International Journal of Science, Strategic Management and Technology
Volume **02** Issue **06** June-**2026** | ISSN: **3108-1762 (Online)** | Impact Factor: 3.8
An International, Peer-Reviewed, Open Access Scholarly Journal Indexed in recognized academic databases

The Ethics of AI and Academic Integrity - Navigating Responsibility, Honesty and The Future of Learning

C. Rani Jaju,
Associate Professor, Avinash College of Commerce, Secunderabad.
Dr. Chamundeshwari G,
Associate Professor, Avinash College of Commerce, Secunderabad.

https://doi.org/10.55041/ijsmt.v2i6.188

Cite this Article: Jaju, C. R. & G, C. (2026). The Ethics of AI and Academic Integrity - Navigating Responsibility, Honesty and The Future of Learning. International Journal of Science, Strategic Management and Technology, *02*(6). https://doi.org/10.55041/ijsmt.v2i6.188

ABSTRACT

The growth of Artificial Intelligence (AI) has created new problems for academic institutions in terms of their ethical standards associated with integrity, authorship, and honesty. The advent of generative AI tools like ChatGPT raises significant ethical dilemmas because they can produce text that appears indistinguishable from what a person would write, as well as perform many of the functions traditionally performed by researchers to solve complicated problems and produce research outputs. In this article, we use multiple recent empirical studies, routine reviews of institutional policy, and theoretical frameworks to explore the ethical issues related to the use of AI in higher education. Among the topics discussed are how the definition and understanding of academic integrity have changed because of the emergence of AI; the types and extent of AI-related misconduct; how the concepts of authorship and attribution apply to AI; how AI can help detect plagiarism; the impact of algorithmic bias from AI-based assessment; and the cognitive consequences of using AI tools for individuals. The authors suggest that institutions should adopt a strategy centered on humans and pedagogy in their implementation of AI within higher education, neither banning the use of AI nor endorsing its use without appropriate safeguards.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Academic institutions integrity, ethical issues, Higher education, plagiarism

Introduction

The emergence of Artificial Intelligence (AI), particularly generative AI systems such as ChatGPT, has transformed educational environments worldwide. Since the public release of ChatGPT in 2022, students and educators have increasingly incorporated AI tools into teaching, learning, assessment, and research activities. While AI offers significant opportunities for personalized learning, academic support, and knowledge generation, it also raises serious concerns regarding academic integrity, authorship, transparency, and fairness.

Academic integrity has traditionally been built upon the values of honesty, trust, fairness, respect, responsibility, and courage. However, the ability of AI systems to generate essays, solve problems, write code, and summarize academic literature challenges conventional understandings of independent learning and scholarly work. Educational institutions are therefore facing the challenge of balancing the benefits of AI with the need to preserve authentic learning and ethical academic practices.

This study explores the impact of AI on academic integrity, examines emerging ethical concerns, evaluates institutional responses, and proposes a framework for responsible AI use in higher education.

Objectives of the Study

1. To examine the impact of generative Artificial Intelligence on academic integrity, authorship, assessment practices, and learning processes within educational institutions, including the ethical challenges associated with its use.
2. To evaluate existing AI governance frameworks, institutional policies, and AI detection mechanisms, and to propose recommendations for the ethical, transparent, and responsible integration of AI in education.

Scope of the Study

The scope of this study includes:

- Higher education institutions and academic environments.
- Generative AI technologies such as ChatGPT and related language models.
- Academic integrity issues including plagiarism, authorship, transparency, and misconduct.
- AI-assisted assessment, detection systems, and governance policies.
- Ethical, pedagogical, and equity-related implications of AI in education.

The study primarily focuses on developments between 2022 and 2026, during the rapid adoption of generative AI technologies across educational institutions.

Research Gap

Although substantial research has emerged on AI in education, several gaps remain:

1. Lack of consensus regarding acceptable and unacceptable AI usage in academic work.
2. Insufficient empirical evidence regarding the long-term effects of AI on students' cognitive development and critical thinking.
3. Limited standardization of institutional policies governing AI-assisted learning.
4. Inconsistent disclosure and attribution requirements across academic disciplines and journals.
5. Limited understanding of algorithmic bias in AI-assisted assessment and grading systems.
6. Inadequate frameworks for balancing educational innovation with academic integrity.

This study addresses these gaps by integrating evidence from recent literature and proposing a comprehensive ethical framework for AI use in academia.

Literature Review

Traditional Foundations of Academic Integrity

Academic integrity has long been regarded as a fundamental principle of higher education. According to the International Center for Academic Integrity (ICAI), academic integrity is built upon six core values: honesty, trust, fairness, respect, responsibility, and courage. Research by Adam, Anderson, and Spronken-Smith (2017) examined students' perceptions of plagiarism in higher education and found that institutional policies significantly influence students' understanding of academic misconduct. Their study highlighted the importance of clear guidelines and educational support in promoting ethical academic behavior.

Generative AI and the Disruption of Academic Integrity

The emergence of generative Artificial Intelligence tools such as ChatGPT has introduced new challenges to traditional academic integrity frameworks. Qadir (2023) argued that generative AI possesses significant potential to enhance education through personalized learning and academic assistance; however, it also raises concerns regarding plagiarism, overreliance on technology, and diminished student engagement in independent learning. Similarly, Elali (2023) warned that AI-generated research papers and fabricated content could undermine research integrity and scientific credibility if proper safeguards are not established.

Scale of AI-Related Academic Misconduct

Recent studies suggest a substantial increase in the use of AI tools for completing academic assignments. Karimi et al. (2023) explored teachers' perceptions of academic dishonesty among students using AI-assisted writing tools and found growing concerns regarding originality, authenticity, and students' independent writing abilities. Further evidence was reported by The Guardian (2025), which documented thousands of AI-related cheating cases in UK universities, indicating that educational institutions are increasingly confronting challenges associated with AI-assisted misconduct.

Authorship, Attribution, and Intellectual Ownership

The rapid adoption of AI-generated content has challenged conventional notions of authorship and intellectual ownership. Zawacki-Richter et al. (2024) examined the influence of artificial intelligence on research integrity and publication ethics and concluded that AI systems cannot be considered independent authors because they lack legal and ethical accountability. The authors emphasized the necessity of maintaining human responsibility for all scholarly outputs produced with AI assistance.

Institutional Governance and Policy Development

The growing integration of AI into education has led researchers to examine institutional responses and governance mechanisms. Miron and Facciolo (2024) analyzed AI policies across higher education institutions in Ontario, Canada, and identified considerable inconsistencies in institutional approaches to AI governance. Their findings suggest that many universities are still developing policies to address acceptable AI use. Malomo (2025) further contributed to this discussion by proposing the SPARKE Framework, which offers institutions a structured approach for balancing innovation, ethics, accountability, and academic integrity in AI adoption.

AI Detection Technologies and Their Limitations

Educational institutions have increasingly adopted AI detection systems to identify AI-generated academic content. Leong and Zhang (2025) evaluated AI plagiarism detection technologies and found that tools such as Turnitin AI Detector, GPTZero, and Copyleaks demonstrate varying levels of effectiveness. Their study reported concerns regarding false positives, false negatives, and inconsistent reliability across different writing contexts. These findings suggest that AI detection tools should be used cautiously and supplemented by human judgment.

Algorithmic Bias and Educational Equity

Algorithmic bias represents another significant concern associated with AI implementation in education. The OECD (2023) defined algorithmic bias as discriminatory outcomes resulting from biased training data, flawed algorithms, or unequal system design. The report emphasized that AI systems may unintentionally disadvantage certain demographic groups, including non-native English speakers and historically marginalized populations. Such biases raise important questions regarding fairness, equity, and inclusiveness in AI-assisted assessment and educational decision-making.

Cognitive and Pedagogical Implications of AI

Researchers have also examined the impact of AI on student learning and cognitive development. Jose (2025) described the "cognitive paradox" of AI in education, arguing that while AI can enhance learning efficiency and access to information, excessive dependence on AI may reduce critical thinking, problem-solving skills, and intellectual engagement. Similarly, the University of Technology Sydney (2026) highlighted concerns regarding cognitive offloading, where students increasingly rely on AI systems to perform intellectual tasks traditionally undertaken through independent reasoning and reflection.

Academic Integrity in the Age of ChatGPT

Wardat et al. (2025) argued that traditional assessment methods may no longer be sufficient in the era of generative AI. Their research recommended redesigning assessments to emphasize critical thinking, oral examinations, project-based learning, and authentic demonstrations of understanding. Likewise, the article published in Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence (2024) suggested that AI does not necessarily undermine academic integrity when accompanied by transparent policies, ethical guidelines, and responsible educational practices.

Synthesis of Literature

The reviewed literature demonstrates that generative AI has fundamentally transformed educational practices and created both opportunities and challenges for academic integrity. While AI technologies offer significant benefits in learning support, accessibility, and research assistance, scholars consistently identify concerns related to plagiarism, authorship, policy inconsistency, algorithmic bias, and cognitive dependence. Existing research also reveals a lack of standardized governance frameworks and limited empirical evidence regarding the long-term effects of AI on student learning. These gaps underscore the need for comprehensive institutional policies, ethical guidelines, and continuous research to ensure the responsible integration of AI within higher education.

Analysis and Methodology

Research Method

This study adopts a qualitative descriptive research methodology based on a systematic review and analysis of secondary sources.

Sources of Data

Secondary Data was collected fromPeer-reviewed journal articles, Government and policy reports, International organizational publications, Educational institution guidelines, Academic integrity frameworks and Recent empirical studies on AI and education.

Analytical Approach

A thematic analysis approach was employed to identify major themes related toAcademic misconduct, AI-assisted learning, Authorship and disclosure, Governance and policy, Detection technologies, Algorithmic fairness, Cognitive and pedagogical impacts.

The collected literature was categorized and compared to identify common trends, challenges, and recommendations.

Findings

- The adoption of generative AI has contributed to a significant rise in AI-related academic dishonesty cases across educational institutions.
- Current plagiarism and AI detection systems are not fully reliable and may incorrectly classify human-generated content as AI-generated.
- Educational institutions demonstrate considerable variation in AI governance policies, creating confusion among students and faculty.
- AI-generated content raises complex questions regarding intellectual ownership, accountability, and scholarly attribution.
- AI-assisted assessment systems may unintentionally disadvantage certain student populations, particularly non-native English speakers and marginalized groups.
- Excessive dependence on AI may reduce opportunities for critical thinking, problem-solving, and intellectual growth.
- When used appropriately, AI can support learning, improve accessibility, facilitate research, and enhance student engagement.

Suggestions

- **I**nstitutions should create comprehensive and regularly updated policies defining acceptable and
- Students and researchers should disclose the extent and purpose of AI assistance in academic work.
- Educators should adopt assessment approaches that emphasize critical thinking, oral examinations, reflective writing, project-based learning, and authentic demonstrations of understanding.
- Students must remain responsible for all submitted work regardless of AI assistance.
- Institutions should evaluate AI systems for potential bias and ensure equitable access to AI resources.
- Faculty and students should receive training regarding ethical AI use, limitations of AI systems, and academic integrity expectations.
- Given the rapid evolution of AI technologies, institutional policies should be reviewed and updated regularly.

Conclusion

Artificial Intelligence is fundamentally reshaping education and challenging traditional concepts of academic integrity. While AI offers substantial benefits for learning, research, and educational accessibility, it simultaneously creates new ethical concerns related to misconduct, authorship, transparency, bias, and cognitive development.

The evidence suggests that neither complete prohibition nor unrestricted use of AI provides an effective solution. Instead, educational institutions must adopt balanced approaches that encourage responsible AI use while preserving authentic learning and scholarly integrity.

Future academic integrity frameworks should prioritize transparency, contextual appropriateness, human accountability, equity, pedagogical effectiveness, and continuous policy adaptation. Ultimately, the challenge posed by AI is not merely technological but ethical and educational. The long-term success of higher education will depend on its ability to integrate AI while maintaining the core values that define academic excellence and intellectual growth.

References

1. Adam, L., Anderson, V., &Spronken-Smith, R. (2017). "It's not fair": Policy discourses and students' understandings of plagiarism in a New Zealand university. Higher Education, 74, 17–32.
2. Elali, F. R. (2023). AI-generated research paper fabrication and plagiarism in the scientific community. Patterns, 4(3), 100706.
3. European Commission. (2026). Guidelines on the ethical use of artificial intelligence and data in teaching and learning for educators.
4. Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence. (2024). Shaping integrity: Why generative artificial intelligence does not have to undermine education.
5. Jose, B. (2025). The cognitive paradox of AI in education: Between enhancement and erosion. Frontiers in Psychology, 16, 1550621.
6. Kabir, A. I. (2025). Impact of AI technologies on academic integrity: Challenges, opportunities, and the plagiarism dilemma.
7. Karimi, E., et al. (2023). Teachers' reflections on academic dishonesty in EFL students' writings in the era of artificial intelligence.
8. Leong, W. Y., & Zhang, J. B. (2025). AI on academic integrity and plagiarism detection. ASM Science Journal, 20(1).
9. Malomo, O. O. (2025). AI as asset and liability: A dual-use dilemma in higher education and the SPARKE Framework for institutional AI governance.

10. Miron, J., & Facciolo, L. (2024). Policy analysis of higher education institutes in Ontario, Canada: A focus on artificial intelligence.
11. OECD. (2023). Algorithmic bias: The state of the situation and policy recommendations.
12. Qadir, J. (2023). Engineering education in the era of ChatGPT: Promise and pitfalls of generative AI for education.
13. Dr. K. Naveen Kumar, From Adoption to Advantage: How Generative AI Capability Drives Integrated Business Performance in Emerging Markets. (2026). MSW Management Journal, 36(2), 647-650.
14. The Guardian. (2025). Revealed: Thousands of UK university students caught cheating using AI.
15. University of Technology Sydney. (2026). Artificial intelligence, cognitive offloading and implications for education.
16. Dr. K. Naveen Kumar, Dr. Darshan Subherwal, Ms. R. Renuka, Dr. Kavitha Rapolu. (2026). Employee Productivity and Digital Transformation in Modern Organizations . Journal of Daoist Studies, 19(S2), 1407–1414. Retrieved from https://journalofdaoiststudies.org/index.php/journal/article/view/394
17. Wardat, Y., et al. (2025). Ensuring academic integrity in the age of ChatGPT: Rethinking exam design, assessment strategies, and ethical AI policies in higher education.
18. Zawacki-Richter, O., et al. (2024). Influence of artificial intelligence and chatbots on research integrity and publication ethics.