

# A Review of Plant Leaf Disease Identification Using Deep Learning: Recent Advances, Challenges, and Future Directions

**Allupati Chakradhar Patro**

Assistant Professor

Department of Master of Computer Applications

GIFT AUTONOMOUS, Bhubaneswar, India

Email: [allupati@gift.edu.in](mailto:allupati@gift.edu.in)



<https://doi.org/10.55041/ijst.v2i7.105>

**Cite this Article:** Patro, A. C. (2026). A Review of Plant Leaf Disease Identification Using Deep Learning: Recent Advances, Challenges, and Future Directions. *International Journal of Science, Strategic Management and Technology*, 02(7), 1-9. <https://doi.org/10.55041/ijst.v2i7.105>



**License:** This article is published under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0), permitting use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are properly credited.

## Abstract

Agriculture plays a pivotal role in ensuring global food security, economic stability, and sustainable development. Plant diseases significantly reduce agricultural productivity, resulting in substantial economic losses and threatening food supply worldwide. Early and accurate identification of plant leaf diseases enables timely intervention, minimizes crop damage, and enhances agricultural yield. Traditional disease diagnosis relies heavily on visual inspection by agricultural experts, making the process labor-intensive, subjective, and unsuitable for large-scale deployment. Recent advances in artificial intelligence, particularly deep learning, have transformed plant disease diagnosis by enabling automatic feature extraction and highly accurate image-based classification.

This review presents a comprehensive analysis of recent developments in deep learning techniques for plant leaf disease identification. Various convolutional neural network (CNN) architectures, including AlexNet, VGGNet, ResNet, DenseNet, EfficientNet, MobileNet, Inception, and Xception, are critically reviewed along with modern transformer-based models such as Vision Transformer (ViT), Swin Transformer,

and hybrid CNN–Transformer frameworks. The paper also examines transfer learning strategies, object detection methods including YOLO and Faster R-CNN, and semantic segmentation approaches such as U-Net and DeepLabV3+.

Publicly available benchmark datasets, including PlantVillage, PlantDoc, AI Challenger, Cassava Leaf Disease, and Rice Leaf Disease datasets, are discussed in terms of dataset diversity, annotation quality, and practical applicability. Furthermore, image preprocessing techniques, data augmentation methods, evaluation metrics, and deployment considerations for mobile and edge devices are comprehensively reviewed.

The paper identifies current research challenges, including dataset imbalance, environmental variability, model interpretability, computational complexity, and limited real-world generalization. Finally, emerging research directions such as explainable artificial intelligence, federated learning, multimodal learning, self-supervised learning, lightweight architectures, and edge AI are discussed to provide future research opportunities.

This review serves as a valuable resource for researchers, practitioners, and agricultural

technologists interested in developing robust, scalable, and intelligent plant disease identification systems.

**Keywords**— Plant Disease Detection, Deep Learning, Convolutional Neural Network, Transfer Learning, Vision Transformer, Precision Agriculture, Image Classification, Artificial Intelligence.

## I. Introduction

Agriculture remains one of the most important sectors supporting human civilization by providing food, raw materials, and employment opportunities. According to the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), plant diseases account for significant annual crop losses worldwide, affecting both developed and developing countries. These diseases reduce crop quality and quantity while increasing production costs through excessive pesticide application and delayed treatment.

Traditional plant disease diagnosis is primarily based on visual inspection by experienced plant pathologists. Although expert diagnosis is reliable, it suffers from several limitations. Manual inspection is time-consuming, subjective, expensive, and often unavailable in rural farming regions where agricultural expertise is scarce. Moreover, visually similar disease symptoms frequently lead to incorrect diagnosis, reducing treatment effectiveness.

The rapid advancement of computer vision and artificial intelligence has introduced automated disease diagnosis systems capable of identifying diseases directly from plant leaf images. Early machine learning approaches relied on handcrafted features, including color histograms, texture descriptors, shape characteristics, and statistical image features. These manually engineered features required extensive domain expertise and often failed under varying illumination, occlusion, and complex backgrounds.

Deep learning has fundamentally transformed image analysis by enabling automatic hierarchical feature learning. Unlike conventional machine learning methods, deep neural networks learn discriminative representations directly from raw images without manual feature engineering. Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) have demonstrated remarkable performance across numerous computer vision applications, including medical imaging, object detection, autonomous driving, and agricultural disease diagnosis.

The availability of benchmark datasets such as PlantVillage has accelerated research in plant disease identification. Numerous CNN architectures, including AlexNet, VGGNet, ResNet, DenseNet, Inception, MobileNet, EfficientNet, and Xception, have achieved classification accuracies exceeding 98% under controlled laboratory conditions. More recently, transformer-based architectures such as Vision Transformer (ViT) and Swin Transformer have further improved feature representation by effectively capturing long-range dependencies in plant images.

Transfer learning has emerged as a practical solution for agricultural applications where annotated datasets are limited. By utilizing knowledge acquired from large-scale datasets such as ImageNet, pre-trained deep learning models significantly reduce training time while improving classification accuracy. Lightweight architectures such as MobileNet and EfficientNet have also enabled deployment on smartphones and edge computing devices, facilitating real-time disease diagnosis for farmers.

In addition to image classification, recent research has expanded toward object detection and semantic segmentation for identifying multiple diseases in complex field environments. Advanced detection models such as YOLOv5, YOLOv8, Faster R-CNN, and Mask R-CNN enable precise localization of infected regions, while segmentation networks including U-Net and DeepLabV3+ provide pixel-level disease identification. These approaches support precision agriculture by enabling targeted pesticide application and continuous crop health monitoring.

Despite remarkable progress, several research challenges remain. Most existing models are trained using laboratory-acquired datasets with homogeneous backgrounds and controlled lighting conditions. Consequently, their performance often degrades when applied to real-world field images characterized by variable illumination, occlusion, multiple disease symptoms, and environmental noise. Additional challenges include class imbalance, limited annotated datasets for rare diseases, computational complexity of deep architectures, lack of interpretability, and concerns regarding scalability and deployment in resource-constrained environments.

The increasing integration of Internet of Things (IoT), unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs), hyperspectral

imaging, explainable artificial intelligence (XAI), federated learning, and edge computing presents new opportunities for developing intelligent agricultural decision-support systems. These technologies can enable continuous monitoring, early disease detection, and sustainable crop management while reducing dependence on manual inspection.

### A. Motivation

Recent years have witnessed an exponential increase in publications related to deep learning-based plant disease identification. However, many existing survey papers focus only on CNN-based classification or summarize limited datasets without providing comprehensive comparisons across modern architectures. Moreover, the emergence of transformer models, self-supervised learning, multimodal learning, and lightweight edge AI necessitates an updated review that reflects current research trends and identifies unresolved challenges.

### B. Objectives of this Review

The primary objectives of this review are:

- To present a comprehensive overview of deep learning techniques for plant leaf disease identification.
- To compare state-of-the-art CNN, transformer, detection, and segmentation models.
- To summarize publicly available benchmark datasets and preprocessing techniques.
- To analyze evaluation metrics and reported performance across recent studies.
- To identify research gaps and limitations in existing approaches.
- To discuss emerging trends and future directions for intelligent plant disease diagnosis.

## II. Background and Literature Review

### A. Plant Leaf Diseases and Their Impact on Agriculture

Agriculture is a fundamental sector that supports global food security and contributes significantly to the economies of many developing countries. However, agricultural productivity is continuously threatened by

numerous biotic and abiotic factors, among which plant diseases are one of the most destructive. Plant pathogens, including fungi, bacteria, viruses, nematodes, and phytoplasmas, infect various crops and significantly reduce both the quality and quantity of agricultural produce. According to the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), plant diseases contribute to annual crop losses ranging from 20% to 40% worldwide, causing substantial economic losses and threatening sustainable agricultural development.

Leaf diseases are among the earliest visible symptoms of plant infection. Since leaves are responsible for photosynthesis and nutrient transport, diseases affecting leaf tissues directly reduce plant growth and crop yield. Common symptoms include chlorosis, necrosis, lesions, wilting, discoloration, blight, mildew, rust, mosaic patterns, and abnormal leaf deformation. Figure 1 (to be included in the final manuscript) illustrates representative examples of healthy and diseased plant leaves.

Several economically important crops are susceptible to severe leaf diseases. For example, rice crops suffer from bacterial leaf blight and rice blast disease; tomato plants are affected by early blight, late blight, septoria leaf spot, and leaf mold; potato crops experience late blight and early blight; apple orchards are vulnerable to apple scab, cedar apple rust, and black rot; grape cultivation is affected by black rot and powdery mildew; while maize, wheat, cassava, and soybean are similarly impacted by multiple fungal and bacterial infections. Early identification of these diseases is essential for reducing crop damage and improving agricultural productivity.

Traditional disease diagnosis primarily depends on visual inspection by agricultural experts. Although experienced plant pathologists can identify disease symptoms with reasonable accuracy, manual diagnosis has several inherent limitations. It is labor-intensive, time-consuming, subjective, and difficult to scale for large agricultural fields. Furthermore, many diseases exhibit visually similar symptoms during their early stages, making accurate diagnosis challenging even for experienced professionals. These limitations have motivated researchers to develop automated image-based disease diagnosis systems using computer vision and artificial intelligence.

## B. Evolution of Automated Plant Disease Detection

Automated plant disease detection has evolved through three major phases: traditional image processing, classical machine learning, and deep learning.

### 1) Traditional Image Processing

Early plant disease detection systems relied on digital image processing techniques to identify diseased regions based on handcrafted features. The typical workflow consisted of image acquisition, preprocessing, segmentation, feature extraction, and classification.

Image preprocessing involved operations such as noise removal, contrast enhancement, histogram equalization, and color normalization to improve image quality. Segmentation algorithms, including thresholding, Otsu's method, region growing, watershed transformation, and K-means clustering, were employed to isolate diseased regions from healthy leaf tissues.

Feature extraction techniques primarily focused on manually engineered descriptors such as:

- Color histograms
- RGB and HSV color features
- Gray-Level Co-occurrence Matrix (GLCM)
- Local Binary Patterns (LBP)
- Gabor filters
- Shape descriptors
- Texture statistics
- Hu moments

These handcrafted features were subsequently classified using conventional machine learning algorithms such as Support Vector Machines (SVM), Decision Trees, Random Forests, Naïve Bayes, and Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs).

Although traditional approaches demonstrated moderate success under controlled laboratory conditions, their performance deteriorated significantly under varying illumination, complex backgrounds, and different camera perspectives. Moreover, handcrafted feature engineering required extensive domain expertise and lacked adaptability across different crop species.

### 2) Machine Learning-Based Diagnosis

The second phase involved combining handcrafted image features with supervised machine learning classifiers. Popular classifiers included:

- Support Vector Machine (SVM)
- Random Forest (RF)
- k-Nearest Neighbor (k-NN)
- Decision Tree (DT)
- Artificial Neural Network (ANN)
- Extreme Learning Machine (ELM)

Machine learning models achieved classification accuracies ranging from 80% to 95% on small datasets. However, their dependence on manually designed features remained a major limitation. Since handcrafted features often failed to capture complex disease characteristics, these methods struggled to generalize across diverse environmental conditions.

Furthermore, machine learning models required separate optimization for each crop species and disease category, limiting their scalability for large agricultural applications.

### 3) Deep Learning Revolution

The introduction of deep learning fundamentally transformed automated plant disease diagnosis by eliminating the need for handcrafted feature extraction. Deep neural networks automatically learn hierarchical image representations directly from raw pixels, enabling superior performance across various computer vision tasks.

Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) rapidly became the dominant approach for plant disease classification because of their ability to capture spatial patterns such as leaf texture, color variations, lesion boundaries, and disease morphology.

Unlike conventional machine learning techniques, CNNs perform feature extraction and classification simultaneously through end-to-end learning. This capability significantly improves classification accuracy while reducing human intervention.

Recent developments in transfer learning, attention mechanisms, Vision Transformers (ViTs), and lightweight neural networks have further enhanced disease identification performance and enabled deployment on smartphones and edge devices.

### III. Literature Review of Deep Learning-Based Plant Leaf Disease Identification

The increasing availability of annotated plant image datasets and advancements in deep learning architectures have resulted in extensive research on automated disease identification. Recent studies have primarily focused on convolutional neural networks, transfer learning, transformer architectures, object detection, semantic segmentation, and lightweight edge-deployable models.

#### A. Convolutional Neural Network-Based Approaches

Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) remain the most widely adopted deep learning architecture for plant disease identification. CNNs consist of convolutional layers, pooling layers, activation functions, fully connected layers, and softmax classifiers that automatically extract discriminative features from plant images.

The success of CNNs can be attributed to several factors:

- Automatic feature learning
- Robustness to illumination changes
- Hierarchical representation learning
- End-to-end optimization
- High classification accuracy

Early CNN architectures such as **AlexNet** demonstrated significant improvements over handcrafted feature-based methods. Subsequently, deeper networks including **VGG16**, **VGG19**, **GoogLeNet**, **ResNet**, **DenseNet**, **Inception**, **Xception**, **MobileNet**, and **EfficientNet** further improved classification accuracy while reducing computational complexity.

Studies using the PlantVillage dataset have reported classification accuracies exceeding 98%, demonstrating the remarkable capability of CNNs under controlled laboratory environments. However, performance often decreases when models are tested using field images containing varying lighting conditions, occlusions, and complex backgrounds.

#### B. Transfer Learning Approaches

Training deep neural networks from scratch requires large annotated datasets and extensive computational resources. Transfer learning addresses these challenges

by fine-tuning pre-trained models that were originally trained on large-scale datasets such as ImageNet.

Popular transfer learning architectures include:

- VGG16
- VGG19
- ResNet50
- ResNet101
- DenseNet121
- DenseNet201
- InceptionV3
- MobileNetV2
- EfficientNet-B0 to B7
- NASNet
- Xception

Transfer learning offers several advantages:

- Reduced training time
- Improved convergence
- Better generalization
- High accuracy on limited datasets
- Lower computational cost

Researchers have consistently reported that transfer learning outperforms conventional CNN training, particularly for datasets containing limited samples or imbalanced disease categories. Fine-tuned EfficientNet and DenseNet models frequently achieve accuracies above 99% on benchmark datasets while maintaining lower parameter counts than earlier architectures.

#### C. Attention Mechanisms and Vision Transformers

Although CNNs excel at local feature extraction, they have limited capability to model long-range spatial dependencies. Vision Transformers (ViTs) overcome this limitation by employing self-attention mechanisms that capture global contextual information across the entire image.

Recent transformer-based models include:

- Vision Transformer (ViT)
- DeiT
- Swin Transformer
- ConvNeXt

- **BEiT**

Transformer architectures have demonstrated superior performance for complex disease classification tasks involving multiple lesions and cluttered field backgrounds. Swin Transformer, in particular, combines hierarchical feature extraction with shifted window attention, improving computational efficiency while preserving global contextual information.

Hybrid CNN–Transformer models have recently gained attention because they integrate CNNs' local feature extraction capabilities with transformers' global attention mechanisms. These hybrid architectures often outperform standalone CNNs in real-world agricultural datasets.

#### **D. Object Detection Models**

Image classification determines the disease present in an image but does not indicate the exact location of infected regions. Object detection models simultaneously identify disease classes and localize infected leaf areas using bounding boxes.

Frequently used detection algorithms include:

- YOLOv5
- YOLOv7
- YOLOv8
- Faster R-CNN
- SSD
- RetinaNet

YOLO-based models are particularly suitable for real-time agricultural applications because they offer an excellent balance between detection speed and accuracy. These models have been successfully deployed on drones, robotic platforms, and mobile devices for field-based disease monitoring.

#### **E. Semantic Segmentation Approaches**

Semantic segmentation provides pixel-level classification of diseased regions, enabling precise estimation of disease severity and affected leaf area.

Popular segmentation models include:

- U-Net
- U-Net++
- DeepLabV3+
- PSPNet
- Mask R-CNN

Segmentation-based approaches support precision agriculture by facilitating targeted pesticide application, disease progression monitoring, and accurate quantification of infected tissue.

#### **F. Comparative Analysis of Existing Studies**

The reviewed literature indicates several consistent trends:

- CNN-based models dominate image classification tasks due to their high accuracy and efficient feature extraction.
- Transfer learning significantly improves performance on limited datasets while reducing training time.
- Vision Transformers provide better generalization under challenging environmental conditions by modeling long-range dependencies.
- YOLO-based object detection models enable real-time localization of infected regions in field environments.
- Semantic segmentation approaches offer precise disease boundary detection, supporting severity estimation and precision spraying.
- Lightweight architectures such as MobileNet and EfficientNet facilitate deployment on smartphones and edge devices, making intelligent disease diagnosis more accessible to farmers.

Despite these advancements, many studies rely on controlled datasets such as PlantVillage, which may not fully represent real-world agricultural conditions. Consequently, improving model robustness, interpretability, and generalization remains an active area of research.

#### **IV. Deep Learning Architectures for Plant Leaf Disease Identification**

Recent advancements in deep learning have significantly enhanced the accuracy and efficiency of plant leaf disease identification systems. Various neural network architectures have been proposed to address challenges such as complex backgrounds, varying illumination, multiple disease classes, and limited training data. This section provides a

comprehensive overview of the most widely used architectures and their applications.

### A. Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs)

Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) are the foundation of modern image classification systems. They automatically learn hierarchical features from raw images, eliminating the need for handcrafted feature extraction.

A typical CNN consists of the following layers:

- **Input Layer:** Receives the leaf image.
- **Convolutional Layer:** Extracts low-level and high-level visual features using learnable filters.
- **Activation Layer:** Introduces non-linearity, typically using the Rectified Linear Unit (ReLU).
- **Pooling Layer:** Reduces spatial dimensions while retaining essential features.
- **Fully Connected Layer:** Combines extracted features for classification.
- **Softmax Layer:** Produces probability scores for each disease class.

#### Advantages of CNNs

- Automatic feature extraction
- High classification accuracy
- Robustness to noise
- Scalability for large datasets
- End-to-end learning

#### Limitations

- Large computational requirements
- High memory consumption
- Need for extensive labeled datasets
- Limited interpretability

### B. Popular CNN Architectures

#### 1) AlexNet

Introduced in 2012, AlexNet marked a breakthrough in deep learning by demonstrating superior image classification performance.

#### Characteristics

- 8 learnable layers
- ReLU activation
- Dropout regularization
- Data augmentation support

#### Advantages

- Simple architecture
- Faster training
- Good baseline model

#### Limitations

- Large number of parameters
- Lower accuracy than recent models

### 2) VGG16 and VGG19

The VGG family employs small  $3 \times 3$  convolution filters while increasing network depth.

#### Advantages

- Excellent feature representation
- Easy implementation
- High classification accuracy

#### Disadvantages

- Extremely large parameter count
- High computational cost

These models are still widely used as transfer learning backbones.

### 3) GoogLeNet (Inception Network)

GoogLeNet introduced the Inception module, enabling multiple filter sizes within a single layer.

#### Advantages

- Reduced computational complexity
- Multi-scale feature extraction
- Improved efficiency

### 4) ResNet

Residual Networks introduced skip connections that address the vanishing gradient problem.

Common variants include:

- ResNet18
- ResNet34
- ResNet50
- ResNet101
- ResNet152

#### Advantages

- Very deep architecture
- Stable optimization
- Excellent generalization

ResNet50 remains one of the most frequently used models for plant disease classification.

## 5) DenseNet

DenseNet connects each layer to every subsequent layer.

### Benefits

- Improved feature reuse
- Better gradient propagation
- Fewer parameters than ResNet
- Higher accuracy

DenseNet121 is among the most successful architectures for disease classification.

## 6) MobileNet

MobileNet employs depthwise separable convolutions, dramatically reducing computational complexity.

### Advantages

- Lightweight architecture
- Fast inference
- Suitable for smartphones
- Edge deployment

It is widely adopted in precision agriculture applications requiring real-time diagnosis.

## 7) EfficientNet

EfficientNet introduces compound scaling of network depth, width, and resolution.

Variants include:

- EfficientNet-B0
- EfficientNet-B1
- EfficientNet-B2
- EfficientNet-B3
- EfficientNet-B4
- EfficientNet-B5
- EfficientNet-B6
- EfficientNet-B7

### Advantages

- State-of-the-art accuracy
- Lower computational cost
- Better parameter efficiency

EfficientNet models consistently achieve classification accuracies above 99% on benchmark datasets.

## 8) Xception

Xception replaces standard convolutions with depthwise separable convolutions.

Advantages include:

- Improved efficiency
- Better feature extraction
- Reduced parameters

## C. Transfer Learning

Transfer learning has become the dominant approach for plant disease identification because agricultural datasets are often relatively small.

Instead of training from scratch, researchers fine-tune models pre-trained on ImageNet.

### Workflow

- Load pre-trained model.
- Replace the final classification layer.
- Freeze early layers.
- Fine-tune higher layers.
- Train on plant disease dataset.

### Advantages

- Reduced training time
- Higher accuracy
- Better generalization
- Lower computational cost

Transfer learning is especially effective when fewer than 10,000 labeled images are available.

## D. Vision Transformers (ViTs)

Vision Transformers divide an image into small patches, embed them as tokens, and process them using self-attention mechanisms.

### Working Principle

- Image partitioning
- Patch embedding
- Positional encoding
- Multi-head self-attention
- Feed-forward network
- Classification head

### Advantages

- Captures long-range dependencies
- Superior global context modeling
- Improved robustness
- Better field-image performance

### Challenges

- Requires larger datasets

- Higher computational requirements
- Longer training time

### E. Hybrid CNN–Transformer Models

Recent research combines CNN feature extraction with transformer attention mechanisms.

Advantages include:

- Local texture extraction
- Global contextual understanding
- Improved robustness
- Higher classification accuracy

Hybrid architectures are increasingly regarded as state-of-the-art for plant disease diagnosis.

### F. Object Detection Networks

Classification predicts the disease category but does not localize infected regions.

Object detection addresses this limitation.

Popular detectors include:

- YOLOv5
- YOLOv7
- YOLOv8
- Faster R-CNN
- SSD
- RetinaNet

### Applications

- Disease localization
- Drone surveillance
- Smart farming robots
- Automated pesticide spraying

YOLOv8 currently offers an excellent balance between detection speed and accuracy.

### G. Semantic Segmentation Models

Segmentation identifies every infected pixel.

Popular architectures include:

- U-Net
- U-Net++
- DeepLabV3+
- PSPNet
- Mask R-CNN

Applications include:

- Disease severity estimation
- Lesion measurement
- Precision spraying

- Disease progression analysis

### V. Publicly Available Datasets

The success of deep learning depends heavily on high-quality datasets.

**Table 1. Popular Plant Disease Datasets**

| Dataset                      | Crops    | Images  | Diseases | Characteristics        |
|------------------------------|----------|---------|----------|------------------------|
| PlantVillage                 | 14       | 54,306  | 38       | Laboratory background  |
| PlantDoc                     | 13       | 2,598   | 17       | Field images           |
| Cassava Leaf Disease         | Cassava  | 21,367  | 5        | Real-world             |
| Rice Leaf Disease            | Rice     | 5,932   | Multiple | Field environment      |
| Apple Disease Dataset        | Apple    | ~3,000  | 4        | Orchard images         |
| Tomato Disease Dataset       | Tomato   | ~18,000 | 10       | Controlled environment |
| AI Challenge for Agriculture | Multiple | >50,000 | Multiple | Large-scale            |

### PlantVillage Dataset

PlantVillage is the most widely used benchmark dataset.

Advantages:

- High image quality
- Balanced classes
- Publicly available
- Standard benchmark

Limitations:

- Plain background
- Controlled lighting
- Limited field realism

## PlantDoc Dataset

PlantDoc contains real-world field images.

Characteristics:

- Natural background
- Variable illumination
- Occlusion
- Multiple leaves
- Complex environments

PlantDoc is increasingly used to evaluate real-world model performance.

## VI. Image Preprocessing

Image preprocessing improves image quality before training.

Common preprocessing techniques include:

### Image Resizing

Standard image dimensions:

- $224 \times 224$
- $256 \times 256$
- $299 \times 299$

### Noise Removal

Methods include:

- Gaussian Filter
- Median Filter
- Bilateral Filter

### Contrast Enhancement

Common approaches:

- Histogram Equalization
- CLAHE
- Gamma Correction

### Color Space Conversion

Frequently used color spaces:

- RGB
- HSV
- LAB
- YCbCr

### Background Removal

Methods include:

- Thresholding

- GrabCut
- U-Net segmentation
- Mask R-CNN

Background removal improves robustness against environmental noise.

## VII. Data Augmentation

Deep learning models benefit from artificial dataset expansion.

Popular augmentation techniques include:

- Rotation
- Horizontal Flip
- Vertical Flip
- Zoom
- Random Crop
- Brightness Adjustment
- Contrast Adjustment
- Gaussian Noise
- Blur
- Elastic Transformation

### Benefits

- Prevents overfitting
- Improves generalization
- Increases dataset diversity
- Enhances robustness

Generative approaches such as **Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs)** and diffusion models are also increasingly used to synthesize realistic diseased leaf images, helping alleviate class imbalance and data scarcity.

## VIII. Comparative Analysis of Deep Learning Architectures

**Table 2. Comparison of Popular Deep Learning Models**

| Model   | Parameters | Accuracy* | Advantages                | Limitations            |
|---------|------------|-----------|---------------------------|------------------------|
| AlexNet | ~60M       | 95–98%    | Simple baseline           | Large parameter count  |
| VGG16   | ~138M      | 97–99%    | Strong feature extraction | Very high memory usage |
| ResNet5 | ~25.6M     | 98–       | Residual learning,        | Moderate computati     |

| Model                    | Parameters  | Accuracy* | Advantages                                    | Limitations                          |
|--------------------------|-------------|-----------|-----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 0                        |             | 99%       | robust                                        | onal cost                            |
| DenseNet121              | ~8M         | 98–99%    | Feature reuse, efficient                      | More complex connectivity            |
| MobileNetV2              | ~3.5M       | 95–98%    | Lightweight, mobile deployment                | Slightly lower peak accuracy         |
| EfficientNet-B0          | ~5.3M       | 98–99%    | High accuracy-to-parameter ratio              | Training can be sensitive            |
| Xception                 | ~23M        | 98–99%    | Efficient separable convolutions              | Higher inference cost than MobileNet |
| Vision Transformer (ViT) | ~86M (base) | 98–99%    | Global attention                              | Requires larger datasets             |
| Swin Transformer         | ~28–88M     | 99%+      | Hierarchical attention, strong generalization | Higher implementation complexity     |

\*Reported accuracies vary depending on the dataset, preprocessing pipeline, and evaluation protocol.

## IX. Performance Evaluation Metrics

Evaluating the effectiveness of deep learning models is essential for determining their suitability for practical plant disease diagnosis. Researchers typically assess models using classification, detection, segmentation, and computational performance metrics. These metrics provide complementary insights into accuracy, robustness, efficiency, and deployment feasibility.

### A. Classification Metrics

#### 1) Accuracy

Accuracy measures the proportion of correctly classified samples among all evaluated samples.

$$\text{Accuracy} = \frac{TP + TN}{TP + TN + FP + FN}$$

where:

- **TP** = True Positives
- **TN** = True Negatives
- **FP** = False Positives
- **FN** = False Negatives

Although accuracy is intuitive, it may be misleading for imbalanced datasets where one disease class dominates.

#### 2) Precision

Precision evaluates how many samples predicted as diseased actually belong to the target disease.

$$\text{Precision} = \frac{TP}{TP + FP}$$

High precision indicates fewer false alarms.

#### 3) Recall (Sensitivity)

Recall measures the ability of a model to correctly identify diseased leaves.

$$\text{Recall} = \frac{TP}{TP + FN}$$

High recall is particularly important in agricultural applications because missing an infected plant may allow disease to spread.

#### 4) F1-Score

The F1-score is the harmonic mean of precision and recall.

$$F1 = \frac{2 \times \text{Precision} \times \text{Recall}}{\text{Precision} + \text{Recall}}$$

This metric is widely used when class distributions are imbalanced.

#### 5) Specificity

Specificity evaluates how effectively healthy plants are identified.

$$\text{Specificity} = \frac{TN}{TN + FP}$$

#### 6) Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) and AUC

ROC curves illustrate the trade-off between true positive rate and false positive rate across classification thresholds.

The **Area Under the ROC Curve (AUC)** summarizes discrimination capability, where values closer to 1 indicate better performance.

### B. Detection Metrics

Object detection models such as YOLO and Faster R-CNN are commonly evaluated using:

- **Intersection over Union (IoU)**
- **Mean Average Precision (mAP)**
- **Precision–Recall curves**

These metrics assess both localization accuracy and classification performance.

### C. Segmentation Metrics

Semantic segmentation approaches (e.g., U-Net and DeepLabV3+) are evaluated using:

- **Dice Similarity Coefficient (DSC)**
- **Jaccard Index (IoU)**
- **Pixel Accuracy**
- **Mean IoU (mIoU)**

These metrics quantify how closely predicted disease regions match expert annotations.

### D. Computational Metrics

For real-world deployment, computational efficiency is also critical. Common measures include:

- **Training time**
- **Inference time**
- **Frames per second (FPS)**
- **Floating Point Operations (FLOPs)**
- **Number of model parameters**
- **Memory consumption**
- **Energy usage (for embedded devices)**

Balancing predictive performance with computational cost is essential for smartphone and edge-based agricultural applications.

## X. Comparative Analysis of Recent Studies

Table 3 summarizes representative deep learning studies published in recent years. The values shown are illustrative ranges based on commonly reported results in the literature; exact performance varies with datasets, preprocessing pipelines, and evaluation protocols.

**Table 3. Representative Deep Learning Studies for Plant Leaf Disease Identification**

| Year | Model              | Dataset        | Task           | Typical Accuracy                      |
|------|--------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------------------------------|
| 2019 | AlexNet            | PlantVillage   | Classification | 95–97%                                |
| 2019 | VGG16              | PlantVillage   | Classification | 97–99%                                |
| 2020 | ResNet50           | PlantVillage   | Classification | 98–99%                                |
| 2020 | DenseNet121        | PlantVillage   | Classification | 98–99%                                |
| 2021 | MobileNetV2        | PlantVillage   | Classification | 96–98%                                |
| 2021 | EfficientNet-B0    | PlantVillage   | Classification | 98–99%                                |
| 2022 | Xception           | PlantDoc       | Classification | 95–98%                                |
| 2022 | YOLOv5             | Field Images   | Detection      | High mAP with real-time inference     |
| 2023 | Vision Transformer | PlantDoc       | Classification | 98–99%                                |
| 2023 | Swin Transformer   | Mixed datasets | Classification | 99%+ (under selected benchmarks)      |
| 2024 | Hybrid CNN–ViT     | Multiple crops | Classification | High accuracy with improved generaliz |

| Year | Model                    | Dataset        | Task           | Typical Accuracy                           |
|------|--------------------------|----------------|----------------|--------------------------------------------|
| 2025 | EfficientNet + Attention | Field datasets | Classification | Robust performance in complex environments |

## Discussion

The literature demonstrates several consistent observations:

- **CNNs** remain highly effective for benchmark datasets.
- **Transfer learning** significantly reduces training time while improving accuracy on smaller datasets.
- **Vision Transformers** perform well on challenging field images due to superior global feature modeling.
- **YOLO-based detectors** enable real-time disease localization.
- **Segmentation networks** support disease severity estimation rather than simple classification.
- **Hybrid CNN-Transformer architectures** are emerging as a promising direction for balancing local and global feature extraction.

However, many published results are obtained using controlled datasets with homogeneous backgrounds, making direct comparisons difficult.

## XI. Challenges and Limitations

Despite impressive progress, several technical and practical challenges remain.

### A. Limited Dataset Diversity

Many publicly available datasets contain laboratory-acquired images with:

- Plain backgrounds
- Uniform lighting
- Single leaves
- Minimal occlusion

Models trained under these conditions often struggle when applied to real agricultural fields.

### B. Dataset Imbalance

Certain diseases are represented by thousands of images, while rare diseases may have only a few hundred examples.

Consequences include:

- Biased classifiers
- Poor minority-class recall
- Reduced robustness

Approaches such as weighted loss functions, synthetic augmentation, and generative models can help mitigate this issue.

### C. Environmental Variability

Field images exhibit:

- Changing illumination
- Shadows
- Rain
- Dust
- Overlapping leaves
- Background clutter
- Multiple disease symptoms

These factors complicate reliable disease recognition.

### D. Computational Complexity

Large models such as deep transformers require:

- High-end GPUs
- Extensive memory
- Longer training times
- Greater energy consumption

These requirements limit deployment on resource-constrained devices.

### E. Explainability

Most deep learning models function as "black boxes."

Farmers and agricultural experts often require explanations for predictions before taking corrective actions. Explainable AI (XAI) techniques, including Grad-CAM, SHAP, and LIME, can improve transparency by highlighting influential image regions.

### F. Generalization

Many models demonstrate excellent performance on benchmark datasets but exhibit significant accuracy

degradation when tested on images from different geographical regions, crop varieties, or imaging devices.

Improving cross-domain generalization remains a key research objective.

## **XII. Research Gaps**

Based on the reviewed literature, several important gaps remain.

### **1. Limited Real-World Validation**

Most studies evaluate models using laboratory datasets instead of large-scale field deployments.

### **2. Small Multi-Crop Datasets**

Large, balanced datasets covering multiple crops, disease stages, and environmental conditions remain scarce.

### **3. Lack of Explainable Systems**

Few studies integrate explainability techniques into practical disease diagnosis workflows.

### **4. Early Disease Detection**

Many systems identify diseases only after visible symptoms appear. Integrating multispectral, hyperspectral, thermal, or fluorescence imaging may enable earlier detection.

### **5. Edge Deployment**

There is a need for lightweight architectures that can perform inference efficiently on smartphones, drones, and IoT devices without significant accuracy loss.

### **6. Multimodal Learning**

Future systems should combine multiple data sources, including:

- Leaf images
- Weather information
- Soil characteristics
- Nutrient levels
- Sensor measurements

Such multimodal approaches may improve robustness and decision support.

## **XIII. Future Research Directions**

Several emerging technologies are expected to shape the next generation of intelligent plant disease diagnosis systems.

### **A. Explainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI)**

Integrating Grad-CAM, SHAP, and LIME can improve model interpretability and user trust.

### **B. Federated Learning**

Federated learning enables collaborative model training across multiple farms or institutions while preserving data privacy.

### **C. Self-Supervised Learning**

Self-supervised methods reduce dependence on manually labeled datasets by learning representations from unlabeled images.

### **D. Foundation Models**

Large vision foundation models trained on diverse datasets may offer improved transferability and reduced data requirements for agricultural tasks.

### **E. Edge Artificial Intelligence**

Optimized architectures such as MobileNet, EfficientNet-Lite, and TinyML enable real-time disease diagnosis on low-power devices.

### **F. Drone and UAV-Based Monitoring**

Combining UAV imagery with deep learning facilitates rapid, large-scale crop monitoring and targeted interventions.

### **G. Digital Twins and Smart Agriculture**

Integrating disease diagnosis with digital twins, IoT sensors, weather forecasting, and farm management systems can support predictive and precision agriculture.

## **XIV. Conclusion**

Plant diseases remain one of the primary causes of agricultural productivity loss, directly affecting food security, farmers' livelihoods, and the global economy. Traditional disease diagnosis methods, which rely on manual visual inspection, are often labor-intensive, subjective, and difficult to scale for modern precision agriculture. The rapid development of artificial intelligence, particularly deep learning, has significantly transformed plant leaf disease

identification by enabling automated, accurate, and efficient image-based diagnosis.

This review comprehensively examined recent advances in deep learning techniques for plant leaf disease identification. Conventional Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs), including AlexNet, VGGNet, ResNet, DenseNet, EfficientNet, and MobileNet, have demonstrated outstanding performance in disease classification tasks due to their ability to automatically learn hierarchical image features. Transfer learning has further improved classification accuracy while reducing computational requirements, making deep learning practical even when limited annotated datasets are available.

More recently, Vision Transformers (ViTs) and hybrid CNN–Transformer architectures have emerged as promising alternatives by effectively capturing both local texture information and long-range spatial dependencies. Similarly, object detection models such as YOLOv5, YOLOv8, and Faster R-CNN have enabled real-time localization of diseased regions, while semantic segmentation models, including U-Net and DeepLabV3+, provide pixel-level disease identification that supports disease severity estimation and precision pesticide application.

The review also highlighted the importance of publicly available benchmark datasets such as PlantVillage, PlantDoc, Cassava Leaf Disease, and Rice Leaf Disease datasets. Although these datasets have accelerated research progress, many suffer from limitations including controlled laboratory environments, limited crop diversity, class imbalance, and insufficient representation of real-world agricultural conditions. Consequently, many state-of-the-art models experience performance degradation when deployed in field environments characterized by varying illumination, occlusions, complex backgrounds, and multiple simultaneous diseases. Recent reviews also emphasize the need to move beyond benchmark datasets toward more diverse and realistic field data. Springer+1

Several research challenges remain unresolved. These include improving model generalization across crops and environments, reducing computational complexity for edge deployment, enhancing explainability through Explainable AI (XAI), addressing data scarcity using self-supervised or generative approaches, and

developing standardized evaluation protocols. Emerging technologies such as federated learning, multimodal sensing, edge AI, UAV-based monitoring, and foundation vision models provide promising directions for addressing these challenges. Current surveys indicate that transformer-based architectures, explainable AI, and cross-domain learning are likely to shape the next generation of intelligent agricultural decision-support systems.

## References

- [1] S. P. Mohanty, D. P. Hughes, and M. Salathé, "Using Deep Learning for Image-Based Plant Disease Detection," *Frontiers in Plant Science*, vol. 7, Art. no. 1419, 2016.
- [2] D. P. Hughes and M. Salathé, "An Open Access Repository of Images on Plant Health to Enable the Development of Mobile Disease Diagnostics," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1511.08060*, 2015.
- [3] K. P. Ferentinos, "Deep Learning Models for Plant Disease Detection and Diagnosis," *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, vol. 145, pp. 311–318, 2018.
- [4] P. Jiang, Y. Chen, B. Liu, D. He, and C. Liang, "Real-Time Detection of Apple Leaf Diseases Using Deep Learning Approach Based on Improved Convolutional Neural Networks," *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 59069–59080, 2019.
- [5] U. Atila, M. Uçar, K. Akyol, and E. Uçar, "Plant Leaf Disease Classification Using EfficientNet Deep Learning Model," *Ecological Informatics*, vol. 61, Art. no. 101182, 2021.
- [6] X. Fan, M. Luo, J. Wang, and Y. Zhang, "Leaf Image Based Plant Disease Identification Using Transfer Learning and Feature Fusion," *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, vol. 196, Art. no. 106892, 2022.
- [7] R. Khan, K. Khan, W. Albattah, and A. M. Qamar, "Image-Based Detection of Plant Diseases: From Classical Machine Learning to Deep Learning Journey," *Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing*, vol. 2021, Article ID 5541859, 2021.
- [8] S. Sladojevic, M. Arsenovic, A. Anderla, D. Culibrk, and D. Stefanovic, "Deep Neural Networks Based Recognition of Plant Diseases by Leaf Image Classification," *Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience*, vol. 2016, Article ID 3289801, 2016.
- [9] S. Too, L. Yujian, S. Njuki, and L. Yingchun, "A Comparative Study of Fine-Tuning Deep Learning

Models for Plant Disease Identification,” *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, vol. 161, pp. 272–279, 2019.

[10] A. Bhargava, A. Bansal, and S. Kumar, “Plant Leaf Disease Detection, Classification, and Identification Using Machine Learning, Deep Learning, and Few-Shot Learning: A Review,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 12, 2024.

[11] I. Pacal, M. Karakose, and M. Akin, “A Systematic Review of Deep Learning Techniques for Plant Disease Detection,” *Artificial Intelligence Review*, vol. 58, 2024.

[12] J. Zhao *et al.*, “A Review of Plant Leaf Disease Identification by Deep Learning,” *Frontiers in Plant Science*, vol. 16, 2025.

[13] E. Balafas, N. Ploskas, and G. Samaras, “Machine Learning and Deep Learning for Plant Disease Detection and Classification,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 11, pp. 114352–114388, 2023.

[14] R. Sujatha, S. Krishnan, J. M. Chatterjee, and A. H. Gandomi, “Advancing Plant Leaf Disease Detection Integrating Machine Learning and Deep Learning,” *Scientific Reports*, vol. 15, 2025.

[15] A. Abd Algani *et al.*, “Leaf Disease Identification and Classification Using Optimized Deep Learning,” *Measurement: Sensors*, vol. 25, Art. no. 100643, 2023.

[16] M. Mahum *et al.*, “A Novel Framework for Potato Leaf Disease Detection Using an Efficient Deep Learning Model,” *Human and Ecological Risk Assessment*, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 303–326, 2023.

[17] L. Li and R. Tanone, “Disease Identification in Potato Leaves Using Swin Transformer,” in *Proc. 17th Int. Conf. Ubiquitous Information Management and Communication (IMCOM)*, 2023.

[18] K. Seetharaman and T. Mahendran, “Leaf Disease Detection in Banana Plant Using Gabor Extraction and Region-Based Convolution Neural Network,” *Journal of the Institution of Engineers (India): Series A*, vol. 103, no. 2, pp. 501–507, 2022.

[19] V. Krishnan, J. Deepa, P. Rao, V. Divya, and S. Kaviarasan, “An Automated Segmentation and Classification Model for Banana Leaf Disease Detection,” *Journal of Applied Biology and Biotechnology*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 213–220, 2022.

[20] A. Arman *et al.*, “BananaLSD: A Banana Leaf Images Dataset for Classification of Banana Leaf Diseases Using Machine Learning,” *Data in Brief*, vol. 50, Art. no. 109608, 2023.

[21] S. Ramesh, R. Hebbar, M. Niveditha, R. Pooja, N. Shashank, and P. V. Vinod, “Plant Disease Detection Using Machine Learning,” in *Proc. Int. Conf. Design Innovations for 3Cs Compute Communicate Control (ICDI3C)*, IEEE, 2018.

[22] J. Chaki and D. Ghosh, “Deep Learning in Leaf Disease Detection (2014–2024): A Visualization-Based Bibliometric Analysis,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 12, 2024.

[23] M. S. Krishna *et al.*, “Plant Leaf Disease Detection Using Deep Learning: A Multi-Dataset Evaluation,” *AgriEngineering*, vol. 8, no. 1, 2025.

[24] Y. Zhang, H. Yang, and J. Cao, “Identify Apple Leaf Diseases Using Deep Learning Algorithm,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2107.12598*, 2021.

[25] S. Mustofa, M. M. H. Munna, Y. R. Emon, G. Rabbany, and M. T. Ahad, “A Comprehensive Review on Plant Leaf Disease Detection Using Deep Learning,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.14087*, 2023.

[26] M. J. U. Chowdhury, Z. I. Mou, R. Afrin, and S. Kibria, “Plant Leaf Disease Detection and Classification Using Deep Learning: A Review and a Proposed System,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2501.03305*, 2025.

[27] E. H. El Fatimi, “Leaf Diseases Detection Using Deep Learning Methods,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2501.00669*, 2024.

[28] A. A. AlArfaj *et al.*, “Multi-Step Preprocessing With U-Net Segmentation and Transfer Learning Model for Pepper Bell Leaf Disease Detection,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 11, 2023.

[29] S. Abinaya, K. U. Kumar, and A. S. Alphonse, “Cascading Autoencoder With Attention Residual U-Net for Multi-Class Plant Leaf Disease Segmentation and Classification,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 11, 2023.

[30] Z. Chen *et al.*, “YOLOv8-ACCW: Lightweight Grape Leaf Disease Detection Method Based on Improved YOLOv8,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 12, 2024.