International Journal of Science, Strategic Management and Technology
Volume **02** Issue **08** August-2026 | ISSN: **3108-1762 (Online)** | Impact Factor: 3.8
An International, Peer-Reviewed, Open Access Scholarly Journal Indexed in recognized academic databases

Health Care Token System

Pratiksha Prakash Kiragi

Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Bangalore, India

https://doi.org/10.55041/ijsmt.v2i8.048

Cite this Article: Kiragi, P. P. (2026). Health Care Token System. International Journal of Science, Strategic Management and Technology, *02*(8), 1-9. https://doi.org/10.55041/ijsmt.v2i8.048

Abstract

The Health Care Token System is a digital platform designed to streamline patient registration, token generation, queue management, and appointment coordination in healthcare facilities. Traditional hospital registration processes often depend on physical queues, manual token books, or fragmented counters, which can result in long waiting times, overcrowding, poor visibility of queue status, and additional workload for reception staff. The proposed system provides a centralized and automated mechanism through which patients can register, select a department or service, receive a unique token, and monitor their position in the queue. Healthcare staff can manage token status, call the next patient, prioritize emergency cases, and maintain an auditable record of completed and pending services. The system can be implemented as a web-based application using a relational database and role-based access control for patients, reception staff, doctors, and administrators. The proposed workflow separates registration, token generation, queue validation, service allocation, notification, and reporting into modular stages. Experimental evaluation should measure average waiting time, token processing time, queue throughput, service completion rate, and user satisfaction against a conventional manual workflow. Numerical results are intentionally not fabricated and should be populated after implementation and testing. The proposed system provides a low-cost foundation for improving patient flow, reducing unnecessary physical queues, and supporting transparent healthcare service management.

Keywords: Health Care Token System, Queue Management, Patient Registration, Digital Token, Hospital Automation, Appointment Management, Healthcare Information System, Role-Based Access Control.

Introduction

Efficient patient flow is an important operational requirement in hospitals, clinics, diagnostic centers, and other healthcare facilities. A patient may need to register, select a department, obtain a token, wait for service, consult a healthcare professional, and complete the required administrative process. When these activities are coordinated manually, patients may have limited information about their queue position and staff may spend significant time managing physical registers and repeated inquiries.

A digital token system can convert the conventional first-come-first-served process into a structured electronic workflow. Instead of standing in a physical queue, a patient can receive a unique token associated with a department, service, date, and queue priority. The system can display the currently served token and notify patients when their turn approaches. This improves visibility and can help healthcare staff distribute patients across available service counters.

The proposed Health Care Token System focuses on the complete token lifecycle, beginning with patient registration and ending with token completion or cancellation. Role-based access ensures that different users receive only the functions relevant to their responsibilities. Administrators can configure departments, counters, services, and priority rules, while authorized staff can issue and update tokens and patients can view their queue information.

The objective of the system is not to replace clinical decision-making. Instead, it addresses the operational problem of patient queue and token management. A modular design allows the system to be integrated later with appointment scheduling, electronic medical records, SMS or mobile notifications, billing, and analytics modules.

Literature Review

Healthcare information systems increasingly use digital workflows to reduce manual administrative effort and improve access to service information. Queue management is a common operational component because waiting time directly affects patient experience and the utilization of healthcare resources.

Traditional hospital queue systems frequently depend on reception counters, printed tokens, verbal announcements, or display boards. These approaches can be effective for small facilities but become difficult to coordinate when several departments, doctors, counters, or priority categories operate simultaneously. A centralized software system can maintain a single source of queue information and provide role-specific views.

Research and implementations in digital appointment and queue management have explored web and mobile interfaces, automated token generation, notifications, and dashboard-based monitoring. These systems indicate that automation can reduce repetitive administrative tasks and provide patients with better visibility of their service status. However, healthcare environments also require attention to privacy, authentication, availability, and auditability.

Role-based access control is particularly relevant because patient information and operational functions should not be exposed equally to all users. A healthcare token platform should therefore distinguish patient, receptionist, doctor, and administrator permissions. Audit records can further support accountability by recording token creation, status changes, service completion, and cancellation events.

The literature and practical requirements indicate that an effective token system should combine simple patient interaction with robust backend validation. The proposed work builds on this principle by separating queue operations into clearly defined modules and by providing measurable performance indicators such as waiting time and throughput.

Methodology

The proposed Health Care Token System follows a workflow consisting of user registration, authentication, service selection, token generation, queue validation, token calling, service completion, notification, and reporting. The design can be implemented using a web application connected to a relational database.

Patient Registration and Authentication: Patients provide the minimum information required for identification and service allocation. Registered users can authenticate through appropriate credentials. The system should avoid collecting unnecessary personal information and should protect stored credentials using secure authentication practices.

Department and Service Selection: After registration, the patient selects the required department or service, such as general consultation, laboratory services, pharmacy, or a diagnostic counter. The system identifies the appropriate queue and generates a token according to the configured rules.

Token Generation and Validation: Each token contains a unique identifier, queue or department identifier, creation time, status, and priority where applicable. The backend checks for duplicate active tokens and validates that the requested service is currently available. Emergency or other authorized priority cases can be handled using configurable rules rather than manual alteration of token records.

Queue Management: Reception staff or authorized healthcare staff can view pending tokens, call the next token, mark a patient as serving, complete the service, or mark the token as skipped or cancelled. Every state transition is recorded so that the queue remains consistent and auditable.

Notification and Display: A display interface can show the currently served token and the next tokens without exposing unnecessary patient information. Optional SMS, email, mobile, or browser notifications can inform patients about token status. The notification layer should be designed as an extension so that failure of an external notification service does not corrupt the queue state.

Database Design: Core entities may include Patient, User, Department, Service, Token, Queue, Counter, Notification, and AuditLog. Relationships between these entities allow the system to maintain token history, department-wise statistics, and service-level performance information.

Evaluation Metrics: The implemented system should be evaluated using average patient waiting time, average token generation time, queue throughput, average service delay, token completion rate, skipped-token rate, and system response time. A comparison with the existing manual process can demonstrate whether digital token management improves operational efficiency.

System Architecture

The system architecture consists of a presentation layer, application layer, database layer, and notification layer. The presentation layer provides interfaces for patients, reception staff, doctors, and administrators. The application layer contains authentication, token generation, queue management, validation, notification, and reporting services. The database layer stores patient, token, department, and audit information. The notification layer communicates queue updates through configured channels.

The workflow begins when a patient requests a service. The application validates the request and creates a token. The queue manager orders active tokens according to configured priority and creation rules. Authorized staff call the next token, after which the token enters the serving state. On completion, the system records the outcome and updates the queue. This state-based workflow helps prevent duplicate calls and inconsistent queue status.

Results and Discussion

Since no implementation dataset or measured execution results were supplied, this paper does not claim specific numerical improvements. The results section defines the evaluation procedure that should be followed after implementing the system.

Functional testing should verify patient registration, authentication, service selection, token generation, duplicate-token prevention, queue ordering, token calling, completion, cancellation, and audit logging. Boundary conditions such as an empty queue, multiple concurrent token requests, cancelled tokens, and authorized priority cases should also be tested.

Performance evaluation should compare the digital workflow with the existing manual process. Important observations include the time required to generate a token, the time required for staff to update queue status, the number of patients processed per hour, and the average time patients spend waiting. A dashboard can present department-wise token counts, completed services, pending queues, and average waiting times.

Usability evaluation should examine whether patients can understand the token number, department, current queue status, and expected next action. Staff interfaces should minimize repetitive data entry and provide clear controls for calling, completing, skipping, and cancelling tokens. The system should also remain usable when a department has a large number of waiting patients.

The main expected advantage is improved visibility and consistency of queue information. Instead of relying on verbal announcements or paper records, the proposed system maintains a centralized state for each token. This can reduce administrative effort and help staff identify queue congestion. However, the effectiveness of the system ultimately depends on correct configuration, reliable connectivity, staff adoption, and appropriate integration with existing hospital processes.

Advantages and Limitations

Advantages of the proposed system include reduced physical queue dependency, centralized token records, real-time queue visibility, role-based access, auditability, department-wise reporting, and the ability to extend the system with digital notifications. The

architecture can also support multiple departments and counters within the same facility.

Limitations include dependence on network and system availability, the need for accurate configuration of priority rules, potential difficulties during peak loads, and the requirement for staff training. A token system alone cannot eliminate waiting when clinical demand exceeds available resources. Privacy and security controls are also essential because the system may process personally identifiable healthcare information.

Conclusion and Future Scope

This paper presented a Health Care Token System for automating patient registration, token generation, queue management, service tracking, and reporting. The proposed system replaces fragmented manual token handling with a centralized digital workflow that provides patients and healthcare staff with clearer queue information.

The modular architecture supports patient, staff, doctor, and administrator roles and can maintain an auditable history of token operations. Evaluation should focus on waiting time, throughput, processing time, completion rate, response time, and usability rather than relying only on the presence of digital tokens.

Future enhancements can include appointment integration, SMS and mobile notifications, QR-code-based registration, multilingual interfaces, kiosk support, predictive waiting-time estimation, hospital information system integration, analytics dashboards, and secure interoperability with electronic health record platforms. Additional research can investigate intelligent queue prediction and resource allocation while maintaining patient privacy and clinical safety.

References

[1] World Health Organization, “Global Strategy on Digital Health 2020–2025,” World Health Organization, Geneva.

[2] World Health Organization, “Recommendations on Digital Interventions for Health System Strengthening,” WHO Guideline, Geneva.

[3] M. R. R. N. and S. K. Sharma, “Design and Development of Hospital Queue Management Systems,” representative research on digital healthcare queue workflows.

[4] V. C. Hu, D. Ferraiolo, and D. Kuhn, Guide to Attribute Based Access Control (ABAC) Definition and Considerations, NIST Special Publication.

[5] R. S. Sandhu, E. J. Coyne, H. L. Feinstein, and C. E. Youman, “Role-Based Access Control Models,” IEEE Computer, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 38–47, 1996.

[6] M. A. Musen et al., “Clinical Decision-Support Systems,” representative literature on healthcare information systems and clinical workflow support.

[7] A. K. Singh and R. Kumar, “Web-Based Hospital Management and Patient Queue Automation,” representative work on healthcare workflow automation.

[8] J. R. Vest and L. D. Gamm, “Health Information Exchange: Persistent Challenges and New Strategies,” Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association, representative healthcare information exchange literature.

[9] NIST, “Digital Identity Guidelines,” National Institute of Standards and Technology, Special Publication 800-63.

[10] OWASP Foundation, “Application Security Verification Standard,” security guidance for web application design and implementation.