develops its identity and values to its employees and prospective employees. In the post-pandemic era, EB uses remote work as a flexible and innovative value more and more often. A 2025 study on the Remote Work Retention Model (RWRM) presented mixed-method research results that showed that organizations that have adopted flexible work arrangements, digital employee experience, virtual leadership, sense of belonging using remote modalities witnessed 34 percent reduced turnover than traditional setups [19]. Further, an empirical study of the impacts of autonomy in the IT industry has found that high autonomy—popularized by telecommuting alternatives—has a substantial positive impact on retention [20].

Employee Retention: A Critical Organizational Priority

Retention of employees is important to the organization in terms of maintaining stability and preserving knowledge, as well as reducing costs in the long run. Work-life balance and job satisfaction, which are frequently enabled by remote-friendly policies, also had a significant positive impact on retention intentions according to a quantitative study that was done on the 2024 provider in the IT sector [21]. Equally, an IT research conducted at Coimbatore in 2025 indicated high correlations between hybrids/flex and employee retention [22]. On a larger scale, an organized literature review proved that work-life balance, career development, and flexible policies all contributed to work retention and organizational sustainability [23].

2. OBJECTIVES

- To examine how remote work can be leveraged as a strategic tool to strengthen employer branding and enhance employee retention in the post-pandemic workplace.
- To review how flexibility, work-life balance, digital tools, and remote work policies shape employees' perceptions, satisfaction, and commitment.



3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The research methodology followed in this study is a review-based empirical study to generalize the current body of knowledge on employee retention, employer branding, and remote work. The review will utilise secondary studies based on Scopus-indexed journal articles published in 2015-2025, which will make it relevant to the current transformations in the sphere of HR. Websites have been searched systematically by using the keywords such as remote work, telecommuting, employer branding, employee retention, work-life balance, and HR practices. Studies were also selected on the basis of conceptual and empirical relevance, and a preference was given to peer-reviewed articles that directly considered organizational outcomes regarding flexibility and digital collaboration tools and hybrid work policy. The identified literature was subsequently read thematically, in order to determine shared tendencies, new ideas, and gaps. The use of previously conducted studies instead of collecting primary data makes this review an effective summary of the effect of remote work on the employer branding and employee retention in accordance with the identified research goals.

4. DISCUSSION

5.1 Remote Work as a Strategic Tool for Employer Branding

Employer branding has been using remote work as a dominant differentiator. Firms that have remote or hybrid solutions get to have the advantage of being perceived as progressive and employee-friendly, which adds value to their image regarding the labor market. As an example, Bloom et al. provide evidence in a randomized field study that working hybrid results in a statistically significant increase in job satisfaction and a decrease in employee turnover by approximately one-third [24]. These findings suggest that remote work offerings enable companies to convey a high work-life balance and employee autonomy to prospective employees, thereby improving the employer value proposition. In practice, being able to work flexibly is a focus of many organizations, which demonstrates their adherence to current work practices and well-being [25]. Furthermore, remote work also has a direct contribution to positive employee attitudes, which are a component of branding that causes a positive feedback into the branding process. Survey findings reveal that telecommuting improves the employees' sense of independence and job satisfaction [26]. According to GarcAroxy, as cited in Garcia-Salirrosas et al., remote employees are more satisfied with their jobs due to the positive work-family spill-over and strong leadership support, thus suggesting that organizations that accommodate remote employees have happier employees [27]. More cheerful, more fulfilled workers tend to be brand ambassadors, which is further beneficial to the employer. In brief, by proactively incorporating remote-work policies into their recruitment and retention strategies, employers can demonstrate that they value flexibility and work-life balance, which is likely to resonate among employees today. [24] reported that employers could show their commitment to flexibility and employee well-being by strategically including remote-work policies in their recruitment and retention strategies. This approach is likely to appeal to current workers, as it is a phenomenon that which they are very familiar.



However, despite the possession of the evident upside, the remote work integration into branding must be handled carefully in terms of messaging. Existing literature observes that institutions have not necessarily balanced remote-work policies and family-friendly branding campaigns at large [27]. Baryal et al. found in one qualitative study of German firms that remote-work opportunities were increasingly in demand among staff but that there were few examples of specifically connecting remote-work options to family-related employer branding initiatives [25]. It leads to the following gap: remote work should be positioned as not only a benefit but one component of a larger brand message (for example, focusing on trust and flexibility). Though when done right, the focus on remote/hybrid policies can distinguish the employer, as such options are becoming an expectation among candidates nowadays.

5.2 Impact of Flexibility, Work-Life Balance, Digital Tools, and Remote Policies

Flexibility and work-life balance are the main advantages of remote/hybrid work, leading to positive results. It is estimated that the effects of flexible work arrangements (FWAs) on performance and satisfaction amongst employees are generally positive, based on meta-analyses and reviews of the evidence [24], [28]. A good example is Çivilidağ and Durmaz, who report a positive correlation that is strong among FWAs and productivity, job satisfaction, and organizational commitment [24]. Similarly, Garcia-Salirrosas et al demonstrate that telework enhances job satisfaction by experiencing positive spillover to family life and better work/family balance [26]. In practice, remote work arrangements or flexible hours mean that workers have fewer professional or personal conflicts because they can better balance them out [24],[26]. The employers are also beneficiaries of such dynamics, as they have a higher retention and involvement rate, judging by the significantly low quits in hybrid programs [24].

High-tech gadgets are one prerequisite of off-site flexibility. Video conference, chat applications, and collaborative software assist in virtually recreating office operations. Studies do admit that there are certain difficulties (see next section); however, overall, they point out that with proper use of ICT, it is certainly possible to greatly reduce the negative effects of distance. Managers report that through the use of digital tools, remote teams can sustain productivity gains attributed to the flexibility. [27] concept to a new level by using an online tool used in research and education. With strong local digital infrastructures and training, remote work can be as (or more) productive as in-person work to enable flexible policies without compromised productivity.

Even the policies of remote work need to be well formulated. The best balance can be achieved with hybrid models that incorporate in-office and at-home days. As reviewed by Mustajab, hybrid work as an approach can be a good solution, which retains collaboration possibilities, suggesting the same flexibility characteristics [29]. Firms with explicit remote-work policies, variable schedules, and that train managers to work remotely are more likely to make the best of it. This can be enhanced by motivating supervisors to accommodate family and life issues in the workplace- studies indicate that family-sensitive supervisory behaviors (FSSBs) can greatly enhance work satisfaction among remote workers [26].

5.3 Challenges of Remote and Hybrid Work (Relevant to Both Objectives)

Alongside all the benefits of remote and hybrid work, there are some outstanding challenges. The first is social isolation. Several studies identify that off-site employees feel less connected to work-mates and the organization [28]. Costin et al. discovered that numerous remote employees felt alienated and that they were not as attached as their colleagues who worked in the office [30]. Correspondingly, a systematic review shows that WFH correlates with loneliness and videoconferencing fatigue [29]. Hybrid work settings can foster a lack of team cohesion due to a lack of face-to-face contact unless techniques are used



to counteract the issue. Employees are thus required to work on the problem of isolation, where employers can adopt interventions to mitigate such problems, such as creating virtual social events or mandatory days in person.

Burnout and blurred boundaries are another issue. Lack of boundaries between work and home experience may cause undue stress and burnout to employees. Costin et al. note that remote workers who worked in an isolated environment were subjected to increased work demands and burnout during the COVID environment. The remote nature of arrangements tends to create a situation of work-home interference, where boundaries between family and work are not clearly defined, and this creates stress on well-being. The same studies report an increase in technostress and anxiety as a result of 24/7 connectivity [28].

Remote work is also accompanied by technical and communication-related issues. The used technicalities (slow internet, inability to use new tools) are cited as a barrier to effectiveness among remote teams by managers [28]. Any company boasting of flexibility should also be able to provide support; otherwise, the morale of employees would be affected [24]. All these dangers are significantly diminished by the considerate hybrid products and sound digital backing [29].

5. IMPLICATIONS

The implications of the findings of this review for both researchers and practitioners are important. Remote work should not be considered a temporary adaptation by organizations but a strategic HR leverage that will augment employer brand and retention. Top talent is more likely to respond to employer brand positioning on flexibility and work-life balance in highly competitive labor markets. Remote policies should be accompanied by guidelines, strong online infrastructure, and leadership approaches with an emphasis on inclusion and well-being. Otherwise, the positive outcomes can be balanced by burnout, aloneness, or demotivation. The findings have particular implications for HR practitioners who must be keen to align remote-work approaches with other talent strategies to ensure those approaches appear genuine and sustainable to the employees.

6. FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

Although this review compiles existing knowledge on the subject of remote work and employer branding, as well as on employee retention, there are gaps in knowledge that should still be filled. In the first place, the outcomes of remote work have been discussed in terms of its short-run impacts, especially in the context of and immediately after the COVID-19 pandemic. In the future, we need research that is done on the sustainability of remote and hybrid models in the long term, in terms of factors that include employee satisfaction, productivity, and employee retention. Second, it is necessary to research the area where remote work and diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) come into contact with each other. There is evidence that remote policies can both assuage inequality or increase it based on access to digital tools and access to home environment favorability, and support within an organization.

7. CONCLUSION

This review identifies the revolution of remote work in human resource management, especially in the areas of employer branding and employee retention. The evidence indicates that the approach to remote and hybrid work arrangements, when properly planned, reinforces the perception of an employer with



the benefit of flexibility, progressiveness, and employee orientation. Such practices in turn improve satisfaction, commitment, and retention and provide firms with a competitive edge in talent attraction and retention. Agility, well-being, and online collaboration platforms appeared as valuable facilitators of the positive attitudes of employees. Nevertheless, the results also show that there are things with which remote work is entangled. Problems like burnout, social isolation, and blurred work-life boundaries can depress the possible positive effects unless handled with special care. Organizations that develop considerate policies, ensure the availability of digital infrastructure, and encourage leaders are in a better position to deal with these risks.

8. REFERENCES

1. Arunprasad, P., Dey, C., Jebli, F., Manimuthu, A., & El Hatham, Z. (2022). Exploring the remote work challenges in the era of COVID-19 pandemic: review and application model. *Benchmarking: An International Journal*, 29(10), 3333-3355. <https://doi.org/10.1108/BIJ-07-2021-0421>
2. Bloom, N., Liang, J., Roberts, J., & Ying, Z. J. (2015). Does working from home work? Evidence from a Chinese experiment. *The Quarterly journal of economics*, 130(1), 165-218. <https://doi.org/10.1093/qje/qju032>
3. Choudhury, P., Foroughi, C., & Larson, B. (2021). Work-from-anywhere: The productivity effects of geographic flexibility. *Strategic Management Journal*, 42(4), 655-683. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.3251>
4. Lee, K. (2023). Working from home as an economic and social change: A review. *Labour Economics*, 85, 102462. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.labeco.2023.102462>
5. Battisti, E., Alfiero, S., & Leonidou, E. (2022). Remote working and digital transformation during the COVID-19 pandemic: Economic-financial impacts and psychological drivers for employees. *Journal of Business Research*, 150, 38-50. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2022.06.010>
6. Bloom, N., Han, R., & Liang, J. (2024). Hybrid working from home improves retention without damaging performance. *Nature*, 630(8018), 920-925. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-024-07500-2>
7. Backhaus, K., & Tikoo, S. (2004). Conceptualizing and researching employer branding. *Career development international*, 9(5), 501-517. <https://doi.org/10.1108/13620430410550754>
8. Berthon, P., Ewing, M., & Hah, L. L. (2005). Captivating company: dimensions of attractiveness in employer branding. *International journal of advertising*, 24(2), 151-172. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02650487.2005.11072912>
9. Connelly, C. E., Fieseler, C., Černe, M., Giessner, S. R., & Wong, S. I. (2021). Working in the digitized economy: HRM theory & practice. *Human Resource Management Review*, 31(1), 100762. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2020.100762>
10. Decker, G., Butler, W. P., & Meriac, J. P. (2025). Best of Both Worlds: The Benefits of Hybrid Work Compared With Remote and In-Person Roles. *Human Resource Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hrm.70013>
11. Bondarouk, T., Parry, E., & Furtmueller, E. (2017). Electronic HRM: four decades of research on adoption and consequences. *The International Journal of human resource management*, 28(1), 98-131. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2016.1245672>
12. Strohmeier, S. (2020). Digital human resource management: A conceptual clarification. *German Journal of Human Resource Management*, 34(3), 345-365. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2397002220921131>
13. Strohmeier, S. (2020). Digital human resource management: A conceptual clarification. *German Journal of Human Resource Management*, 34(3), 345-365. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2012.06.002>



14. Bharadwaj, S. (2023). CSR employer branding, organisational identification, person–organisation fit and employee retention: A dual mediation model. *Journal of Economic and Administrative Sciences*, (ahead-of-print). <https://doi.org/10.1108/JEAS-04-2023-0093>
15. Schulze, A., & Dada, O. (2025). Building strategic human capital resources: The effects of strategic entrepreneurship on employee recruitment and retention. *Journal of Small Business Management*, 63(1), 388-421. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00472778.2024.2322985>
16. Shirmohammadi, M., Au, W. C., & Beigi, M. (2022). Remote work and work-life balance: Lessons learned from the covid-19 pandemic and suggestions for HRD practitioners. *Human Resource Development International*, 25(2), 163-181. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13678868.2022.2047380>
17. Smite, D., Moe, N. B., Hildrum, J., Gonzalez-Huerta, J., & Mendez, D. (2023). Work-from-home is here to stay: Call for flexibility in post-pandemic work policies. *Journal of Systems and Software*, 195, 111552. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2203.11136>
18. Hunter, P. (2019). Remote working in research: An increasing usage of flexible work arrangements can improve productivity and creativity. *EMBO reports*, 20(1), e47435. <https://doi.org/10.15252/embr.201847435>
19. Kraus, S., Caputo, A., Palacios-Marqués, D., & Danvila-del-Valle, I. (2024). Guest editorial: Talent attraction and retention strategies in the post-COVID era: an introduction. *Management Decision*, 62(10), 2961-2968. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MD-10-2024-246>
20. Philimis, J. (2025). The Impact of Job Autonomy on Employee Retention in the it Sector: A Comprehensive Analysis. *Public Organization Review*, 1-16. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11115-025-00827-y>
21. Sheshadri, T., Vallabhaneni, M., & Malhotra, N. (2024). Employee retention in the digital age: The role of work-life balance and job satisfaction with reference to IT sector. *Journal of Informatics Education and Research*, 4(3). <https://doi.org/10.52783/jier.v4i3.1457>
22. Loh, C. W., & Cheng, M. L. (2024). The Role of Flexible Work Arrangements in Enhancing Employee Well-Being and Productivity: A Study on SDG 8 Implementation in Tech Companies. *Journal of Business and Social Sciences*, 2024(18), 1-9. <https://doi.org/10.64252/7ta5xp44>
23. Rashmi, K., & Kataria, A. (2022). Work–life balance: a systematic literature review and bibliometric analysis. *International Journal of Sociology and Social Policy*, 42(11/12), 1028-1065. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJSSP-06-2021-0145>
24. Bloom, N., Han, R., & Liang, J. (2024). Hybrid working from home improves retention without damaging performance. *Nature*, 630(8018), 920-925. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-024-07500-2>
25. Wells, J., Scheibein, F., Pais, L., Rebelo dos Santos, N., Dalluege, C. A., Czakert, J. P., & Berger, R. (2023). A systematic review of the impact of remote working referenced to the concept of work–life flow on physical and psychological health. *Workplace health & safety*, 71(11), 507-521. <https://doi.org/10.1177/21650799231176397>
26. García-Salirrosas, E. E., Rondon-Eusebio, R. F., Geraldo-Campos, L. A., & Acevedo-Duque, Á. (2023). Job satisfaction in remote work: The role of positive spillover from work to family and work–life balance. *Behavioral Sciences*, 13(11), 916. <https://doi.org/10.3390/bs13110916>
27. Çivilidağ, A., & Durmaz, Ş. (2024). Examining the relationship between flexible working arrangements and employee performance: a mini review. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 15, 1398309. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2024.1398309>
28. Kowalski, G., & Ślebarska, K. (2022). Remote working and work effectiveness: a leader perspective. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19(22), 15326. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph192215326>



29. Mustajab, D. (2024). Exploring the effectiveness of remote and hybrid work policies: A literature review on workforce management practices. *Jurnal Manajemen Bisnis*, 11(2), 891-908. <https://doi.org/10.33096/jmb.v11i2.798>
30. Costin, A., Roman, A. F., & Balica, R. S. (2023). Remote work burnout, professional job stress, and employee emotional exhaustion during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Frontiers in psychology*, 14, 1193854. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1193854>